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Introduction and Purpose

The New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) provides infrastructure and services to enable people to travel by car, bus, train, airplane, foot, and bicycle. We’ve strengthened our commitment to safety for all modes, environmental excellence, decision-making transparency, fiscal accountability, visionary planning, thoughtful design, and sound engineering practices. Multimodal transportation choices invigorate the economy, connect people in small towns and cities, and facilitate the movement of goods and people both within the state and to other states and nations. Our vision and mission statements and core values describe NMDOT’s commitment to assisting the people of New Mexico with their mobility needs.

The purpose of this Planning Procedures Manual (PPM) is to clarify the roles and responsibilities of the New Mexico Division of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA-NM), Federal Transit Administration Region 6 (FTA Region 6), NMDOT, Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), and Regional Transportation Planning Organizations (RTPOs) in carrying out the state’s federally mandated statewide transportation planning program. NMDOT and its transportation planning partner agencies – FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6, MPOs, and RTPOs – concur that there is a pressing need to update, standardize, consolidate, and streamline NMDOT’s internal processes, external guidance, and reporting templates to better administer the state transportation planning process and address new reporting requirements in the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21).

Organization of the Planning Procedures Manual

This PPM includes guidance for the planning partners involved in the comprehensive, cooperative, and collaborative statewide planning process in New Mexico. The PPM is divided into the following sections:

- Introduction and Purpose
- Planning Bureau
- Metropolitan Planning Organizations
- Regional Transportation Planning Organizations
- Statewide Transportation Improvement Program
- Process for Releasing Funds

The appendices provide additional information relative to funding sources along with examples of and various forms needed by MPOs and RTPOs to produce work products and reimbursement documentation.

Updates and Revisions to the Planning Procedures Manual

The PPM is a living document, meaning that NMDOT anticipates making updates as necessary to reflect changes to state or federal regulations or procedures. To facilitate updating and revising the PPM in a timely manner, the PPM is distributed through the NMDOT website as an electronic document only. The Asset Management and Planning Division (hereafter referred to as the “Division”) and the Planning Bureau (hereafter referred to as the “Bureau”) encourage suggestions for revisions and
recommendations to improve the document or make it more user-friendly. Questions or suggestions can be submitted via email (Jessica.Griffin@state.nm.us) to the Government to Government (GTG) Unit Supervisor, who is responsible for maintaining a current version of the PPM on the NMDOT website. In the interest of maintaining an accurate and current PPM, updated contact information for MPO/RTPO staff should be submitted as soon as possible to the GTG Unit Supervisor.

Administrative Amendments

The NMDOT, FHWA-NM, an MPO, or an RTPO may propose administrative amendments to this PPM via email or in discussions held during MPO or RTPO Quarterly or Joint Meetings. If consensus is reached among all parties, as indicated by the absence of comments received by the NMDOT and/or comments are sufficiently addressed without the need to bring the proposed amendment to a MPO or RTPO Quarterly meeting for discussion, the PPM may be amended administratively. The GTG Unit Supervisor is responsible for updating the PPM, notifying all parties of new amendments, and posting updates on the NMDOT website.

Formal Amendments

In the event that all parties cannot agree to a proposed amendment to this document, the proposed change will require a formal amendment. The same parties listed above may submit a proposed amendment(s) in writing (via email) to the GTG Unit Supervisor for consideration at the next round of MPO/RTPO Quarterly or Joint Meetings. The GTG Unit Supervisor will ask the hosting entity to add the amendment to the agenda as a discussion item, time allowing. If there is insufficient time available on an agenda, the item will be mentioned under new business and posted for discussion at the next round of MPO/RTPO Quarterly or Joint Meetings.

Final approval authority over proposed amendments rests with NMDOT with concurrence required from FHWA-NM. The GTG Unit Supervisor is responsible for updating the PPM, notifying all parties of new, NMDOT-approved amendments, providing written explanation of the amendment via email, and posting updates of the PPM on the NMDOT website.

Conflict Resolution

The NMDOT, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6, MPOs, and RTPOs agree to resolve disagreements regarding the interpretation and implementation of this PPM at the lowest possible level. The Conflict Resolution process is initiated by an email from the MPO Planner or RTPO Planning Program Manager to the GTG Liaison, or from the GTG Liaison or GTG Supervisor to the MPO Officer or RTPO Program Manager. If a disagreement cannot be resolved at the lowest level, then the Conflict Resolution process listed below in Table 1 will be followed. The rows represent equivalent levels within the organizations. Any equivalent level-position within a row may participate in the discussion at their level. If Level Five is reached, at which FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 become involved, the appropriate FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 staff shall be identified by their organizations. When both parties at the lowest organizational level of the agencies have agreed to escalate, a meeting date will be established within 5 working days involving Level Two staff. If an agreement cannot be reached, then the issue will be escalated to the next level and a meeting date established within 3 working days, and so on according to Table 1. MPOs and RTPOs should note that FHWA/FTA do not become involved unless and until Level Five is reached. The goal is to achieve resolution at the lowest possible level. Mediation and facilitation may be used at any level to help expedite resolution. Mediation will occur with NMDOT concurrence up to and including Level Four. At Level Five, the FHWA-NM/FTA and NMDOT executive staff must concur that mediation is needed. The GTG Liaison will provide documentation of all disagreements and resolutions to all involved agencies and include the documents in the agency’s file at NMDOT. The FHWA-NM supports NMDOT in
spending Federal-Aid Highway Program (FAHP) funds appropriately within the bounds of regulatory flexibility and will provide an explanation of the rationale and decision making process when flexibility does NOT exist.

TABLE 1
Conflict Resolution Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level One</th>
<th>NMDOT/FHWA-NM/FTA</th>
<th>MPOs</th>
<th>RTPOs</th>
<th>Days to Respond or Move to Next Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GTG Liaison Project Manager</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>5 working days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-at DO, RDC, GO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level Two</th>
<th>NMDOT/FHWA-NM/FTA</th>
<th>MPOs</th>
<th>RTPOs</th>
<th>Days to Respond or Move to Next Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GTG Supervisor 1st</td>
<td>MPO Officer</td>
<td>COG Executive Director</td>
<td>3 working days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Bureau Chief*) PM Supervisor 1st (Assistant District Eng.*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level Three</th>
<th>NMDOT/FHWA-NM/FTA</th>
<th>MPOs</th>
<th>RTPOs</th>
<th>Days to Respond or Move to Next Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Division Director RDC Director District Engineer</td>
<td>COG Executive Director**</td>
<td>COG Executive Director</td>
<td>2 working days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level Four</th>
<th>NMDOT/FHWA-NM/FTA</th>
<th>MPOs</th>
<th>RTPOs</th>
<th>Days to Respond or Move to Next Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet Secretary</td>
<td>COG Executive Director**</td>
<td>COG Executive Director</td>
<td>2 working days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level Five</th>
<th>NMDOT/FHWA-NM/FTA</th>
<th>MPOs</th>
<th>RTPOs</th>
<th>Days to Respond or Move to Next Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabinet Secretary FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6</td>
<td>COG Executive Director**</td>
<td>COG Executive Director/RTPo Board/Committee Chair</td>
<td>2 working days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
* inclusion of Bureau Chief and/or Assistant District Engineer (ADE) is at the discretion of the GTG Supervisor/PM Supervisor
** MPOs not housed in COGs should follow applicable reporting procedures

COG = Council of Governments
DO = NMDOT District Office
FHWA-NM = New Mexico Division of the Federal Highway Administration
FTA Region 6 = Federal Transit Administration Region 6
GO = NMDOT General Office (Santa Fe)
GTG = Government to Government Unit
MPO = Metropolitan Planning Organization
PM = Project Manager
RDC = Regional Design Center
RTPO = Regional Transportation Planning = Transportation Management Area
Consequences of Non-Conformance by an MPO/RTPO

The following section outlines the procedure for addressing non-conformance by an MPO/RTPO. Examples of non-conformance include, but are not limited to, the following:

- not meeting deadlines as outlined in this PPM (and specified on the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline); *Note that some deadlines have automatic consequences if missed, such as if an MPO/RTPO does not submit a Work Program amendment by the stated deadline. The consequence of this is that the amendment is not included in the NMDOT Planning Work Program amendment, thus it is not approved. This does not count as non-conformance on the part of the MPO/RTPO. In addition, the NMDOT Division will make allowances for MPO/RTPO delays if NMDOT misses a deadline that affects the MPOs/RTPOs.*
- continuously submitting incorrect or incomplete information; and
- failing to adhere to the procedures outlined in this PPM.

Table 2, seen below, outlines the procedures the NMDOT will follow when addressing non-conformance on the part of an MPO/RTPO. Non-conformances are tracked cumulatively over the course of the federal fiscal year (FFY). Every instance of non-conformance results in the entity increasing the non-conformance level. For example, if an MPO/RTPO submits a Reimbursement Packet after the deadline and then misses a deadline for another work product, the MPO/RTPO is considered to be at Level 2. If an MPO/RTPO is at Level 1 or 2 at the close of the FFY, the MPO/RTPO will start off at Level 1 at the beginning of the following FFY. Level 3 and above, including Corrective Action Plan, carry forward into the following FFY.

Table 2
Non-Conformance Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Conformance Level</th>
<th>NMDOT Action</th>
<th>Notifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>GTG Liaison notifies MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager in writing of non-conformance</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>GTG Liaison notifies MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager in writing of non-conformance</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, MPO Officer/RTPO COG Executive Director copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>The MPO/RTPO develops a Corrective Action Plan and submits to the GTG Liaison for review/concurrence by the Bureau Chief and Division Director.</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, MPO Officer/RTPO COG Executive Director copied on submittal email for Corrective Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 4 (a “new” incident of non-conformance or failure to follow CAP) and any additional non-conformances</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor notifies MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager in writing of failure to follow Corrective Action Plan. Division Director informs MPO/RTPO Policy Board/Committee of pending loss of funds.</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, MPO Officer/RTPO COG Executive Director copied on email. Division Director notifies DOT Secretary of situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 5 (a “new” incident of non-conformance or failure to follow Corrective Action Plan) and any additional non-conformances</td>
<td>1) GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief and Division Director set up hearing with MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager, MPO Officer/RTPO COG Executive Director and MPO/RTPO Policy Board/Committee Chair to discuss suspension of payment.</td>
<td>NMDOT Secretary, FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 are provided notification of the hearing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) If a determination is made to suspend payment to the MPO/RTPO, DOT Cabinet Secretary sends letter to MPO Officer/RTPO COG Executive Director.</td>
<td>MPO/RTPO Policy Board/Committee Chair and FHWA and FTA copied on letter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3)</td>
<td>If the MPO/RTPO elects to appeal the decision, NMDOT will arrange a meeting with all parties, as well as the appropriate FHWA and FTA representatives.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please note that other types of non-conformance, such as on-going lack of communication or failure to meet deadlines outside of those specifically listed in the PPM may be grounds for NMDOT to follow the steps outlined above and/or require a Corrective Action Plan from the MPO/RTPO.
Transportation Planning Process in New Mexico

Federal law under 23 USC § 135(a) requires states to carry out a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive statewide transportation planning process (3-C Process) that considers all modes of transportation and provides for consideration and implementation of projects, strategies, and services that will:

- Support the economic vitality of the United States;
- Increase the safety and security of the transportation system for both motorized and non-motorized users;
- Increase accessibility and mobility of people and freight;
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- Promote efficient system management and operation; and,
- Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.¹

Transportation planning is a cooperative process designed to foster involvement by all users of the system (such as the business community, community groups, environmental organizations, the traveling public, freight operators, and the general public) through a proactive public participation process.²

Transportation planning involves a number of activities, including the following:

- Monitoring existing conditions
- Forecasting future population and employment growth, including assessing projected land uses in each region and identifying major growth corridors
- Identifying current and projected future transportation problems and needs and analyzing, through detailed planning studies, various transportation improvement strategies to address those needs
- Developing long range plans and short-range programs of alternative capital improvement and operational strategies for moving people and goods

---

¹ 23 USC § 135(d) – Statewide Transportation Planning: Scope of Planning Process
• Estimating the impact of recommended future improvements to the transportation system on environmental features, including air quality

• Developing a financial plan for securing sufficient revenues to cover the costs of implementing strategies

In New Mexico, the Planning Bureau (Bureau) within the NMDOT Asset Management and Planning Division (Division) oversees the statewide transportation planning process. The Bureau also works cooperatively with the MPOs, RPTOs, and transit operators to conduct the planning activities required by federal law. The Bureau also monitors MPO and RTPO activities to assure the metropolitan and non-metropolitan planning functions are carried out pursuant to 23 USC § 134, 23 USC § 135, and 49 USC § 5305 et. seq.

New Mexico funds transportation planning activities in the state using federal-aid dollars. The Federal-Aid Highway Program is a cost reimbursement program that typically requires a local match, with funds generally apportioned to each state by means of a statutory formula. NMDOT currently funds planning activities using two different categories of federal dollars:

• Statewide Planning and Research (SPR) dollars may be used to fund MPO and RTPO planning activities that meet the eligibility requirements.

• Metropolitan Planning (PL) dollars are used to fund MPO planning activities and operations. NMDOT sub-allocates the PL funds to the MPOs using a statewide formula developed cooperatively by the MPOs and NMDOT. The statewide formula for sub-allocating PL funds to the MPOs can be revisited upon request of an MPO or if federal actions change funding levels.

See Appendix A for more information on Federal-Aid Highway Program funding as well as other sources of transportation funding.

**Metropolitan Planning Organization Structure in New Mexico**

The MPOs are federally designated forums for cooperative decision making in metropolitan areas with populations over 50,000 people. The five New Mexico MPOs are as follows:

• El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization (EPMPO)
• Farmington Metropolitan Planning Organization (FMPO)
• Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MVMPO)
• Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MRMPO)
• Santa Fe Metropolitan Planning Organization (SFMPO)

The NMDOT contracts with EPMPO for transportation planning in southern Doña Ana and Otero counties. This area includes the cities of Sunland Park and Anthony and the communities of Chaparral and Santa Theresa, all within New Mexico. The EPMPO and MRMPO are designated as Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) by virtue of having populations greater than 200,000 people. The two TMAs receive federal Surface Transportation Program (STP) funding designated for urban areas and, as a result

---

of being classified as a nonattainment and/or maintenance TMA for certain air pollutants; they also receive Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement (CMAQ) Program funding allocated by formula for their metropolitan areas. The NMDOT authorizes the use of these funds and submits the obligation request to FHWA-NM on behalf of MRMPO. The three smaller MPOs are not allocated federal project funds directly and therefore rely on working with the NMDOT to obtain federal funds for projects in their metropolitan areas.

Regional Transportation Planning Organization Structure in New Mexico

The RTPOs are federally designated forums for cooperative planning and decision making in areas with populations of 50,000 or fewer people. The seven New Mexico RTPOs are as follows:

- Mid-Region Regional Transportation Planning Organization (MRRTPO)
- Northeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (NERTPO)
- Northern Pueblos Regional Transportation Planning Organization (NPRTPO)
- Northwest Regional Transportation Planning Organization (NWRTPO)
- South Central Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SCRTPO)
- Southeast Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SERTPO)
- Southwest Regional Transportation Planning Organization (SWRTPO)

Consistent with federal surface transportation law, the RTPOs have been established and designated by NMDOT to enhance the planning, coordination, and implementation of statewide strategic long range transportation plans and transportation improvement programs, with an emphasis on addressing the needs of nonmetropolitan areas of the state.  

Coordination with MPOs and RTPOs

NMDOT coordinates with the MPOs and RTPOs through the following (see the Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Regional Transportation Planning Organizations chapters of this PPM for more information):

- Cooperative Agreements (CAs) – These are the basic contractual agreements between the NMDOT and the fiscal agents that oversee the MPOs and RTPOs. The CAs delineate the responsibilities of each organization to carry out the tasks contained in the Work Programs. The CAs are designed to remain in effect for two Work Program cycles. The Transit and Rail Division prepares an Agreement to address FTA planning funds. The Division prepares a CA to address FHWA planning funds. Work Programs – MPOs are required to submit a 2-year Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) and all RTPOs are required to submit a 2-year Regional Work Program (RWP). In addition, the NMDOT Division will submit a 2-year Planning Work Program (PWP) to the New Mexico Division of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA-NM) and FTA Region 6. The PWP includes all of the MPO and RTPO work programs as part of the submittal package. All Work Programs include 2-year

---

4 23 USC § 135(m)(1) – Statewide Transportation Planning: Designation of Regional Transportation Planning Organizations
5 Prior to Federal Fiscal Year 2015, Memorandums of Agreement were used.
How is federal transportation funding provided to states and metropolitan areas?

(Excerpted from “The Transportation Planning Process Key Issues: A Briefing Book for Transportation Decisionmakers, Officials, and Staff”)

Federal funds are made available through a specific process:

- **Authorizing Legislation:** Congress enacts legislation (such as the current MAP-21) that establishes or continues the existing operation of a federal program or agency, including the amount of money it anticipated to be available to spend or grant to states, MPOs, and transit operators. Congress generally reauthorizes federal surface transportation programs over multiple years. The amount authorized, however, is not always the amount that ends up actually being available to spend.

- **Appropriations:** Each year, Congress decides on the federal budget for the next fiscal year. As a result of the appropriation process, the amount appropriated to a federal program is often less than the amount authorized for a given year and is the actual amount available to federal agencies to spend or grant.

- **Apportionment:** The distribution of program funds among states and metropolitan areas (for most transit funds) using a formula provided in law is called an apportionment. An apportionment is usually made on the first day of the federal fiscal year (October 1) for which the funds are authorized. At that time, the funds are available for obligation (spending) by a state, in accordance with an approved Statewide Transportation Program (STIP). In many cases, the state is the designated recipient for federal transportation funds and the MPO or RTPO is the sub-recipient. In some cases, transit operators are the recipient.

- **Obligation Authority (Limitation):** Congress sets a restriction or “ceiling” to control the rate at which funds may be used. This is a statutory budgetary control mechanism.

- **Determining Eligibility:** Only certain projects and activities are eligible to receive federal transportation funding. Criteria depend on the funding source.

- **Match:** Most federal transportation programs require a non-federal match. State or local governments must contribute some portion of the project cost. This matching level is established by legislation. For many programs the amount the state or local governments have to contribute

---

6 Prior to FFY15 all Work Programs (PWP, UPWP, RWP) covered only one year.

7 Prior to FFY16 Work Authorizations were issued by the Bureau documenting how much funding was available to MPOs and RTPOs.
Planning Bureau

The Planning Bureau (Bureau) is one of several bureaus within the NMDOT Asset Management and Planning Division (Division), located in the NMDOT’s General Office in Santa Fe (see Appendix A for Division Organizational Chart). The Bureau coordinates New Mexico’s statewide transportation planning program and ensures compliance with Federal and state planning regulations governing Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) and, for the nonmetropolitan consultation process, through working with Regional Transportation Planning Organizations (RTPOs). Therefore, Bureau staff works closely with FHWA-NM and virtually every other functional group within the NMDOT throughout a typical year.

Bureau staff participates in many department-wide studies, including (in recent years):

- Statewide Rail Plan
- Various Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) Implementation Teams
- State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual
- Strategic Highway Safety Plan (SHSP) (formerly the Comprehensive Transportation Safety Plan [CTSP])

The Bureau leads development of several planning efforts including the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP) and the NMDOT’s Public Involvement Process (PIP), as well as administering the Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) and other federal funding programs. The Bureau developed and maintains the Statewide Travel Demand Model (STDM) and provides geographic information system (GIS) services to augment the statewide planning program. Periodically, the Bureau conducts special GIS studies addressing topics of current need or interest. In recent years, these topics have included a freight study; a tolling study; a border master plan in the area of El Paso/Las Cruces/Chihuahua; a travel energy study; and an analysis of potential revenue sources as part of a multi-year review of sustainable transportation funding alternatives. Each of these tasks is described in more detail in subsequent sections.

Additionally, the Bureau provides coordination, technical assistance, and training to local and tribal governments for planning and project implementation through the efforts of NMDOT’s Tribal Liaison, the Local Technical Assistance Program and the Bicycle/Pedestrian/Equestrian Coordinator.

Organization of the Statewide Planning Bureau

The Bureau is comprised of the Government to Government (GTG) Unit and the Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP). The Bureau Chief is responsible for the operations of the Bureau. A supervisor oversees each unit and reports to the Bureau Chief. The GTG Unit consists of eight planners in Santa Fe and two in Las Cruces who report to the GTG supervisor. LTAP has two employees who report to the supervisor of that program. Appendix A contains an organization chart for the Bureau.

Government to Government Unit

The Government to Government (GTG) Unit has the following responsibilities:

- Monitoring state and federal legislation pertaining to transportation appropriations and policies
- Conducting research into national best practices related to state transportation planning programs
• Developing and overseeing implementation of the NMTP, the PIP, and other plans required by federal regulations
• Providing technical assistance, including transportation modeling and analysis, to other planning efforts initiated by the NMDOT
• Coordinating local involvement in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
• Managing the Transportation Alternatives, Highway Safety Improvement, Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (Flexible), Recreational Trails, Safe Routes to School, Scenic Byways, Bicycle/Pedestrian/Equestrian, Tribal Outreach and International Planning programs

The GTG Unit administers contracts for the seven RTPOs and five MPOs, and works closely with NMDOT’s District Offices, STIP Unit, Design Regions, and other Divisions to ensure proactive enforcement of state and federal laws. Several of the GTG planners serve as liaisons to the MPOs and RTPOs. Of the two GTG planners housed in the South Region Design Center in Las Cruces, one works with the MPOs, RTPOs, and districts in the southern part of the state and the International Planner is responsible for border-related projects and activities.

The GTG liaisons to the MPOs/RTPOs are responsible for the following:
• Serving as the NMDOT point of contact for their respective MPOs and/or RTPOs
• Facilitating communication and coordination between the MPOs/RTPOs and the different areas of NMDOT, including the six District Offices
• Reviewing and processing MPO/RTPO Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letters, Quarterly Reports and Invoices)
• Reviewing MPO/RTPO Work Programs and other work products
• Meeting with the MPO/RTPO on a regular basis (quarterly at a minimum) to discuss progress on the Work Program and any other issues
• Conducting Quality Assurance Reviews
• Providing technical assistance to the MPOs and RTPOs on work products and other issues, as needed

Local Technical Assistance Program

The Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) provides local and tribal agencies with a variety of adaptable tools (such as training events, technology transfer resources, and personalized onsite heavy equipment training) for improving their transportation operations. The LTAP does not provide engineering services, but does offer proven solutions to many transportation problems. To assist local and tribal agencies, the LTAP performs the following services:
• Publishes a quarterly newsletter
• Maintains the Lending, Multi-Media, and Resource Libraries
• Provides information regarding transportation issues
• Conducts training based on local or tribal needs
• Provides assistance, referrals, or information through onsite, telephone, or email consultation
The LTAP staff includes the Program Manager, an Administrative Assistant, and an in-house Trainer. The LTAP contracts with local and national vendors, including several state educational institutions, to provide training tailored to meet the needs of local entities and Departmental staff.

## Statewide Planning Work Products and Activities

The Bureau is responsible for several recurring FHWA-NM planning activities (described previously in this chapter). The Division includes two other bureaus that contribute significantly to statewide planning: the Research Bureau and the Data Management Bureau.

The Research Bureau develops a Planning Work Program (PWP) and oversees the research-project selection process related to the expenditure of federal State Planning and Research (SPR) funds specifically appropriated for statewide research activities. The Research Bureau’s PWP is incorporated into the Division’s PWP along with the Bureau’s components.

The Data Management Bureau plays a key role in acquiring traffic counts, crash and roadway data that feed the NMDOT’s Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) annual submittal to FHWA-NM.

Statewide planning is further augmented by staff and work products from the STIP and GIS Units within the NMDOT, as well as the activities of the International Planner, which are also funded by federal SPR funds and incorporated in the Division’s PWP. The STIP and GIS Units are housed at the NMDOT’s General Office in Santa Fe. The International Planner works out of the South Region Design Center in Las Cruces.

The Bureau work products are as follows:

- Stewardship and Oversight Agreement (SOA) documentation
- Public Involvement Plan (PIP)
- Consultation procedures with nonmetropolitan local officials and tribal governments
- U.S. Census-related
  1) “Smoothed” urbanized area boundary map
  2) Roadway functional classification map
  3) Funding distribution formula revision recommendations
  4) Identification of additional transportation management areas (TMAs)
  5) MPO/RTPO boundary map
  6) Updated Statewide Travel Demand Model
- New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP)
- Planning Work Program (PWP) and modifications/quarterly amendments
- Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER)
- Self-Certification Documentation
- Notice of Funding and Notice to Proceed Letters to MPOs/RTPOs

Figure 1 in Appendix B shows the activities that the Bureau, MPOs, RTPOs, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 participate in monthly, as part of the comprehensive, cooperative, and coordinated planning process in New Mexico.
Stewardship and Oversight Agreement (with FHWA-NM)

The Stewardship and Oversight Agreement documents the extent to which the NMDOT assumes the responsibilities of the FHWA-NM and where FHWA-NM retains responsibility for administering the Federal-Aid Highway Program (FAHP). The FAHP is a state-administered program and FHWA-NM provides oversight through a risk-based approach at the project and program levels. In order to ensure that the Agreement stays current, a team from NMDOT and FHWA-NM will periodically review the document. Other triggers for review are:

- When significant new legislation, executive orders, or other initiatives affecting the relationship or responsibilities of one or both parties to the Stewardship and Oversight Agreement occurs.
- When leadership or leadership direction changes at the NMDOT or FHWA-NM.
- If priorities shift as a result of audits, public perception, or changes in staffing at either the NMDOT or FHWA-NM office.

The NMDOT Division is required by the Stewardship and Oversight Agreement to prepare and submit an annual report to FHWA-NM at the end of each calendar year.

Public Involvement Process

The Public Involvement Process (PIP) documents the process used by the NMDOT to provide opportunities for public review and comment at key decision points during the development of the main statewide planning products, the NMTP and STIP, and special programs (for example, the Bicycle/ Pedestrian/Equestrian Program, TAP, and Statewide Highway Safety Plan [formerly Comprehensive Transportation Safety Plan]).

While the Bureau is responsible for the PIP at the statewide level, at a project level, the NMDOT Environmental Development Section and its consultants use a more detailed and aligned public involvement plan that combines context sensitivity and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requirements. The plan is intended to be a “living” document and may be adjusted as the project design evolves. Such adjustments include the goals of collaboration with the community, a thorough analysis of project context before commencement of engagement with the public, a review of modal considerations and connectivity, as well as opportunities to express local values such as review of the functional classification, the design speed, and aesthetic gateway and place-making treatments. The use of multidisciplinary study teams, transparent decision-making, and stakeholder engagement is crucial to ensure the public’s input is reflected in the final plan. The PIP is updated regularly to reflect changes in transportation needs and public input.

Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the state’s transportation capital improvement program. Federally funded and/or regionally significant projects in the MPO/RTPO areas are reflected in the MPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) or the RTPO list of recommended projects. The New Mexico STIP is a 6-year plan and includes specific funding levels by year for project implementation. The STIP is fiscally constrained so that program costs do not exceed estimated revenues. The STIP must be consistent with the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP) and the MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs). The FHWA-NM approves the STIP every 2 years. Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual on the NMDOT website for more information about the STIP and TIP procedures, including transfer of funds between programs, FHWA to FTA, and state to state.

Under MAP-21, the NMDOT is required to develop statewide performance based measures and targets which will establish investment priorities. This PPM, the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual, and the STIP database will be updated as the NMDOT develops, and FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 approve, the plans, targets, and evaluation criteria required under MAP-21.
making, and the flexibility of design guidelines, and consensus with a wide-range of stakeholders on the purpose and need, evaluation criteria, preferred alternatives development, and impacts identification and mitigation are core to this process.

According to federal requirements, both public involvement processes must at a minimum:

- Establish early and continuous public involvement opportunities that provide timely information about transportation issues and decision-making processes.
- Provide reasonable public access to technical and policy information used in the development of the NMTP and STIP.
- Provide adequate public notice of public involvement activities and time for review and comment at key decision points, including but not limited to a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed NMTP and STIP.
- To the maximum extent practicable, ensure that public meetings are held at convenient and accessible locations and times.
- To the maximum extent practicable, use visualization techniques to describe the proposed long range statewide transportation plan and supporting studies.
- To the maximum extent practicable, make public information available in electronically accessible format and means, such as the worldwide web/internet, as appropriate to afford reasonable opportunity for consideration of public information.
- Demonstrate explicit consideration and response to public input during the development of the NMTP and STIP.
- Include a process for seeking out and considering the needs of those traditionally underserved by existing transportation systems, such as low-income and minority households, who may face challenges accessing employment and other services.
- Provide for the periodic review of the effectiveness of the public involvement process to ensure that the process provides full and open access to all interested parties and revise the process, as appropriate.

The PIP should ideally align with the Public Participation Plans developed by New Mexico’s MPOs. To accomplish this, the PIP addresses these key components:

- Visualization techniques
- Performance measures/evaluation
- Process/strategies
- Language translation
- Environmental justice issues (Title VI)
- Branding/marketing
- Public participation tools and strategies

---

8 23 CFR §450.210(a)(1) – Interested Parties, Public Involvement, and Consultation
9 The NMDOT Title VI Plan is prepared by the Title VI Coordinator with input from all NMDOT divisions, including the Asset Management and Planning Division (Division).
How public comments will be addressed

While there is no federal requirement for how often the PIP is updated, the NMDOT reviews the process every 4 years in coordination with the NMTP and/or when new federal legislation takes effect. At minimum, the state is required to allow 45 days for public review and written comment before new procedures and any major revisions to existing procedures are adopted.\(^\text{10}\)

The final PIP is adopted by NMDOT after public comments are incorporated following the 45-day public comment period and is submitted to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes. The current PIP is available on the NMDOT website.

Review and Report on Nonmetropolitan Local Officials Consultation Procedures

Federal regulations require states to develop and document a process(es) for consulting with nonmetropolitan, local officials representing units of general purpose local government and/or local officials with responsibility for transportation that is separate and discrete from the public involvement process. The process should provide opportunity for their participation in the development of the NMTP and STIP.\(^\text{11}\) The state meets this federal requirement through its RTPO structure.

At least once every 5 years, the Bureau solicits comments from nonmetropolitan local officials and other interested parties for a period of not less than 60 calendar days regarding the effectiveness of the current consultation process and any proposed changes. The Division Director or the Bureau Chief sends out a request for comments in the form of a survey distributed via email and/or regular mail to the RTPOs, their member entities, and other local government entities that are not currently participating in the RTPO process. The Bureau Chief conducted the most recent review in 2010. The Bureau Chief compiles all survey responses and distributes the compilation to the RTPO Planners. The Bureau Chief reports on the compilation at an RTPO quarterly meeting in order to solicit additional comments and make a consensus-based determination whether any changes are needed to the current nonmetropolitan, local officials’ consultation procedure. The resulting documentation is then submitted to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 for informational purposes. The next formal review is due in 2015.

Review and Report on Tribal Governments and Secretary of the Interior Consultation Procedures

Under federal law, the NMDOT is required to develop a process for consulting with Indian Tribal Governments and the Secretary of Interior during development of the NMTP and STIP. The process should outline roles, responsibilities, and key decision points for consulting with Indian Tribal Governments and federal land management agencies.\(^\text{12}\) Comments about the current process(es) are solicited from the Tribal governments and the Secretary of the Interior at least once every 5 years. After the close of the 60-calendar day comment period, the Bureau determines whether to adopt any proposed changes. If a proposed change is not adopted, the Bureau provides the reasons for not accepting the proposed change, including notification to Tribal governments and the Secretary of the Interior. The revised procedures document is distributed to Tribal governments who participated in the survey. The final review document is submitted to the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes.

\(^{10}\) 23 CFR §450.210(a)(2) – Interested Parties, Public Involvement, and Consultation

\(^{11}\) 23 CFR §450.210(b)(1),(2)– Interested Parties, Public Involvement, and Consultation

\(^{12}\) 23 CFR §450.210(c) – Interested Parties, Public Involvement, and Consultation
The NMDOT Tribal Liaison, housed in the GTG Unit of the Bureau, utilizes a formal tribal consultative process. This process is outlined in the Public Involvement Process Project, completed under the FFY2014 Planning Work Program. The benefits of the effective consultation that NMDOT has with the Tribal entities demonstrates how strong communication and well-established partnerships are essential for developing and maintaining a solid Tribal consultation processes. The NMDOT Tribal Liaison is proactive in conducting outreach, coordinating agreements, mediating misunderstandings, and building close relationships based on experience and accountability. The Tribal Liaison fosters partnership agreements between NMDOT and the Tribes by facilitating communication between Tribal transportation staff and NMDOT District Offices. Overall, New Mexico’s active approach to partnering with Tribes is essential for planning projects and implementing policies that best serve the needs of Tribal members and other users of transportation infrastructure on Tribal lands.

The NMDOT Tribal Liaison reports on Planning Work Program activities in the Annual Performance and Expenditure Report submitted to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6.

**FHWA-NM / FTA Region 6 - Initiated Audits and Risk Assessments**

FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 have the authority to conduct a Risk Assessment of the Bureau on an as-needed basis at their determination. The assessment process varies depending on the nature and severity of the perceived risk.

An example is the joint FHWA-NM/NMDOT Transportation Management Area (TMA) federal certification reviews conducted every four years. To prepare for the Risk Assessments, Bureau staff involved in oversight of the expenditure of federal planning funds and grant programs reviews and compiles hard copies of related communications, agreements, invoices, work products, etc., in standardized file format. The Bureau Chief and GTG Supervisor then conduct an initial review of the compiled files for completeness and accuracy.

**Planning Activities Triggered by U.S. Decennial Census**

The final release of U.S. Census data is a catalyst for a number of federally mandated planning activities. These activities typically occur within the two fiscal years following the final U.S. Census data release. These activities are conducted in coordination with the state’s MPOs and RTPOs. The following subsections describe these non-annual recurring activities.

**Revision of Funding Distribution Formulas.** New population statistics for existing MPOs necessitate the need to review and adjust planning and transit funding distribution formulas used for planning purposes. This review is conducted in a cooperative process that involves the NMDOT Bureau, FHWA-NM, and MPOs. The Division Director submits recommendations to FHWA-NM for review and approval. It is important to note that a review of the funding distribution formula may also be initiated at the request of the MPOs or the NMDOT at any time and as often as needed.

**Identification of Additional Transportation Management Areas.** When the final census data is released, the U.S. Census concurrently publishes the FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 list of TMAs in the Federal Register. This action necessitates reviewing the list to determine if any new TMAs (or potential MPOs for that matter) have been identified for New Mexico. If any are identified, the Bureau is responsible for
following through by coordinating the establishment of new TMAs and/or MPOs with affected local officials and FHWA-NM.

**Creation of a New MPO/MPO Boundary Changes.** An MPO shall be designated for each urbanized area with a population of more than 50,000 individuals (as determined by the U.S. Census). MPO designation shall be made by agreement between the Governor and units of general-purpose local government that together represent at least 75 percent of the affected population (including the largest incorporated city, based on population, as named by the U.S. Census) or in accordance with procedures established by applicable state or local law.\(^\text{13}\)

MPO boundaries are required by federal regulation\(^\text{14}\) to, at a minimum, encompass the entire existing urbanized areas as defined by the U.S. Census plus the contiguous area expected to become urbanized with a 20-year forecast period for the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP).

**Therefore, it is important to note that the decennial U.S. Census, the adoption of a new MTP, or a request from a municipality to be included within the MPO, may result in the identification of new or expanded urban area boundaries that necessitate a review and potential expansion of MPO boundaries.**

The NMDOT is responsible for notifying an MPO of the need for such a review upon receiving notice of final demarcation of urban areas published by the U.S. Census. The MPO is responsible for noting the potential need to expand its boundaries within the context of an updated MTP. In the case of a potential boundary expansion, the NMDOT and the MPO will jointly prepare and present information pertaining to statewide transportation planning, the Federal-Aid Highway Program, and the MPO process in a public forum to residents and elected/appointed officials residing within the newly defined urban areas.

The formal submittal and approval process for revising an MPO’s boundaries requires the Governor’s approval. Following boundary approval by the MPO and the Governor, the NMDOT Division Director will forward the new boundary description(s) to the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes.\(^\text{15}\)

**Urbanized Area Boundary Smoothing.** Concurrently with the release of the final Census data, the U.S. Census also releases the Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER)/Line shapefiles for the urbanized area and Urban Cluster (UC) boundaries. These new boundaries are analyzed to determine if current MPO boundaries need to be revised or “smoothed.” If revisions are necessary, the Bureau works with the MPOs to ensure their urbanized area boundaries are modified prior to their next regularly scheduled Metropolitan Transportation Plan update or within 4 years of the final release of census data (whichever comes first). The MPO must follow its PPP and the criteria reported in Section 6.4 of *Highway Functional Classification Concepts, Criteria and Procedures – 2012 Edition* (FHWA, 2012). Proposed boundary adjustments are submitted to the Bureau for review/approval and to FHWA-NM for concurrence before being finalized and officially incorporated into subsequent planning activities. The final boundary revisions are also incorporated in the NMDOT GIS database. Resulting changes to MPO boundaries must be approved by the Governor.

---

\(^\text{13}\) 23 CFR §450.310 – Metropolitan Planning Organization Designation and Redesignation

\(^\text{14}\) 23 CFR §450.312 – Metropolitan Planning Area Boundaries

\(^\text{15}\) 23 CFR §450.312(a-j) – Metropolitan Planning Area Boundaries
Statewide Functional Classification Review. Revisions to the urbanized area and UC boundaries may cause revisions to the functional classification of the state’s roadways (for example, a rural collector may now be inside an urbanized area, necessitating an urban classification and in some cases, a new jurisdictional owner, for the roadway). Changes to functional classifications and roadway owners may impact federal funding eligibility for the roadways whose functional classifications are modified through the boundary smoothing process.

This statewide review is led by the Bureau. The GTG Liaisons provide guidance and training to the MPOs and RTPOs about new functional classification definitions and funding eligibility requirements to assist them with the functional classification review. The MPOs assume the lead for their planning areas and the NMDOT Bureau leads the review for the nonmetropolitan areas. In addition, the Bureau develops and updates guidance regarding functional classification eligibility, submittal and review criteria for MPOs and RTPOs to use as needed in between decennial census reporting periods, in response to new development or travel patterns within the metropolitan planning area.

Statewide Travel Demand Model Updates. The Statewide Travel Demand Model is updated using the early release of census data, which provides key socioeconomic inputs useful for updating the model. The Model’s Transportation Analysis Zones (TAZs) are reviewed and updated as necessary upon the final release of the Census data and the urbanized area/UC boundaries. TAZ updates are completed in collaboration with the MPOs so the TAZs are consistent between the statewide and MPO travel demand models.

New Mexico Transportation Plan

The New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP) addresses the movement of both people and goods throughout New Mexico. It provides a visionary, performance-based, and strategic framework to guide decision-making at all levels in NMDOT and by New Mexico’s MPOs and RTPOs. The framework helps ensure that New Mexico’s transportation system supports the well-being of all of the state’s citizens and visitors, and that transportation projects, programs, and policies are rational, fiscally responsible, environmentally sustainable, and accountable to taxpayers and system users.

The NMTP outlines a future vision for multimodal transportation in the state and defines realistic goals, objectives, performance measures and targets to achieve that vision. The NMTP integrates, harmonizes, builds upon, and refines existing studies, plans, and policies from the NMDOT, MPOs, RTPOs, and other agencies. The plan identifies (1) strategies and actions needed to connect all elements of the state’s transportation system; (2) elements of the system needing improvement; and (3) new elements (including programs) needed to ensure that New Mexico’s multimodal transportation system is safe, efficient, and effective. An actionable and fiscally constrained implementation strategy is an important component of the NMTP.

A key function of the NMTP is to help ensure that NMDOT has sufficient fiscal resources to build, operate and maintain the state’s transportation system on a long-term, sustainable basis. The plan is a useful tool for helping ensure that New Mexico’s existing transportation assets are properly managed and maintained over time, and that future system additions and programs are both cost-effective and consistent with demonstrated transportation needs.

The NMTP has a minimum 20-year time horizon and is updated every 4 years. Updates occur in coordination with updates of Metropolitan Transportation Plans by the MPOs and Regional Transportation Plans (RTPs) by the RTPOs. Typical update activities include:

- Cooperating closely with the MPOs and RTPOs throughout the plan development process.
- Forming committees and working groups to guide and inform the update effort.
• Reaching out broadly to transportation stakeholders and the general public throughout the plan development process.
• Monitoring and analyzing new transportation-related legislation for planning implications.
• Researching best national transportation planning practices for applicability to the NMTP.
• Synthesizing existing NMDOT data, plans, and studies.
• Updating inventories and assessing the existing condition and performance of the statewide transportation system.
• Developing forecasts of population, economic activity, travel demand, revenue, and other key planning variables and analyzing their transportation implications.
• Developing planning scenarios, a future vision for the transportation system, etc., based on public and stakeholder input.
• Reviewing and revising goals, objectives, targets, and performance measures based on the future vision and with substantive public and stakeholder input.
• Developing plan alternatives and adopting a final plan.

A Statewide Freight Plan is a component of the NMTP that provides a comprehensive plan for the immediate and long range planning activities and investments with respect to freight. The Freight Plan is updated in conjunction with the NMTP update. Per federal regulations, the Freight Plan includes at a minimum:

• Identification of significant freight system trends, needs, and issues with respect to the state.
• Analysis and description of how international freight entering the New Mexico ports will affect the overall freight corridors and systems (rail and truck).
• Description of the freight policies, strategies, and performance measures that will guide the freight-related transportation investment decisions of the state.
• Description of how the plan will improve the ability of the state to meet the national freight goals established under 23 USC § 167.
• Evidence of consideration of innovative technologies and operational strategies, including intelligent transportation systems that improve the safety and efficiency of freight movement.
• Description of improvements that may be required to reduce or impede the deterioration on the routes on which travel by heavy vehicles (including mining, agricultural, energy cargo or equipment, and timber vehicles) is projected to substantially deteriorate the condition of roadways.
• Inventory of facilities with freight mobility issues, such as truck bottlenecks, within the State, and a description of the strategies the state is employing to address those freight mobility issues.

The review/approval process for the NMTP as outlined in MAP-21 requires that the NMDOT develop the NMTP in cooperation with the state’s MPOs and RTPOs. In addition, New Mexico State Law requires that the NMDOT obtain the approval from the New Mexico State Transportation Commission (STC) for

16 MAP-21 Subtitle A Section 1118(a) – State Freight Plans: General
17 MAP-21 Subtitle A Section 1118(b) – State Freight Plans: Plan Contents
any changes in transportation policy proposed in the NMTP.\textsuperscript{19} For these reasons, both the MPO/RTPO policy boards and the STC must give concurrence to the NMTP. Formal approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 is not required. However, the Bureau Chief is ultimately responsible for ensuring that both of these agencies are engaged during the plan development process and receive copies of the final approved plan for informational purposes.

**Asset Management and Planning Division Planning Work Program**

The Planning Work Program (PWP) identifies the planning activities the NMDOT Asset Management and Planning Division (Division) and the state’s MPOs and RTPOs will accomplish during the fiscal year. As of FFY15, the NMDOT Division will submit 2-year PWPs to the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6. The PWP is a compilation of several work programs and associated budgets from different NMDOT bureaus including Statewide Planning, Data Management, and Research as well as the Local Technical Assistance Program Work Program, the MPO Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWPs) and the RTPO Regional Work Programs (RWPs). All of these are two-year plans.

The production of the PWP and subsequent quarterly amendments is a collaborative effort between program managers, bureau chiefs, and the Division Director. Planners/Liaisons in the GTG Unit work with MPO/RTPO staff to assist them with the production of their work programs (see Appendix C [MPO] or D [RTPO] for the Work Program Review Checklist). The Division Director coordinates with the FHWA-NM Division Administrator and FTA Region 6 for document reviews and approvals. The PWP is submitted every two years (with budgets submitted annually) to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 in compliance with 23 CFR §§ 420.101-121 and 450.200-208 and MAP-21. This regulation requires that state DOTs develop a program that documents the work to be accomplished within each fiscal year for approval by the FHWA-NM Division Administrator and FTA Region 6 Regional Office Administrator. The FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 submit a letter to the Division Director confirming approval of the PWP. The Bureau Chief or designee forwards this letter to the MPOs and RTPOs.

**Statewide Planning Funding Sources**

The NMDOT uses the following federal funding sources to accomplish its Statewide Planning Program (as outlined in the PWP):

- **Metro-Planning (PL)\textsuperscript{20}**
  - Distributed to the MPOs by agreed upon, FHWA-NM-approved formula predicated on multiple planning factors in addition to population
  - Subject to the NMDOT’s annual spending authority/obligation limitation
  - Authorized for the federal fiscal year associated with the current, approved MPO Work Program
  - Local match is 14.56%, federal share is 85.44%

- **State Planning and Research (SPR)\textsuperscript{21}**
  - Funds the NMDOT Statewide Planning Program (as identified in PWP)
  - Funds RTPO planning activities as identified in the Regional Work Plans (RWPs)

\textsuperscript{19} “The state transportation commission has charge of all policy matters pertaining to the expenditure of the state road fund in the construction, improvement and maintenance of state highways and bridges in the state.” (67-3-14 NMSA)

\textsuperscript{20} Governed by 23 USC § 104(f) – Apportionment; 23 USC § 134 – Metropolitan Transportation Planning

\textsuperscript{21} Governed by 23 USC § 505 – State Planning and Research; 23 USC §§ 420.101-121 – Subpart A: Administration of FHWA Planning and Research Funds; 23 USC § 420.105 – What is the FHWA’s policy on use of FHWA planning and research funds?
- Funds certain eligible elements of the MPO Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWPs) if adequate funds are available
- Subject to state’s annual spending authority/obligation limitation
- Local match is 20% and federal share is 80%

- Metropolitan Transportation (FTA)\(^{22}\)
  - Distributed to the MPOs by agreed upon formula, FHWA-FTA approved formula predicated on multiple planning factors in addition to population
  - Subject to the NMDOT’s annual spending authority/obligation limitation

- Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning (FTA)\(^{23}\)
  - Available to NMDOT and rural transit agencies for planning purposes leading to implementation of service or to meet an FTA or State regulatory requirement for rural transit agencies
  - Subject to the NMDOT’s annual spending authority/obligation limitation

- Surface Transportation Program - Large Urban (STP-L)
  - Distributed to the MPOs by population; subject to the NMDOT district targets and fiscal constraint
  - Unspent funds are subject to the NMDOT’s annual spending authority/obligation limitation
  - Local match is 14.56%, federal share is 85.44%

Since the Federal-Aid Highway Program is a reimbursement program, and because it requires a match, the State Road Fund is used to pay expenses up-front.

SPR and PL funds are designated specifically for statewide planning and research and MPO planning, respectively, and cannot be transferred to other programs for construction projects. Per federal regulations, a minimum of 25 percent of the SPR funds must be used for research, development, and technology funds related to highway, public transportation, and intermodal transportation systems.\(^{24}\)

Therefore, the NMDOT applies the other 75 percent of SPR towards statewide planning activities, including funding the RTPOs. The federal funds require a 20-percent state match, and this match is provided by either the MPO/RTPO or the New Mexico State Road Fund. The state applies its sliding scale to the match required for PL and STP funds distributed to the MPOs (federal share is 85.44% and local match is 14.56%).

FTA Metropolitan (Section 5303) and statewide and Nonmetropolitan (Section 5304) Transportation Planning programs provide funding and procedural requirements for multimodal transportation planning in metropolitan areas and states that is cooperative, continuous, and comprehensive, resulting in long range plans and short-range programs of transportation investment priorities, Federal share is 80% with a required 20% non-federal match. MPOs in large urbanized areas designated as transportation management areas (TMAs) must include transit officials on their policy boards.\(^{25}\)

The regulatory controls on the use of Federal-Aid Highway Program

\(^{22}\) 49 USC § 5303 – Metropolitan Transportation Planning
\(^{23}\) 49 USC § 5304 – Statewide and Nonmetropolitan Transportation Planning
\(^{24}\) 23 CFR §420.107(a) – What is the minimum required expenditure of State planning and research funds for research development and technology transfer?
\(^{25}\) 23 USC § 134(d)(2) – Structure
funds are too extensive to list in their entirety in this PPM. Therefore, GTG Liaison, MPO Planners, and RTPO Program Managers are all expected to know, comply with, and advise their respective entities on the Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments (2 CFR Part 200) and the Uniform Administrative Requirements for Grants and Cooperative Agreements to State, Local and Indian Tribal Governments (49 CFR Part 18).

The PWP (as well as the UPWPs and RWPs) includes a budget outlining cost estimates for each planning activity or task that show federal, state, local, and other matching share. The PWP includes the MPO and RTPO work programs since the NMDOT receives the SPR funds for metropolitan and regional planning activities, and then distributes these funds to the organizations. The annual amount of SPR funding authorized to New Mexico is calculated as a 2-percent set-aside from the National Highway Performance Program (NHPP), STP, HSIP, and CMAQ apportionments. The annual amount of PL funds authorized is established as an independent program in the authorization legislation. Both SPR and PL funding apportionment amounts are reduced by the annual obligation limitation, which varies from year to year based on the funding levels of Federal Highway Trust Fund. This percentage is provided to NMDOT by the federal agencies at the beginning of the fiscal year.

All reports that document the results of activities performed with federal funds must be prepared by the NMDOT or MPOs/RTPOs (or contractors employed by them) and submitted for approval by the FHWA-NM Division Administrator prior to publication (the Division Administrator may waive the requirement for prior approval). FHWA-NM approval of a report constitutes acceptance of the report as evidence of work performed, but does not imply endorsement by the FHWA-NM of a report’s findings or recommendations.

Beginning in FFY 2014, all new transit projects utilizing CMAQ and STP funds through NMDOT will be transferred to FTA for grant making purposes, and administered directly with FTA by the lead agency (NMDOT, large urban transit agency or small urban transit agency).

**Planning Project Database**

The Planning Project Database is similar to the STIP but it contains all planning projects for the state (which are not necessarily included in the main STIP), including:

- RTPO and MPO contracts
- Tasks listed in the Bureau PWP
- All other projects funded by SPR or PL funds

The database is updated on a quarterly basis and follows the PWP amendment cycle. Amendments can include changes to the scope of work or task description in the PWP or MPO UPWPs, changes in budget, and moving tasks between fiscal years in 2-year AWPs and UPWPs.

**Authorization of Funds for the PWP and Notice to Proceed Letters for MPOs and RTPOs**

Upon receiving FHWA and FTA approval of the PWP, the GTG Unit works with other sections of NMDOT (Funding Control and Division Financial Section) to initiate authorization of the federal funds for the projects and programs identified in the PWP, including the MPO and RTPO work programs. The process is as follows:

1. The GTG Planner responsible for administering the Planning Project Database assigns an appropriate Control Number for each project/program and enters the information into the database. Control Numbers typically cover one year of funding except in the case of multi-year projects and for MRMPO STP-L funds which are assigned Control Numbers for two years.
2. At the request of the project manager identified in the Planning Project Database, a request is sent to NMDOT Funding Control for a final check and entry into the Federal Management Information System (FMIS). The funding request then goes to FHWA for final approval, at which time the funding is obligated. Funding Control or FHWA may request additional information from the project manager before processing or approving the forms in FMIS. This process can take several weeks.

3. The GTG Unit Supervisor or designee drafts the MPO and RTPO Notice to Proceed letters. Typically, these letters authorize the to proceed with work on the Work Program and seek reimbursement for the federal portion of the approved Work Program budget for the current federal fiscal year (i.e. October 1, 2014 through September 30, 2015). MRMPO has two federal fiscal years to spend the STP-L funds authorized.

4. The Notice to Proceed letters are submitted to NMDOT upper management for review and approval and then sent via email) to the MPOs and RTPOs.

5. The GTG Liaisons work with Division Financial Section to issue Purchase Orders (POs) for each MPO and RTPO Work Authorization/project and the POs are emailed by the GTG Liaisons to the appropriate MPO/RTPO.

The Notice to Proceed is required in order for the MPOs, and RTPOs to proceed with work activities funded by the federal State Planning and Research (SPR) Program and Metropolitan Planning (PL) funds.

**PWP Amendments**

All parties are bound by the approved PWP currently in effect unless Administratively or Formally Amended as described in the following bullets:

- **Administrative Amendment.** An administrative amendment to the PWP may be accomplished unilaterally by the Division if it meets the following criteria:
  1. The study or task will not significantly impact approved work program priorities and work product delivery schedules (by causing other project delivery schedules to be set back by more than a month), and
  2. The study or task will result in a cost change (increase or decrease) of 20% or less of the approved budgeted amount for a specific project or task; or a cost change (increase or decrease) of 3% or less for an entire, program budget (Division, IT, STIP or other NMDOT program budget).

The Division Director must notify the FHWA-NM and FTA Planners of any Administrative Amendments in writing (email will suffice). The FHWA and FTA Planners have 10 working days to review the Administrative Amendment to ascertain that it meets the criteria, or comment, also via email, if he/she believes it does not.

- **Formal Amendments.** A formal amendment is required if there are substantive changes to work elements funded by the PWP, as defined by the following criteria:
  1. The new study or task will impact approved work program priorities by causing other project delivery schedules to slip by more than one month, and
  2. The study or task will result in a cost change (increase or decrease) of more than 20% of the approved budgeted amount for a specific project or task; or a cost change (increase or decrease) of more than 3% for an entire, program budget (Division, IT, STIP or other NMDOT program budget).

Formal Amendments follow the same process required for PWP Amendment submittals and may be made quarterly. The FHWA-NM, FTA Region VI or the NMDOT may initiate a request for an out-of-cycle
work program amendment based on justification provided along with the written (generally via email) request; and implement the amendment upon receiving formal approval by the FHWA-NM and NMDOT.

**Schedule for Producing the Planning Work Program**

The PWP process for the subsequent fiscal year typically begins in February or March with the drafting of a list of potential tasks and estimated costs. In April, the NMDOT Division bureaus begin compiling individual draft work programs and GTG Liaisons initiate communication with the MPOs/RPTOs to provide any assistance with compiling UPWPs and RWPs, respectively. In July, the bureau chiefs submit the first draft of the PWP to the Division Director. After reviewing the first draft PWP with NMDOT executive management, the Division Director reviews the draft with the FHWA-NM Planner.

In compliance with federal regulations, the NMDOT Division Director submits the final PWP and budget to the FHWA-NM Planner and FTA Region 6 office by August 1. The FHWA-NM Division Administrator has up to 30 days to review and approve the PWP. Once the PWP is formally approved by the FHWA-NM Division Administrator, the NMDOT Deputy Director signs the Notice to Proceed for distribution to the MPO/RPTOs by September 30.

The Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline (Figure 2 in Appendix B) provides information on submittal dates for work program products and other items.

**Monitoring Progress on the Work Program**

Departmental staff is required by federal regulations to monitor all activities performed with SPR, PL, STP and FTA funds (if used for UPWP tasks) to assure the work is managed satisfactorily within the time schedules proposed in the PWP.

**Monitoring Progress on PWP.** During the year, the Division Director meets with the FHWA-NM Planner on a quarterly basis to review the status of each task and report progress vis-à-vis meeting the major milestones and target dates identified in the PWP.

**Monitoring Progress on the MPO/RTPO Work Programs.** GTG Liaisons meet with their assigned MPOs/RPTOs on a regular basis (quarterly at a minimum) to review progress on the Work Programs including accomplishments, issues, changes, etc. that have taken place.

**Quality Assurance Reviews**

In addition to regular meetings between the GTG Liaisons and their assigned MPOs/RPTOs, the NMDOT will engage in a four-tiered, quality assurance review process of MPO/RPTO administrative functions. The first two steps are mandatory and are performed annually. The NMDOT will enact Steps 3 and 4 as conditions warrant:

1. Review financial audits of MPO/RPTO fiscal agents
2. Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review
3. Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review Follow-Up
4. Conduct Office of Inspector General Audit

**Step 1 – Review Financial Audits of MPO/RPTO Fiscal Agents**

MPO Planners and RTPO Planning Program Managers are required to submit copies of annual financial
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audits of their respective fiscal agent to their GTG Liaison within 30 days of approval by the MPO’s/RTPO’s fiscal agent.

The GTG Liaison will review the audit and report in the QAR any audit findings identifying deficiencies and/or the need for corrective action to the GTG Unit Supervisor. The GTG Unit Supervisor will bring the audit findings to the attention of the Bureau Chief and, ultimately, the Division Director, who will determine the course of action to be undertaken in addition to the GTG Liaison proceeding to conduct the annual Quality Assurance Site Review. (Current and prior audits can be reviewed at the New Mexico State Auditor’s website: http://www.saonm.org/financial_audits.)

Step 2 – Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review
The GTG Liaison will schedule an annual Quality Assurance Site Review with each MPO/RTPO for which the GTG Liaison is responsible. The objectives for the NMDOT’s annual quality assurance review are to:

- Verify that the MPO/RTPO planning process complied with current transportation planning law.
- Determine if the MPO/RTPO planning process is a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive process.
- Review Work Program progress, including accomplishments, issues, schedule changes, etc.
- Identify noteworthy practices to share with other MPOs/RTPOs.
- Enhance the MPO/RTPO planning process and improve the quality of the transportation decision-making.
- Determine the administration systems in place for the sound oversight management of federal funds in the operation of the MPO/RTPO.

The GTG Liaison will first attempt to schedule the onsite visit with sufficient advance notice to ensure that all required documentation and MPO/RTPO staff are available to facilitate the review. It is incumbent upon the MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager to cooperate and assist with the scheduling on behalf of their entity. However, the GTG Liaison is responsible for conducting the site visit, and will proceed whether or not the MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager chooses to facilitate the process. The NMDOT, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 possess the authority to inspect all documentation pertaining to the expenditure of state and federal funds at any time. Therefore, MPO Planners and RTPO Planning Program Managers are required to keep electronic and hard copy files constantly up to date, well organized, and accessible for viewing. Checklists are provided in Appendix C (MPOs) and Appendix D (RTPOs) to assist MPO and RTPO staff with preparing for the quality assurance site reviews.

The GTG Liaison will submit a report on the Quality Assurance Site Review to the GTG Unit Supervisor who will review and discuss the report with the GTG Liaison. The GTG Liaison will provide the final report to the MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager. If the report indicates that the proper administrative systems are in place and fully operational, no further action is required.

Step 3 – Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review Follow-Up
If the GTG Liaison’s Quality Assurance Review report raises any concerns, the GTG Unit Supervisor will discuss the report with the Bureau Chief and, ultimately, the Division Director, to determine the appropriate course of action to take depending upon the severity of the concerns. Possible follow-up actions include:

- Requiring the MPO Planner/RTPO Planning Program Manager to identify corrective actions (along with a timeline that includes major milestones)
• Requiring a Work Program amendment or modification to address the corrective actions, if necessary
• Conducting another Quality Assurance Site Review in 6 months or less to confirm improvements
• Proceeding to Step 4

**Step 4 – Conduct Office of Inspector General Audit**

The Division Director will determine if a formal audit by the NMDOT Office of Inspector General is necessary. If so, the Division Director makes the request in writing, typically by email, to the Office of Inspector General. The Division Director then follows the Office of Inspector General directives from that point forward, and the Division becomes responsible for enforcing the findings and recommendations of the resulting audit.

**Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER)**

As documentation of the Work Program monitoring effort, the Division is required to submit an Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER) to the FHWA-NM within 90 days from the close of the federal fiscal year (per federal regulations)\(^{28}\) the FHWA-NM Division Administrator can require more frequent reporting). The APER must contain the following information at a minimum:

• Comparison of actual performance with established tasks
• Progress in meeting schedules
• Status of expenditures in a format compatible with the PWP, including comparison of budgeted (approved) amounts and actual costs incurred
• Cost overruns or under-runs
• Approved work program revisions
• Other pertinent supporting data
• Report from each sub-grantee (MPO or RTPO)

In addition to the APER, the Division Director must report to the FHWA-NM Division Administrator and Planner as soon as possible regarding any events that may significantly impact the tasks listed in the PWP. Events may include problems, delays, or adverse conditions that will affect the ability of the NMDOT to attain program objectives identified in the PWP. Disclosure must be accompanied by a statement of the action taken or a request for federal assistance needed to resolve the situation.

**Programs Administered by the NMDOT Planning Bureau**

This subsection discusses statewide programs administered by the NMDOT Planning Bureau (Bureau).

**Recreational Trails Program\(^{29}\)**

The New Mexico Recreational Trails Program (RTP) is administered by the RTP Coordinator in the Government to Government (GTG) Unit. The RTP is a program of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) that provides funding for eligible entities to develop and maintain trails and trail-related facilities for both non-motorized and motorized uses. The RTP was previously administered by the State Parks Division of the Energy Minerals and Natural Resources Department. The Governor transferred
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\(^{29}\) 23 USC § 206 and § 213(f) and (g)
administrative responsibility to the NMDOT effective January 1, 2014. The NMDOT coordinates and consults with the state’s federally-mandated, Governor-appointed Recreational Trails Advisory Board (RTAB) on issues of program administration and project selection.\(^{30}\)

Federal regulations require 40% of New Mexico’s apportioned funds to be used for diverse-use projects (any combination of motorized and/or non-motorized uses), 30% for non-motorized projects, and 30% for motorized projects. An amount not to exceed 5% may be used for publications and educational programs to promote safety and environmental protection; and an amount not to exceed 7% may be used for program administration. New Mexico’s estimated annual apportionment for the RTP is approximately $1.4 million. Funds are generally awarded to entities by NMDOT on a biannual basis. The NMDOT programs two years-worth of funding in each award cycle, which allows for the phasing of infrastructure projects into preliminary engineering/design and construction phases. The application process, timeline, and other program details are outlined in the RTP Guide.

**Transportation Alternatives Program**

The New Mexico Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) is administered by the TAP Coordinator in the Government to Government (GTG) Unit. TAP is a Federal-Aid program authorized through MAP-21; each state’s department of transportation administers the program using its own competitive process, in accordance with the law.\(^{31}\) The NMDOT coordinates with the state’s seven RTPOs and five MPOs on programming TAP funds.

The TAP-Large Urban funds are awarded directly by MRMPO and EPMPO, and NMDOT awards the TAP-Small Urban, TAP-Rural, and TAP-Flexible funds via a statewide competitive process. Funds are generally awarded to entities by NMDOT on a biannual basis. NMDOT programs two years-worth of funding in each award cycle, which allows for the phasing of infrastructure projects into preliminary engineering/design and construction phases. The application process, timeline and other information is outlined in the TAP Guide.

The NMDOT conducts a biannual review of the TAP program and process with input from the MPOs and RTPOs. The TAP Coordinator revises the TAP Guide based on this review.

**Safe Routes to School Program**

Under MAP-21, the former Safe Routes to School (SRTS) program is no longer funded as a standalone program. However, certain project eligibility criteria were incorporated into TAP and follow the TAP program guidelines and requirements. Projects and programs initiated and funded under SAFETEA-LU are still administered by GTG staff, subject to meeting SAFETEA-LU requirements, until those projects are completed. Construction projects within this category follow the local-lead project process where the NMDOT Local Government Agreement Unit (LGAU) oversees proposed amendments to existing agreements; the appropriate Regional Design Center assists sub-grantees with obtaining required project certifications and requesting federal fund obligation; and the appropriate District Office then oversees the reporting, invoicing, reimbursement, and final closeout of construction project agreements.

\(^{30}\) 23 USC § 206(c)

\(^{31}\) 23 USC § 213.1122 – Reservation of funds to administer TAP
Scenic Byways Program

The Scenic Byways program is no longer funded under MAP-21. Some projects—such as turnouts, scenic overlooks, and viewing areas—are eligible for TAP funds. Some Scenic Byways projects funded under SAFETEA-LU remain underway. Therefore, NMDOT has a Scenic Byways Coordinator, housed in the GTG Unit of the Bureau, to oversee these projects as they move from initiation to completion, subject to meeting all applicable SAFETEA-LU regulations.

Highway Safety Improvement Program

The goal of the federally-funded Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) (as authorized in MAP21) is to achieve a significant reduction in traffic fatalities and serious injuries on all public roads, including non-State-owned public roads and roads on tribal lands. The HSIP requires a data-driven, strategic approach to improving highway safety on all public roads that focuses on performance. Approximately $22 million is available to the NMDOT per federal fiscal year. Eligible entities include NMDOT Districts, and Tribal and Local Public Agencies (T/LPAs). Application information is distributed by the NMDOT through the MPOs and RTPOs. The funds are also programmed through the MPO/RTPO planning process and distributed through Cooperative Agreements with the T/LPAs.

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program – Flex

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funding is a category of Federal Aid funding targeted to address air quality problems from mobile sources (cars, trucks, and buses). The CMAQ category is divided into two parts – mandatory funds and flexible funds. Federal references for CMAQ are found in 23 CFR, Part 450, Subpart C: Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming. The FHWA website provides background information (for example, A Summary: Air Quality Programs and Provisions of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991) that may be useful for public officials, staff, and interested citizens who have limited knowledge about federal transportation funding and planning. The following subsection discusses the CMAQ-Flex funding parts. CMAQ-Mandatory is discussed in more detail in the MPO section.

Flexible funds. Outside of nonattainment or maintenance areas, the NMDOT can elect to use CMAQ flexible funds on projects that are likely to reduce or mitigate air quality issues, contingent upon FHWA-NM approval. Federal regulations require all CMAQ-funded projects to demonstrate a positive quantitative or qualitative impact on air quality. Required reporting for CMAQ funds is generally more extensive than other local programs to ensure that funds are used on projects that demonstrate an ability to further the goals of the program. Examples of CMAQ projects in New Mexico include bicycle and pedestrian project components (such as sidewalks, bike lanes, and multi-use paths) and transit services and facility projects, both of which are designed to reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT); and signal interconnect and timing projects to reduce congestion at urban intersections. In other states, CMAQ flexible funds have been used to pave unpaved roads and to conduct public awareness programs such as air-quality alert days. FHWA-NM has final approval of all projects proposed to be funded by CMAQ flexible funds. Selection and review of CMAQ-Flex projects are done conjointly by the Chief Engineer’s office and Planning.

32 23 USC § 101(29)(D) – Eligibility of Turnouts, Overlooks, and Viewing Areas as Transportation Alternatives
Tribal Liaison Program

The goal of the NMDOT Tribal Liaison Program is to promote Tribal involvement in the Statewide Planning Process. The Tribal Liaison encourages active Tribal participation in the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) transportation planning processes, resulting in the inclusion of Tribal projects in the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Tribal Liaison also offers information and guidance on Tribal topics to MPOs, RTPOs, and other state and local agencies that provide services to the New Mexico Tribes. The Tribal Liaison established a strategy to accomplish this goal, including conducting monthly or quarterly meetings between NMDOT District staff and Tribal planning offices; assisting NMDOT Headquarters’ and Districts’ staff in communicating with the Tribes; providing support to Tribes applying for state and Federal grant funding; and directly responding to concerns from Tribal entities on cultural resource issues and/or other matters. The Tribal Liaison established key contacts for both Tribal entities and NMDOT, in order to initiate and maintain a positive working relationship between the two governments. This relationship facilitated addressing Tribal inquiries of mutual concern by conducting government-to-government meetings with top-level officials as needed to provide guidance to NMDOT on individual transportation projects and policies that affect Tribal relations.

The NMDOT Tribal Liaison, currently housed in the Government to Government Unit of the Planning and Safety Division, serves as a facilitator to provide coordination, communication, and collaboration with the Tribal Entities; New Mexico Department of Transportation; U.S. Department of Interior Bureau of Indian Affairs Department of Transportation; Federal Highway Administration; other New Mexico state agencies; MPOs; RTPOs; cities; counties and local governments in addressing specific transportation needs and concerns of the Tribal entities within their given jurisdictions.

The NMDOT Tribal Liaison is also responsible for preparing the annual State-Tribal Collaboration Act Agency Report for submittal to the Indian Affairs Department. The draft for internal review is due July 1 to the GTG Unit Supervisor. The Tribal Liaison is required to address any comments received and prepare and submit a final report to the Department of Indian Affairs, Policy Analyst, on or before August 1 of each year, with copies of the final report to NMDOT managers as directed. The Tribal Liaison will also post the report on the NMDOT website.

Bicycle-Pedestrian-Equestrian Coordinator

The Bicycle-Pedestrian-Equestrian (BPE) Coordinator is housed within the GTG Unit. The BPE Coordinator’s responsibilities may include:

- Managing the BPE Technical Committee, comprised of NMDOT staff
- Mapping and gathering of data on statewide bicycle facilities
- Responding to public inquiries related to BPE facilities around the state
- Providing educational opportunities to NMDOT staff to learn about BPE facilities, laws and best practices
- Long-range BPE planning for NMDOT
- Researching new practices and trends as related to BPE transportation
- Representing New Mexico among the national bicycle / pedestrian coordinator network
- Working with various advocacy groups around the state, such as the New Mexico Healthier Weight Council, the New Mexico Bicycle Coalition, and New Mexico Bicycling Educators
The BPE Coordinator functions broadly within the NMDOT, primarily as an internal resource on bicycle, pedestrian, or equestrian issues.
Data Analysis and Special Studies Conducted by the Statewide Planning Bureau

The Planning Bureau (Bureau) is developing staff and technological capabilities to delve further into statewide modeling, data analysis, socioeconomic projections, and cost-benefit analyses to support the statewide planning program. Specific activities vary from year to year. The following sections describe the analytical services, studies, and technological enhancements currently in use or under consideration.

State Travel Demand Model

State travel demand models provide input for statewide planning activities. By using a microcomputer program, models forecasts traffic volumes on roadways with certain functional classifications based on estimated trip origins and destinations. Inputs to the models include population and urban area census data, existing/proposed geometric configurations of roadways, and socioeconomic data including housing, employment, and other trip producers and attractors.

The Bureau uses the New Mexico Statewide Travel Demand Model (NMSTDM) in PTV Visum modeling software to assist the RTPOs, MPOs, and NMDOT Districts with planning efforts, including forecasting external and through traffic for the MPOs and nine other city models within and adjacent to the state. Freight is included using a combination of the Freight Allocation Framework (FAF3) and local trip generation. The model incorporates from city and MPO travel demand models and can readily update the statewide model using local data.

The Bureau Engineering Coordinator is responsible for updating, maintaining, and performing model runs. The base year used in the NMSTDM is 2006; the model includes 2010, 2020, 2030, and 2040 networks to align with the planning horizons of the NMTP and MPO MTPs. Model runs are used to forecast passenger and freight travel demand volumes and to evaluate the impact of changes of population and employment, as well as the impacts of proposed projects. The level of detail is appropriate for statewide and rural planning, and for developing external and through traffic forecasts for the MPO and city models within New Mexico. This model is a tool used to test different growth assumptions as well as network and facility improvements. The model base year and networks are updated as needed by the Bureau Engineering Coordinator with GIS shape files from the MPOs’ transportation networks, Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZs), and socioeconomic datasets. Results are distributed with the Summary Data and Reporting Tools.

The Bureau Engineering Coordinator or designee updates the NMTDSM every 4 years at a minimum. The Bureau Engineering Coordinator works with the GTG Liaisons to contact the MPOs by email requesting their latest travel demand model base year and future year networks, as well as demographics-socioeconomics, internal-external modeled boundaries, and all associated GIS shapefiles from all of the MPOs. Other data may also be requested including ridership data for the Rail Runner and NMDOT Park-and-Ride lot ridership data. One additional effort of NMDOT Planning is to integrate the latest Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) traffic count data into the model update.

Highway Economic Requirements System – State Version Model

The Highway Economic Requirements System – State Version (HERS-ST) is a free engineering/economic analysis tool released by the FHWA that uses engineering standards to identify highway deficiencies, and then applies economic criteria to select the most cost-effective mix of improvements for system-wide implementation. HERS-ST is designed to evaluate the implications of alternative programs and policies on the conditions, performance, and user cost levels associated with highway systems. The model
provides cost estimates for achieving economically optimal program structures, as well as predicting system condition and user cost levels resulting from a given level of investment.  

Although HERS-ST is a free product and can be used to apply a consistent object evaluation to a variety of projects, as well as suggest improvements and funding priorities through benefit-cost analysis, it is not as robust as other products. As such, HERS-ST has fallen out of favor with many regions and states, thus the Bureau is currently researching other options.

**Socioeconomic Reporting and Projections**

The NMDOT Bureau does not currently conduct in-house socioeconomic reporting or projections beyond efforts in the NMTP. NMDOT's Economist handles some forecasting and the New Mexico Economic Development Department works with a broader range of economic areas.

**Geographic Information Systems**

The NMDOT Geographic Information System (GIS) Division is located at the General Office and is not a part of the Planning and Safety Division. The mission of the GIS Division is to provide baseline geospatial data, mapping services, and support to NMDOT users of geospatial technology. Some of their support and mapping services includes Business Support, Programs and Infrastructure, and Highway Operations.

The Bureau uses the GIS Division's statewide database of spatial information for mapping and support services. This information includes census-defined urbanized areas and Urban Clusters; MPO, RTPO, and NMDOT boundary and transportation networks; NMDOT Statewide Planner Assigned Areas; and TAZ and travel demand modeling networks.

The final data release of each decennial census is a catalyst for a number of federally mandated planning activities that impact statewide transportation planning. The Bureau coordinates the analysis with MPOs and RTPOs to ensure that MPOs expand their Metropolitan Planning Areas to include all territory within the census urbanized areas prior to the next regularly scheduled MTP update. Additionally, the Bureau must analyze TIGER/line shapefiles released by the U.S. Census for urbanized areas and by urban cluster boundaries; integrate urban area boundaries changes into the NMDOT GIS database; and analyze, update, and coordinate TAZs with the MPOs and integrate them into the Statewide Travel Demand Model.

**Traffic Counts and Safety Data**

The Traffic Data Collection Section within the Data Management Bureau monitors and maintains the operation of a statewide network of 120 automatic traffic recorders (ATRs) including Automatic Vehicle Classifications (AVCs) to provide the NMDOT with traffic data required to meet the Department's needs, including compliance with FHWA requirements. The collected traffic data are used in the Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) and Transportation Information Management System (TIMS) programs. The Section also collects short-term portable counts at specified locations for use in the HPMS reports, updating of the TIMS, and special studies for NMDOT personnel as requested. Short-duration count programs are conducted to provide traffic data necessary for determining traffic flow patterns, maintenance needs, and design of state and national highways.

The statewide ATR network has 120 sites distributed across the various roadway functional classifications, volume groups, and area types (urban or rural) to achieve a statistically valid
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representation of all roadway systems. The sites have permanently installed equipment that collects traffic data on a continuous basis (24 hours per day/365 days per year) and transmits the data through phone lines to the NMDOT. The continuous counts provide a variety of useful information, such as classification, speed, and weight of vehicles on the roadways. As the mechanism to convert short-duration counts into accurate estimates of annual conditions, the continuous counts are used to formulate adjustment factors based on time-of-day, day-of-week, and seasonal travel patterns.

ATR locations are selected semi-randomly based on a number of factors: to provide the ability to measure specific trends within specific categories of roads; geographic location of the roadway; availability of power or telecommunications access; or to obtain sufficient numbers of sites within a given factor group. ATR sites are particularly susceptible to maintenance and other efforts that improve the pavement but often damage sensitive equipment without provision for replacement. Staff repairs the ATRs to keep them operational for as long as possible and to ensure accurate reporting of collected traffic data.

The Traffic Data Reporting Section processes and approves the counts. Each MPO has their own submission process, with the Mid-Region Council of Governments (MRCOG) and MVMPO submitting counts monthly, Santa Fe submitting quarterly, and Farmington submitting annually. RTPOs do not submit counts. The Traffic Data Reporting Section uploads count information to TIMS annually around March 15.

**Cooperative Processes: Air Quality, Congestion Management Process, Intelligent Transportation Systems**

**Air Quality and Congestion Management**

According to federal regulations, specifically 23 CFR § 450.320, the transportation planning process in a TMA (El Paso and Mid-Region MPOs in New Mexico) shall address congestion management through a process that provides for safe and effective integrated management and operation of the multimodal transportation system based on a cooperatively developed and implemented metropolitan-wide strategy of new and existing transportation facilities through the use of travel demand reduction and operational management strategies.

The development of a congestion management process (CMP) should result in multimodal system performance measures and strategies that can be reflected in the MTP and the TIP, tailored to the specific needs of the area and established cooperatively by the State DOT, affected MPO, and local officials in consultation with the operators of major modes of transportation in the coverage area.

The CMP management process requires state departments of transportation and TMAs to identify congested travel corridors and adopt strategies to reduce congestion to reduce mobile source emissions and improve air quality. TMAs are required to produce and implement a Congestion Management Plan (CMP). Data is gathered on a network of corridors in the TMA Planning Area. This data identifies the sources and types of congestion experienced, as well as the locations which experience the greatest travel delays in the region. In addition to reducing congestion and its impact on air quality, benefits from Congestion Management may include improved travel times for commuters, improved incident management, enhanced public safety and security, reduced traveller delays, improved traveller information, and in general, a more efficient transportation system.

**Conformity Determination Process.** The MPO Policy Board, in adopting the MTP and the TIP, must certify that they conform to the MPO’s CMP and any pertinent Air Quality Plans. In the case of MRMPO,
which is under a Limited Maintenance Plan for Carbon Monoxide through the year 2016, the MTP and the TIP must conform to the Transportation Conformity State Implementation Plan (SIP) and the 2006-2016 Limited Maintenance Plan for Carbon Monoxide Albuquerque-Bernalillo County. In a TMA designated as a nonattainment area for ozone or carbon monoxide, pursuant to the Clean Air Act, federal funds may not be programmed for any project that will result in a significant increase in the carrying capacity for Single Occupancy Vehicles (SOVs) unless the project is addressed through a congestion management process meeting the requirements of 23 CFR§ 450.320. The CMP shall provide an appropriate analysis of reasonable (including multimodal) travel demand reduction and operational management strategies for the corridor in which such a project is proposed to be advanced with federal funds.

Conformity analysis and determination requires interagency consultation, initiated by the MPO. The MPO relies upon data submitted by the City of Albuquerque Air Quality Division for carbon monoxide (CO) and confirms the one-hour and eight-hour design values with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA.) The EPA confirms design values by means of a letter to FHWA-NM and FTA Region VI. The FHWA then verifies air quality status via a letter as required by the Limited Maintenance Plan for Carbon Monoxide. The MTB and TIP are approved by resolution certifying conformity, which the MPO Planner then submits to NMDOT (the GTG Liaison) for review and forwarding with recommendations to FHWA and FTA for approval. The MPO Planner also requests that the regional Air Quality Control Board (AQCB) issue a concurrence letter to FHWA regarding the transportation conformity designation. The FHWA and FTA have final authority in making Conformity Determinations. See 40 CFR Part 93 for detailed criteria and procedures regarding conformity determinations for MTPs, TIPs and individual projects.

Additional requirements pertaining to air quality and specific to TMAs include:

- The MPO shall review and update the MTP at least every four years in air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas, and
- In metropolitan areas that are in nonattainment for ozone or carbon monoxide, the MPO shall coordinate the development of the MTP and TIP with the process for developing Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) in a SIP, and
- The financial plan component of the MTP shall address the specific financial strategies required to ensure implementation of TCMs in the applicable SIP, and
- The MPO, the FHWA and the FTA must make a conformity determination on any updated or amended MTP or TIP in accordance with the Clean Air Act and the EPA transportation conformity regulations, 40 CFR part 93 (regulations allow the MPO to prepare an interim MTP as a basis for advancing projects that are eligible to proceed under a conformity lapse, see 23 CFR §450.322(l)), and
- Identify TCM projects in the TIP, in sufficient detail for air quality analysis in accordance with the EPA transportation conformity regulations (40 CFR Part 93.)

**CMAQ Program Implementation and Reporting.** Under the *Stewardship and Oversight Agreement*, the NMDOT is responsible for determining eligibility of projects for federal Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funding according to the USDOT-FHWA Guidance Memo dated 10/31/06. FHWA-NM will provide additional review and guidance upon the State’s request. The GTG Unit Supervisor is responsible for ensuring that staff is trained on, and has access to the FHWA CMAQ System database via the User Profile and Access Control System (UPACS) in order to enter CMAQ Funds reporting for the state by March 17th of each year (the NMDOT internal deadline is March 1). MRMPO has access to UPACS/CMAQ System reporting portal and enters its regional data independently of NMDOT. NMDOT verifies MPO data prior to FHWA-NM review.
Intelligent Transportation Systems

Pursuant to the Stewardship and Oversight Agreement, the NMDOT and FHWA have established an ITS Steering Committee to advise the ITS Bureau of NMDOT. The NMDOT is required to maintain and update a Statewide ITS Architecture Plan in compliance with Title 23 CFR § 940 with concurrence by FHWA. MPOs are required by the same federal regulation to incorporate plans to deploy Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) in their MTPs and TIPs to improve transportation safety and mobility in coordination with the statewide deployment of ITS by the NMDOT.
Statewide Planning Bureau/Government to Government Unit
Summary of Work Products

This list is intended to summarize the work products of the Bureau. Note that every item may not be required/undertaken.

Monthly Checklist
- Record hours worked per task identified in Planning Work Program
- Coordinate with MPOs/RTPOs
- Coordinate with Data Management on publication of traffic count data, annual traffic flow maps, motor fuel data, and vehicle classification data on NMRoads website

Quarterly Checklist
- GTG Liaisons meet with their assigned MPO/RTPO to discuss progress on the Work Program
- As needed, compile and submit Planning Work Program quarterly amendments to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 for approval
- Review/approve MPO/RTPO Reimbursement Packets (quarterly reports and invoices) and communicate approval/revisions
- Review/approve MPO Unified Planning Work Program quarterly amendments
- Review/approve RTPO Regional Work Program quarterly amendments
- Participate in quarterly MPO/RTPO meetings

Annual Checklist
- Request obligation of Planning funds (may also happen on quarterly basis)
- Prepare and distribute Notice to Proceed to MPO/RTPOs (may also happen on quarterly basis)
- Conduct Quality Assurance Reviews
- Close out MPO/RTPO Notice to Proceed/projects
- Review Annual Performance and Expenditure Reports from MPOs/RTPOs
- Review Annual Lists of Obligated Projects from MPOs
- Participate in annual joint meetings with MPOs/RTPOs (may occur more than once a year)
- Division Director submits annual Stewardship and Oversight Agreement report to FHWA-NM for approval
- Tribal Liaison submits annual State-Tribal Collaboration Act Agency Report to Indian Affairs Department
- CMAQ Reporting (MRMPO does their own; GTG assists EPMPO with theirs)

Every 2 Years
- Prepare draft Planning Work Program and discuss with FHWA-NM, MPOs, and RTPOs
- Compile and submit final Planning Work Program to FHWA-NM for approval
- Prepare and compile Year 2 budgets for second year of Planning Work Programs and submit to FHWA-NM for approval
- Assist MPO/RTPOs with Unified Planning /Regional Work Programs
- Close out MRMPO STP-L projects

Every 4 Years
- Review and update as necessary the Public Involvement Plan (at a minimum, in conjunction with update of NMTP and/or when new federal transportation legislation is enacted); submit to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes
- Prepare and submit New Mexico Transportation Plan to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes
Review Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) updates; submit to FHWA-NM and Governor for informational purposes
Review/approve Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) updates; submit to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes
Review and update as necessary Memorandums of Agreement with MPOs/RTPOs; provide FHWA-NM the opportunity to review and comment prior to finalization
Participate with FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in Federal Certification process of MPOs/RTPOs
Update Statewide Travel Demand Model

Every 5 Years
Solicit comments from non-metropolitan local officials and other interested parties (for at least a 60-day period) regarding effectiveness of non-metropolitan local officials consultation procedure; submit documentation to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes
Solicit comments from tribal governments, Secretary of the Interior, and other interested parties (for at least a 60-day period) regarding effectiveness of the tribal government and Secretary of the Interior consultation procedure; submit documentation to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes

Every 10 Years
Conduct state-wide functional classification evaluation and update (next one due 2015)
Compile functional classification changes to reflect new road construction or significant changes in development and/or travel patterns
Oversee, review, and approve urbanized area boundary “smoothing” adjustments for MPOs that elect to make changes following each Decennial Census, submit to Governor for approval, to FHWA-NM for concurrence
Update Statewide Travel Demand Model (urbanized area boundaries, functional classification, traffic analysis zones, population, National Highway System)
Review and revise Planning Distribution formulas with MPOs and FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6, submit to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for approval
Work with FHWA-NM to identify new transportation management areas

As Needed
Participate in the update of the NMDOT Title VI Plan
Compile functional classification changes
Review MPO Planning Area boundary changes, submit to Governor for approval, FHWA-NM for informational purposes
Prepare and distribute letters to MPOs/RTPOs about new Cabinet secretary
Prepare and distribute letters to MPOs/RTPOs about new Transportation Commission members
Review and update as necessary Memorandums of Agreement with MPOs/RTPOs (after new Federal transportation legislation is passed)
Review and update the Planning Procedures Manual; maintain current version on NMDOT website
Update Stewardship and Oversight Agreement with FHWA-NM
Prepare documentation for FHWA-NM- and FTA Region 6-initiated audits and risk assessments for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
Conduct project closeouts as needed
### Archiving Requirements

Archiving is the process of accumulating and storing documents that record the function and work products of the NMDOT, MPOs, and RTPOs. New Mexico state law regarding archiving and record keeping requirements is more stringent than federal law; therefore, the following state laws apply.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</th>
<th>Name/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.18.805.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal Planning Reports</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Program: planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Maintenance system: chronological</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Description: reports containing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Retention: 10 years after close of</td>
<td>reports containing various federally mandated interstate and roadway information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>federal fiscal year in which created</td>
<td>Reports are output from TRADAS, 1.18.805.23 NMAC and accident records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>citation system, 1.18.805.232 NMAC, 1.18.805.16 NMAC. Some of these reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>may include highway performance monitoring system report, monthly volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>summary at continuous counter sites reports, monthly and quarterly speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>schedule audit reports, federal speed compliance monthly and quarterly speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>summaries, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.18.805.31</strong></td>
<td><strong>Federal and State Apportionments Reports Files</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Program: planning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Maintenance system: chronological</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Description: reports concerning</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Retention: 5 years after end of</td>
<td>obligated federal and state funds for various highway-related projects (that is,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>federal fiscal year in which created</td>
<td>construction, planning programs, feasibility studies, consultants, etc.). Files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>may include reports from the federal highway administration, departmental staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>reports, correspondence, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.15.2.114</strong></td>
<td><strong>Manuals of Procedures</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Program: administrative records</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Description: manuals of procedure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D Retention: until superseded by new</td>
<td>prepared and published by state agencies for the guidance of public officers and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>manual of procedure</td>
<td>employees engaged in operations required for the efficient operation of state and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>local government, including but not limited to acquiring space, budgeting,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>accounting, purchasing, contracting, vouchersing, printing, appointment and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>dismissal of employees, record maintenance, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.117</td>
<td>Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) annual, biennial or other periodic reports required by Article V, Section 9 N.M. Constitution or by specific statute: permanent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) routine, interim or progress reports: 2 years after close of fiscal year in which created</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.151</td>
<td>Feasibility Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: studies requested/conducted prior to the acquisition, installation, implementation and/or purchase of new technologies, equipment, properties, projects, etc. [Studies may be incorporated into other files (that is, project files)].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) studies requested or conducted by agency: 5 years after completion or cancellation of study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) courtesy copies received by agency: until informational value ends</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.307</td>
<td>Publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: public relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by publication date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: printed work regardless of format or method of reproduction published by any state agency or political subdivision for distribution and that is produced by the authority of or at the total or partial expense of a state agency or is required to be distributed under law by the agency; and is publicly distributed outside the agency by or for the agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Publications filed with the state library per Section 18-2-4.1 NMSA 1978:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a) Agency's copy: until superseded or until information no longer needed for reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) State library's copy: permanent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) State archive's copy: permanent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) All other publications: transfer to archives for review and final disposition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.15.4.208  Revenue Contracts and Grants

**A** Program: revenue records

**B** Maintenance system: [RESERVED]

**C** Description: contracts and grants for the receipt of monies by the New Mexico state government from other sources includes, but is not limited to, block grants, negotiated grants, federal agency grants, etc. Where there is required reporting of expenditures to a federal agency, retain records for 6 years after termination of grant/contract or retain records for 5 years after submission of final expenditure report, whichever is longer.

**D** Retention: 6 years after termination of contract

1.15.4.307  Contract/Agreement Files

**A** Program: expenditure records

**B** Maintenance system: [RESERVED]

**C** Description: records concerning contracts let through bid by the state purchasing division, technical/professional service contracts, lease/rental contracts, agreements, etc. File may include contract/agreement, bid information, contract/agreement specifications, correspondence memoranda, etc.

**D** Retention: 6 years after termination of contract/agreement
Metropolitan Planning Organizations

This section of the NMDOT Planning Procedures Manual (PPM) discusses the Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) in New Mexico and their participation in the required continuing, cooperative and comprehensive statewide planning process that results in plans and programs consistent with federal and state requirements. 34

Metropolitan Planning Organization Structure in New Mexico

Metropolitan transportation planning is governed by 23 USC § 134. The Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs) are federally mandated, state-designated (by agreement between the Governor and local governments representative of at least 75 percent of the affected population) in metropolitan areas with a population over 50,000 people. 35 The five New Mexico MPOs (see map in Appendix A) are as follows:

- El Paso MPO (EPMPO)
- Farmington MPO (FMPO)
- Mesilla Valley MPO (MVMPO)
- Mid-Region MPO (MRMPO)
- Santa Fe MPO (SFMPO)

EPMPO operates under a Joint Powers Agreement with the Texas Department of Transportation (TxDOT). The NMDOT contracts with EPMPO for transportation planning in southern Doña Ana and Otero counties. This area includes the cities of Sunland Park and Anthony and the communities of Chaparral and Santa Teresa, all within New Mexico.

EPMPO and MRMPO are designated Transportation Management Areas (TMAs) by virtue of having populations greater than 200,000 people in the US Census Bureau defined urbanized area. The two TMAs receive Federal Surface Transportation Program-Large Urban (STP-L) funds and, as a result of being classified as a nonattainment and/or maintenance TMAs for certain air pollutants, they also receive Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funding allocated by formula for their metropolitan areas. The three smaller MPOs (populations less than 200,000 people) are not allocated federal funds directly and, therefore, work with the NMDOT Districts to obtain federal funds for projects in their metropolitan areas.

Under current practice, the NMDOT establishes a 4- to 6-year Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with an entity such as a Council of Government (COG), city, or the MPO itself to act as fiscal agent and administer the MPO. The MOA term is generally set to match that of the federal transportation authorization act in effect. The MOA identifies the respective roles and responsibilities of the fiscal agent, MPO and the NMDOT. The NMDOT and MPOs collaborate to continually refine and update an agreed-upon, standard Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) format and funding distribution formula to establish the annual planning budget that the MPOs use for programming their activities.


35 23 USC § 134(d)(1) – Designation of Metropolitan Planning Organizations
Communication Protocol

The NMDOT Government to Government (GTG) Unit in the Planning Bureau (Bureau) of the Asset Management and Planning Division (Division) maintains liaison staff assignments with all of the MPOs in the State. MPO planners should contact the assigned GTG Liaison with questions or concerns and for additional information. This informal contact can be in person or via telephone, email, letter, or fax as appropriate.

The NMDOT assumes certain responsibilities of the New Mexico Division of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA-NM) for administering the Federal-Aid Highway Program (FAHP) under a Stewardship and Oversight Agreement. Therefore, MPO staff should direct requests for general information and/or federal code interpretations to their respective GTG Liaison. The liaison will coordinate a response on behalf of the NMDOT. As needed, the NMDOT will seek guidance from FHWA-NM.

Internal Structure

Each of the MPOs in New Mexico has its own organizational structure based on its regional context; however, all of the MPOs share the same structural elements. 23 USC § 134 (as updated by MAP-21) establishes the following minimum governance/structural requirements for MPOs:

- Policy Board membership inclusive of local elected officials and appropriate state and local officials. Policy Boards for MPOs serving an area designated as a Transportation Management Area (MRMPO and EPMPO), must also include officials of public agencies that administer or operate major modes of transportation.

- Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) boundaries determined by agreement between the MPO and the Governor, at a minimum, encompass the existing urbanized area and the contiguous area expected to become urbanized within the 20-year forecast period for the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). Boundaries may encompass the entire metropolitan statistical area or consolidated metropolitan statistical areas, as defined by the U.S. Census (subject to urban area boundary smoothing process). MPA boundaries must be reviewed after each Census and updated, if necessary.

The members and staff establish the details of these structural elements, which generally include the following items. MPOs are responsible for reviewing and updating the following documents and submitting current versions to their GTG Liaison, as well as posting them on the applicable MPO website. Therefore, all of the following documents can be found on the MPO websites.

Joint Powers Agreement

Each MPO negotiates and executes a Joint Powers Agreement (JPA) among its members and with the State of New Mexico for a specified length of time. JPAs must reflect current federal law and state requirements. Thus, MPOs are required to review and update the JPA when new federal transportation

---

36 Refer to NMDOT website for current MPO/RTPO Contact List
37 Refer to NMDOT website for current Stewardship and Oversight Agreement with FHWA-NM
38 23 USC 134(d)(2) -- Structure of MPOs
39 23 USC 134(b)(1)
40 See 23 USC § 134(d)(5) -- Redesignation Procedures, regarding urban area boundary smoothing process
41 23 CFR 450.312 Metropolitan Planning Area Boundaries
legislation is passed by Congress. Other triggers for review include formation of a new member agency within the MPO jurisdiction and during the self-certification process. In New Mexico, JPAs must be reviewed, approved and signed by the New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration (DFA) prior to implementation. A Memorandum of Agreement may be used as long as it contains all the necessary elements outlined in the PPM and state and federal regulations. The JPAs recognize that the MPOs and the NMDOT will conduct transportation planning for the area in a cooperative manner. In general, the JPAs spell out the terms of intergovernmental cooperation in the MPO and the member governments’ understanding of the role of the MPO and its staff in transportation planning. The JPAs also outline the financial structure of the MPO and each member’s fiscal contribution to the MPO. Per direction from FHWA-NM, tribal entities are not required by federal regulations to contribute to an MPO’s match requirement (for Metropolitan Planning or 5303 funds); however, this can be negotiated in the JPA. Currently, NMDOT contributes only to the EPMPO match requirement.

Bylaws

MPOs are required to maintain Bylaws that define the on-going operational structure of the MPO and establish the interrelationships between the MPO, member organizations and the JPA. Triggers for review of the Bylaws include implementation of new federal legislation and/or formation of a new member agency within the MPO jurisdiction.

The Bylaws should be specific to each MPO based on the geographical area and member organizations, but generally include the following:

- **Membership:** The Membership section defines the member entities and their representation on the Policy Boards/Committees (each MPO has either a Policy Board or a Policy Committee thus these terms are used interchangeably throughout this section) and Technical Committees. Official membership on Policy Boards/Committees shall include local elected officials; officials of public agencies that administer or operate major modes of transportation in the metropolitan area, including representation by providers of public transportation; and appropriate state officials, including representation by NMDOT. Membership can also include representation from school districts, law enforcement, and others; these representatives are considered affiliated advisory (nonvoting) members in some MPOs. Alternates are appointed per MPO Bylaws.

- **Member Policy Training:** The Bylaws shall specify types of trainings for new members to the Policy and Technical Committees, as well as training required by the adoption of new state and federal regulations, policies, and procedures. Detailed training plans shall be included in the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP).

- **Policy and Decision-Making:** The Policy and Decision-Making section establishes the process for how policy is determine and decisions made within the conduct of MPO business. There is a range of structure throughout New Mexico’s MPOs from a very formal (e.g., Robert’s Rules of Order) to a less formal operational style. All MPOs use motions, seconds, and a call for votes for their action items.

- **Voting Basis:** The Voting Basis issue may be included in the Policy and Decision Making section and covers what constitutes a quorum for voting on decisions. It may also include a varying majority for different types of decisions.

---

42 VMMPO outlines membership structure in the JPA.
43 23 USC § 134(d)(2) – Structure
• **Officers:** The Officers section includes lists the officer positions for the MPO committees and how they are to be selected. The section also includes when officers are to be elected.

• **Policy Board Structure and Function:** This Board is required by statute and is the decision making authority of the MPO. The Policy Board membership must include elected representatives (councilors, commissioners, mayors, tribal officials, etc.) of the member governments and alternates.

• **Role of Policy /Board Committee Chair:** This section explains the role and responsibilities of the Policy Board/Committee Chair.

• **Committee Structure and Function:** This section lists the various committees and explains their function. Each MPO has its own name for its various committees. The general committee structure is:
  - **Technical Advisory Committees** – the membership of these committees usually includes city/county/tribal engineers, road managers, and planning staff. They function as an advisory group, which reviews and makes recommendations on actions and information that is to be presented to the Policy Committee.
  - **Standing Committees** – these committees are determined by the individual MPO; for example, several MPOs have Bicycle and Pedestrian Advisory Committees which meet at specified intervals.

• **Staff Structure and Function:** This section outlines the structure of the MPO and the role of the planner(s), officer and other staff and responsibilities. All MPOs have the equivalent of an MPO Officer, though the titles may vary. This officer is empowered to enter into contractual agreements and has operational financial authority with regard to the MPO. The MPO Officer acts at the direction of and on behalf of the Policy Committee. At minimum, the MPO Officer provides oversight and direction to MPO staff, and may take an active role in the on-going functions of the MPO. All New Mexico MPOs have planning staff, again with varying titles. The MPO Bylaws spell out the relationships of staff to the committee structure and to the work required.

  • The bylaws should also address:
    - Membership Agreements, Voting and Nonvoting
    - Regular Committee Meeting Schedule
    - Compliance with New Mexico Open Meetings Act
    - Role of COG/EDD as Fiscal Agent and role of COG/EDD Executive Director

MPO Bylaws are posted on the MPO websites.

---

**Note**

MPOs are required to maintain, in an organized fashion, all applicable records as outlined in the various sections of this chapter (Work Products and Submittal Process, NMDOT Agreements, Authorizations and Responsibilities to the MPOs) and make those records accessible and available to NMDOT when requested.

---

44 23 USC § 134(d)(2)(B) – officials of public agencies that administer or operate major modes of transportation in the metropolitan area, including representation by providers of public transportation.
Responsibilities

The role and responsibilities of MPOs as updated by MAP-21 are identified in 23 USC § 134. The general requirements of MPOs are to:45

- Develop and maintain a Long Range Plan (a.k.a. “Metropolitan Transportation Plan” [MTP] and Transportation Improvement Program [TIP]) through a performance-driven outcome-based approach to planning that:
  - Provides for the development and integrated management and operation of transportation systems and facilities (including accessible pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities) that will function as an intermodal transportation system for the metropolitan planning area, for the state, and for the United States.
  - Provides for consideration of all modes of transportation in a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive manner (refer to Glossary). The Secretary of the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) and the NMDOT encourage MPOs to consult with officials responsible for other types of planning activities that are affected by transportation in the area, to coordinate its planning process to the maximum extent practicable with such planning activities, and give due consideration to said planning activities.
  - Provide for the design and delivery of transportation services provided by (a) recipients of assistance under Title 49 USC Chapter 53 (Public Transportation); (b) governmental agencies and nonprofit organizations that receive Federal assistance from a source other than the NMDOT to provide nonemergency transportation services; and (c) recipients of assistance under 23 USC § 204 (Federal Lands Highways Program).

- Conduct a planning process that provides for consideration of projects and strategies that address the following eight planning factors:
  1. Support the economic vitality of the area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity and efficiency
  2. Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users
  3. Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and nonmotorized users
  4. Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and freight
  5. Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and state and local planned growth and economic development patterns (as established by the NMDOT in the current New Mexico Transportation Plan)
  6. Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight
  7. Promote efficient system management and operation
  8. Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system

45 23 USC § 134(c) – General Requirements
MPOs operating within a Transportation Management Area (TMA) (an urbanized area with population over 200,000) have additional responsibilities to:46

1. Include transit operators on the Policy Board47

2. Address traffic congestion through effective management and operation of new and existing transportation facilities using travel demand reduction and operational management strategies48

3. Select federally funded projects in consultation with the state and affected public transportation operators with the exception that the state selects projects carried out on the National Highway System (NHS), under the Bridge Program, or through the Interstate Maintenance program in cooperation with the MPO49

MPOs are required to maintain, in an organized fashion, all applicable records as outlined in the various sections of this chapter (Work Products and Submittal Process, NMDOT Agreements, Authorizations and Responsibilities to the MPOs) and make those records accessible and available to NMDOT when requested.

MPOs are also expected to participate in the following:

- **Quarterly Meetings:** The MPOs and NMDOT meet on a quarterly basis. Agenda items typically include updates from the NMDOT Bureau (such as current projects, guidance on reporting, and how to access technical assistance), as well as reports from the MPOs. The host MPO is responsible for arranging the meeting location, working with the NMDOT Bureau to develop the agenda, distributing meeting information by email to all contacts and working with Bureau staff to write and distribute meeting notes.

- **Annual Meeting:** The NMDOT Bureau will organize and host an annual joint meeting between the staff of the Bureau, MPOs, and RTPOs, as well as other NMDOT and FHWA-NM personnel. The MPO’s staff are expected to attend these meetings and contribute to the development of the agenda.

### Required Work Products and Submittal Process

In general, MPO required work products are established by the Code of Federal Regulations and/or required by NMDOT, and identified in the UPWP. Production of the work products commences once the UPWP is approved by both the NMDOT and FHWA-NM and the specified timeframe begins. The MPOs are responsible for delivering several work products, including:

1. Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) (TMAs every 4 years/non-TMA MPOs every 5 years)
   a. Air Quality Conformity Analysis & Determination (in nonattainment and maintenance areas)
2. Public Participation Plan (PPP) (minimum every 4 years, in conjunction with MTP)
3. Title VI Plan50 (every 4 years)
4. Transportation System Performance Measures and Targets (as MAP-21 guidance is issued)
5. Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) (every 2 years starting FFY2015) and Budget

---

46 23 USC § 134(k)(1) – Identification and Designation
47 23 USC § 134(k)(2) – Transportation Plans
48 23 USC § 134(k)(3) – Congestion Management Process
49 23 USC § 134 (k)(4) – Selection of Projects
50 EPMPO Title VI Plan is part of PPP
6. Cost Allocation and if applicable, Indirect Cost Plans (every 2 years with UPWP)
7. Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
8. Traffic counts every 2 years and count data at least annually (meet with NMDOT to update traffic count locations and schedule at least once every two years; traffic counts are taken on a three-year rotating basis per the annual submittal requirements) \(^{51}\)
   a. Traffic flow maps (annual updates)
9. Annual Listing of Obligated Projects
10. Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER)
11. Freight Program Assessment (MPOs reviewed on odd years, the state is reviewed on even years)
12. Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letters, Quarterly Reports and Invoices)
13. U.S. Census-related
   a. “Smoothed” urbanized area boundary map
   b. Roadway functional classification map
   c. MPA boundary reviews
14. TMA requirements
   a. Congestion Management Process
   b. FHWA-NM certification review documentation (4-year)
   c. Federal Transit Administration (FTA) Region 6 certification review documentation (3-year)
15. Participate in Quality Assurance Reviews (annual)

Most work products require review by the NMDOT Bureau for approval and concurrence that planning activities and fund expenditures comply with federal regulations and the UPWP. Table 3 summarizes the submittal and review process and schedule for the various MPO work products, except for the TMA certification reviews which are organized and conducted by FHWA and FTA. All work products should be submitted to the GTG Liaison unless otherwise specified. The following subsections discuss the work products and any specific submittal and review requirements in addition to those outlined in Table 3. Appendix C contains boilerplates for some of these work products and/or NMDOT forms associated with these items. Figure 1 in Appendix B shows the activities that the Bureau, MPOs, RTPOs, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 participate in monthly, as part of the comprehensive, cooperative, and coordinated planning process in New Mexico.

For relevant FTA-funded metropolitan planning programs (Section 5303), the NMDOT Transit and Rail Division requires that UPWP work products be submitted directly to the Transit and Rail Division’s Program Manager for review (see Table 3). For relevant FTA-funded statewide and non-metropolitan planning programs (Section 5304), the NMDOT Transit and Rail Division requires that deliverables follow the scope of work included in the MOA and all FTA-consistent terms of the MOA are met.

---

\(^{51}\) EPMPO does not receive funds from the NMDOT for conducting traffic counts in the New Mexico areas.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (MPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Plan</td>
<td>4 years for TMAs; 5 years for non-TMA MPOs</td>
<td>GTG Planning Liaison and MPO agree upon a schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan. Final Plan due on or before September 30 (proposed but may be revised).</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: \text{Year_MonthDay_XX_MPO_WorkProduct_version.ext} Examples: 2014_0530_SFMP0_MTP_Draft1.docx 2014_0630_SFMP0_MTP_Final.docx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Participation Plan</td>
<td>4 years in conjunction with MTP; updated as necessary based on federal regulations or public input.</td>
<td>GTG Planning Liaison and MPO agree upon a schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan. Final Plan due on or before September 30 (proposed but may be revised).</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: \text{Year_MonthDay_XX_MPO_WorkProduct_version.ext} Examples: 2014_0530_MRMPO_PPP_Draft1.docx 2014_0630_MRMPO_PPP_Final.docx</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 3
Summary of MPO Work Product Reviews and Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title VI Plan</td>
<td>4 years in conjunction with MTP; updated as necessary when new federal legislation is adopted.</td>
<td>GTG Planning Liaison and MPO agree upon a schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan. Final Plan due on or before September 30 (proposed but may be revised).</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_WorkProduct_version.ext. Examples: 2014_0530_MVMPO_TitleVI_Draft1.docx 2014_0930_MVMPO_TitleVI_Final.docx.</td>
<td>1. MPO Planner works with GTG Liaison to review the current Title VI Plan to ensure compliance with applicable Federal regulations and determine needed revisions, including revisions based on public input received. 2. MPO issues draft Title VI Plan or revisions for a 45-day public comment period and posts on MPO website. 3. The MPO Policy Board formally approves the revised or new Title VI Plan. 4. MPO Planner submits the approved Title VI Plan to the GTG Liaison. 5. GTG Liaison follows internal protocol to submit the approved Title VI Plan to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes. 6. Results of FTA Region 6 review are provided via email. 7. MPO makes revisions as necessary and GTG Liaison follows internal protocol to resubmit to Governor, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6. 8. MPO staff post the approved Title VI Plan on the MPO website.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Transportation System Performance Measures and Targets
| Guidance forthcoming
| Unified Planning Work Program and Budget
| Draft Program 2 years Coordination schedule to develop program is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. Draft due on or before April 30 in even-numbered FY1s. | MPO Planner                      | GTG Liaison                  | Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFWPWorkProduct_version.ext. Example: 2014_0430_MVMPO_FFY15UPWP_Draft2.docx. | Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. |
| Final Program 2 years Coordination schedule to develop program is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. Final due on or before July 1 in even-numbered FY1s. | MPO Planner                      | GTG Liaison                  | Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFWPWorkProduct_version.ext. Example: 2014_0930_FMPO_FFY15UPWP_Final.docx. | Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. |

None

1. FTA Region 6 provides review comments and/or Title VI Plan approval in writing to Division Director. 2. FTA Region 6 provides determination of conformity for revised Title VI Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary. Title VI Plan provided to Governor and FHWA-NM for informational purposes only.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (MPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Amendments - Formal** | Quarterly; FHWA-NM, NMDOT, or an MPO may initiate a request for an out-of-cycle work program amendment based on justification provided along with the written (generally via email) request; and upon receiving approval by FHWA-NM and NMDOT. | March 15 June 15 September 15 (in odd-numbered FFYs) December 15 | MPO Planner GTG Liaison | Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files  
File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext  
Example: 2014_0430_FMPO_FFY15UPWP_Q1Amendment1.docx | Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. | 1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing for UPWP quarterly amendment to Division Director.  
2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director, if necessary. |
| **Amendments - Administrative** | As needed | As needed | MPO Planner GTG Liaison | Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files  
File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext  
Example: 2014_0430_FMPO_FFY15UPWP_Q1Amendment1.docx | None | 1. MPO Planner submits proposed administrative amendment and summary to GTG Liaison for consideration.  
2. GTG Liaison reviews and responds within 10 calendar days.  
3. If GTG Liaison determines that proposed amendment meets requirements, Liaison notifies MPO Planner that amendment is approved. |
| **Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Cost Agreement** | Annual | Plan is submitted with UPWP. Schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan coincides with coordination schedule shown in the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. Final due on or before July 1. | MPO Planner GTG Liaison | Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files  
File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext  
Example: 2014_0701_FMPO_FFY15CAPICA_Final.docx | Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. | 1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director.  
2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary. |
### TABLE 3  
Summary of MPO Work Product Reviews and Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation Improvement Program</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Final Program                          | 4 years                       | Final Program is developed in conjunction with Metropolitan Transportation Plan. Final Plan due on or before September 30 (proposed but may be revised). | MPO Planner     | STIP Coordinator | Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website. | 1. MPO Policy Board approves TIP after public comments are incorporated.  
2. STIP Unit concurs that listed projects all meet federal eligibility requirements.  
3. STIP Coordinator submits TIP to State Transportation Commission for informational purposes only.  
4. Public comment is solicited and revisions made by MPO as necessary.  
5. MPO Planner submits TIP to STIP Coordinator. |
| Amendments                              | Quarterly                     | February 1 March 1 May 1 August 1 November 1 | MPO Planner     | Districts & STIP Coordinator | Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website. | 1. STIP Coordinator submits TIP Amendment to Governor for approval.  
2. STIP Coordinator submits Governor-approved TIP Amendment to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for approval. |
| TIP Project submission                  | Annual                        | April 1                  | MPO Planner     | Districts               | Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website. | Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual Procedures I posted on the NMDOT website. |
| **Traffic Counts**                      |                               |                          |                                        |                 |                 |                                                                  |
| Count data                              | 3 years                       |                          | MPO Planner     | Traffic Data Collection Section Manager | 1. MPO Planner submits system-wide traffic count data to NMDOT contact.  
2. Traffic Data Collection Section Manager notifies MPO Planner if the TRADAS database rejects counts.  
3. MPO and NMDOT meet to resolve technical issues.  
4. MPO resubmits counts or NMDOT updates the road network supporting the TRADAS database. | None |
| Traffic flow maps                       | Annual                        |                          | MPO Planner     |                         | MPO posts traffic flow maps on MPO website. |                                          |
| **Annual Listing of Obligated Projects  |                               |                          |                                        |                 |                 |                                                                  |
| Final List                              | Annual                        | December 28              | MPO Planner     | GTG Liaison            | Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files  
File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_##XMPF_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext  
Example:  
2014_1201_FMPO_FFY14ObligatedProjects_Draft1.xlsx | 1. MPO Planner extracts list of obligated projects from the STIP and reviews list for consistency with TIP on or before October 30. MPO Planner works with GTG Liaison to resolve any issues regarding obligated projects list.  
2. MPO staff post the final list on the MPO website by 12/28 and notify GTG Liaison.  
3. Bureau notifies FHWA and FTA that MPOs have posted lists on websites. | None |
**TABLE 3**
Summary of MPO Work Product Reviews and Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (MPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Performance and Expenditure Report Draft/Final Report</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Draft due November 15 Final due November 30</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files &lt;br&gt; File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext &lt;br&gt; Example: 2014_1201_SFMOPO_FFY14APER_Final.docx</td>
<td>1. The MPO Planner submits the report to the NMDOT GTG Liaison for review and approval by November 15. &lt;br&gt; 2. The GTG Liaison requests any changes to the report by November 20. &lt;br&gt; 3. The MPO Planner submits the revised report to the GTG Liaison by November 30 and posts the report on the MPO website. &lt;br&gt; 4. The NMDOT Bureau compiles the Division APER, the MPO and RTPO APERs in one submittal to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 within 90 days following the close of the federal fiscal year (December 30) for informational purposes.</td>
<td>None – report provided for informational purposes only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freight Program Assessment Federal Report</td>
<td>Odd Years</td>
<td>Second Friday in December</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of report using form provided by FHWA following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files &lt;br&gt; File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext &lt;br&gt; Example: 2014_1201_SFMOPO_FFY14APER_Draft1.docx</td>
<td>1. The GTG Unit Supervisor or Liaisons will send the federal Freight Program Assessment form to the MPOs by November 5th. &lt;br&gt; 2. The MPO Planner submits the completed report to the GTG Liaison by November 30th. &lt;br&gt; 3. The NMDOT Bureau compiles the reports and submits them to FHWA-NM by December 20th.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letter, Quarterly Report, and Invoice) Quarterly</td>
<td>January 25 April 25 July 12 October 25</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>FTA materials must be submitted to the Transit and Rail Division’s designated Program Manager</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files &lt;br&gt; File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext &lt;br&gt; For FTA materials: Funding Source, Project Description, Contract Number, Reporting and Invoicing Period</td>
<td>1. MPO Planner submits packet to GTG Liaison for review. &lt;br&gt; 2. If approved, GTG Liaison submits approved packet to Division Financial Manager. If not approved, GTG Liaison emails MPO Planner within 5 working days to request additional information or provide grounds for rejecting the packet. &lt;br&gt; 3. Division Financial Manager reviews. If approved, the packet is processed for payment. If not approved, the GTG Liaison emails MPO Planner to request additional information or provide grounds for rejecting the packet. &lt;br&gt; 4. MPO Planner resubmits packet with required materials and/or required revisions. FTA Materials &lt;br&gt; 1. FTA materials are submitted directly to the Transit and Rail Division’s (T&amp;R) Program Manager (PM). &lt;br&gt; 2. If approved, the PM submits the packet to the T&amp;R Financial Manager for payment.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 3
Summary of MPO Work Product Reviews and Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Census-Related</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoothed UZA boundary map</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>3. If not approved, the T&amp;R PM informs the MPO of the reasons for non-approval and requests corrected documents. 4. MPO re-submits packet to T&amp;R PM with required materials and/or required revisions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway functional classification map</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM provides review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM provides determination of acceptance for revised boundaries in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review MPA boundaries</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>Refer to PPM for information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Transportation Management Area Requirements

| Congestion Management Process | Annual | February 15 | MPO Planner | GTG Liaison/CMAQ Contact | CMAQ reporting information must be entered into the Fiscal Management Information System (FMIS) by February 15 | 1. MRMPO staff enters data directly into FMIS by February 15 of each year. The GTG Liaison to EPMPO enters the information into FMIS for EPMPO. 2. GTG Liaison responsible for CMAQ either signs off on the request or works with MRMPO and EPMPO to obtain additional information needed. | FHWA-NM reviews the requests and either requests additional information or approves the FMIS requests. |

FHWA-NM provides review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM provides determination of acceptance for revised boundaries in writing to Division Director, if necessary.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (MPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance Reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>1. MPO Planner submits copy of annual financial audit of their respective fiscal agent to GTG Liaison. 2. GTG Liaison reviews audit and reports any deficiencies identified and / or the need for corrective action to the GTG Unit Supervisor. 3. GTG Unit Supervisor notifies the Bureau Chief and Division Director about the audit review results.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Audit of Fiscal Agents</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Within 30 days of approval by fiscal agent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FYWorkProduct_version.ext</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Review</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Date scheduled by GTG Liaison</td>
<td>MPO Planner</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>MPO staff required to participate in the site review and provide access to electronic files pertaining to the expenditure of state and federal funds.</td>
<td>Refer to PPM for information.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Refer to PPM for information.
Metropolitan Transportation Plan

Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs) are long range plans that assess transportation needs and identify projects that could potentially be implemented using federal, state and/or local funds that are reasonably expected to become available over a 20-year (or longer) period. In general, federal law requires each MPO to update its long range plan at least every 5 years (or more often if the MPO elects to do so). However, any MPO in an area designated as “nonattainment” or subject to a maintenance plan under the Clean Air Act must update its transportation plan at least every 4 years.  

Federal law requires that every MTP must, at minimum:

- Be consistent with federal transportation law (the MTP must cite applicable sections of the law).  
- Identify transportation facilities (including major roadways; transit, multimodal and intermodal facilities; non-motorized transportation facilities; and intermodal connectors) that function as an integrated metropolitan transportation system, giving special emphasis to those facilities that serve important national and regional transportation functions.  
- Consider the eight planning factors previously listed and in 23 USC § 134(h)(1) as they relate to a (minimum) 20-year forecast period.  
- Describe performance measures and performance targets used to assess the performance of the transportation system, consistent with 23 USC § 134(h)(2).  
- Include a system performance report evaluating the condition and performance of the transportation system with respect to the performance targets.  
- Discuss potential environmental mitigation activities (and potential areas to carry them out), including activities with the greatest potential to restore and maintain the environmental functions affected by the plan.  
- Incorporate a financial plan that: (i) demonstrates how the MTP can be implemented; (ii) indicates resources from public and private sources that are reasonably expected to be made available to carry out the plan; and (iii) recommends any additional financing strategies for needed projects and programs.  
- Incorporate operational and management strategies to improve the performance of existing transportation facilities to relieve vehicular congestion and maximize the safety and mobility of people and goods.

---

52 23 USC § 134(i)(1)(B) – Frequency  
53 23 USC § 134(i)(2) – Transportation Plan  
54 23 USC § 134(d) – Designation of Metropolitan Planning Organizations  
55 23 USC § 134(i)(2)(A)(i) – Identification of Transportation Facilities – In General  
56 23 USC § 134(i)(2)(A)(ii) – Identification of Transportation Facilities – Factors  
57 23 USC § 134(i)(2)(B) – Performance Measures and Targets  
58 This is a new requirement under MAP-21 and performance measures/targets are under development thus guidance is not yet available.  
59 23 USC § 134(i)(2)(C) – System Performance Report  
60 23 USC § 134(i)(2)(D) – Mitigation Activities. The discussion must be developed in consultation with federal, state, and tribal wildlife, land management, and regulatory agencies.  
61 23 USC § 134(i)(2)(E) – Financial Plan  
62 23 USC 134(i)(2)(F) – Operational and Management Strategies
• Incorporate capital investment and other strategies to preserve the existing and projected future metropolitan transportation infrastructure and provide for multimodal capacity increases based on regional priorities and needs.\(^{63}\)

• Incorporate transportation and transit enhancement activities.\(^{64}\)

The MPO of a metropolitan area in nonattainment for ozone or carbon monoxide under the Clean Air Act must coordinate the MTP with the transportation control measures in the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for air quality.\(^{65}\)

• Scenario planning is not required under federal law; however, NMDOT, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 encourage the practice, which involves:
  - Potential regional investment strategies for the planning horizon
  - An assumed distribution of population and employment
  - A scenario that, to the maximum extent practicable, maintains baseline conditions for the performance measures identified in 23 USC § 134(h)(2)
  - A scenario that improves the baseline conditions for as many of the performance measures as possible
  - Revenue constrained scenarios based on the total revenues expected to be available over the forecast period of the plan
  - Estimated costs and potential revenues available to support each scenario\(^{66}\)

• The NMDOT, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 also support the MPOs decisions to address additional emerging issues relevant to their respective metropolitan areas, such as climate change, energy policies, livability, environmental and economic sustainability, quality of life, and border issues.

• The MPOs must provide public and transportation stakeholders a reasonable opportunity to comment on the MTP. An explicit list of interested parties to which the MPO must reach out is contained in 23 USC § 134(i)(6)(A).

• All transportation plans involving Federal participation must be published or otherwise made readily available for public review by the MPO.

The NMDOT’s assigned GTG Liaison must review all draft and final MTPs and MTP amendments for completeness in meeting Federal planning requirements\(^{67}\). Therefore, MPO staff must provide the NMDOT with adequate time to review documents at the draft and final stages. See Table 3 for review/approval process.

For each area under the jurisdiction of an Indian Tribal government, MPOs must develop their MTP in consultation with any affected Tribal governments and the Secretary of the Interior consistent with 23 USC 135(2)(C). The current NMDOT Tribal Consultation process is to provide representatives of all tribal entities that fall within the MPO planning jurisdiction (whether as voting members of the MPO Policy Committee or not) the opportunity to participate in the MTP process. Additional and more direct tribal consultation with a tribal entity may be necessary on a project specific basis. The NMDOT provides

\(^{63}\) 23 USC 134(i)(2)(G) – Capital Investment and Other Strategies
\(^{64}\) 23 USC 134(i)(2)(H) – Transportation and Transit Enhancement Activities
\(^{65}\) 23 USC 134(i)(3) – Coordination with Clean Air Act Agencies
\(^{66}\) 23 USC 134(i)(4) – Optional Scenario Development. For more information on scenario planning, see https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/planning/scenario_and_visualization/scenario_planning/.
\(^{67}\) 23 CFR 450.322(c)
the services of its Tribal Liaison to assist MPOs whenever an issue or concern involving tribal lands and entities arises. MPOs are directed to the current MPO/RTPO Contact List posted on the NMDOT website for the Tribal Liaison’s contact information.

MTPs are posted on MPO websites.

**Public Participation Plan**

Every MPO must develop a Public Participation Plan (PPP) in consultation with citizens and other interested parties. To the maximum practicable extent, all MPOs must develop a public participation framework that:

- Includes representatives for all transportation modes, including nonmotorized;
- Holds public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times;
- Employs visualization techniques to describe plans; and
- Provides information in electronic formats and by means (such as the Internet) that afford reasonable opportunity for public consideration.

The PPP specifies how the MPO will address these federal requirements and how the MPO will provide reasonable opportunities for public and agencies to comment on work products, including Long Range Transportation Plans (LRTPs) and TIPs. The PPP must address federal requirements regarding the length of time allotted for public reviews of various MPO work products and any exceptions allowed. Sample PPPs can be found on the EPMPO (http://www.elpasompo.org/) and SFMPO (http://santafempo.org/) websites.

A PPP must, at a minimum, include the following elements:

- Procedures for informing the public about meetings and agendas
- Location where current and archived documents can be accessed
- Framework for public participation in the development of plans (unique to each plan or work product)
- Timeframes for public comment review periods
- Brief description of the MPO and its organizational structure
- Tools and activities for informing and educating the public (media, social media, visualization, response to comments, workshops, emails, newsletters, etc.)

PPPs should be reviewed prior to development of the MTP, when new federal legislation is adopted, and/or every four years at a minimum and updated as necessary. See Table 3 for review/approval process. PPPs are posted on MPO websites.

**Title VI Plan**

The Title VI Plan details how an MPO will comply with federal environmental justice and limited English proficiency requirements mandated in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and all related regulations and directives. The Title VI Plan generally includes the efforts to be taken by the MPO to prevent

---

68 23 CFR 450.316
discrimination and the methods for how it will achieve compliance for work products, planning activities, and public participation. The Title VI Plan serves as the assurance to the U.S. Department of Transportation that persons are not excluded from the planning process. The Title VI Plan also details the complaint process for any person believing he or she has been excluded from, denied participation in, denied the benefits of, or otherwise has been subjected to discrimination under any transportation service, program, or activity (whether federally funded or not) due to that person’s race, color, national origin, gender, age, disability, economic status, or limited English proficiency.

When developing their Title VI Plan, MPOs may wish to conduct a self-assessment to determine their progress in providing language assistance to Limited English Proficiency (LEP) persons. The assessment results may help revise the plan to better serve the LEP population. The assessment considers the following four factors:

1. **Demography**: The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be involved in programs and services or likely to be encountered
2. **Frequency of Contact with the Program**: The frequency with which LEP persons access or come into contact with programs and services
3. **Nature and Importance of the Program**: The nature and importance of the program, activity, or service in LEP individuals’ lives
4. **Resources Available**: The resources and cost for providing assistance to LEP populations

Appendix C contains a sample format that provides guidance for addressing Title VI requirements. The Title VI Plan addresses the following considerations:

- Compliance with federal environmental justice and limited English proficiency requirements mandated in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Efforts to be taken by the MPO to prevent discrimination and the methods for how the compliance will be achieved for
  - Work products
  - Planning activities
  - Public participation
- Primary contact person to handle complaints and method to process and address complaints

The MPO Title VI Plan should be reviewed every four years, and/or when new federal legislation is adopted, and updated as necessary. See Table 3 for review/approval process and Appendix C for a boilerplate. Title VI Plans may be included in the MPO’s Public Participation Plan. Title VI Plans are posted on MPO websites and each MPO shall also designate a Title VI Coordinator.

### Transportation System Performance Measures and Targets

The metropolitan transportation planning process must provide for the establishment and use of a performance-based approach to transportation decision-making to support the national transportation

---

69 23 USC § 200.9(a)(1) – Assurance required by federal law
70 U.S. Department of Justice website [http://www.lep.gov/selfassesstool.htm](http://www.lep.gov/selfassesstool.htm)
goals described in 23 USC § 150(b) and in 49 USC § 5301(c). In general, each MPO must establish performance targets to address the performance measures described in 23 USC § 150(c) and, where applicable, to use in tracking progress towards attaining critical outcomes for its respective planning region. The MPO must coordinate its selection of performance targets with those established by NMDOT to ensure consistency, to the maximum extent practicable.

In addition, the MPO must coordinate its selection of performance targets, to the maximum extent practicable, with providers of public transportation to ensure consistency with 49 USC 5326(c) and 49 USC 5329(d). The MPO must establish its performance targets no later than 180 days after the date on which the NMDOT or provider of public transportation establishes its performance targets.

Finally, MPOs must integrate into their metropolitan transportation planning processes -- either directly or by reference -- the goals, objectives, performance measures, and targets described in other state transportation plans and transportation processes, as well as any plans developed under 49 USC Chapter 53 by providers of public transportation and required as part of a performance-based program.

**Unified Planning Work Program and Budget**

MPOs must adopt detailed Unified Planning Work Programs (UPWP) and Budgets that describe the transportation planning activities the MPO intends to undertake over a two-year period. The UPWP includes all activities funded under 23 CFR and the Federal Transit Act. Approval of a UPWP is necessary for receipt of FHWA planning and research funds and to document the use of funds provided under 23 USC and 49 USC. The MPOs are encouraged to coordinate the development of UPWPs with appropriate RTPOs if projects extend across organizational boundaries.

In addition to background information about the MPO and a description of the process to develop the UPWP, each UPWP must include the following, at a minimum:

- Descriptions of the planning priorities for the metropolitan area
- Descriptions of the work to be accomplished (needs to provide enough detail for NMDOT to determine eligibility compliance)
- Resulting products of the activities/tasks
- Descriptions of who will perform each activity/task (for example, MPO staff, local government staff, or consultant)
- Estimated hours in-house personnel will spend on each activity/task
- Schedule for conducting activities/tasks, including milestones
- Proposed funding by activity/task
- Summary of total amounts and sources of federal and matching funds

---

71 23 USC § 134(h)(2) – Performance-Based Approach
72 23 USC § 134(h)(2) – Performance-Based Approach
73 23 CFR §450.308(c) – Funding for Transportation Planning and Unified Planning Work Programs
74 Two year UPWPs began at the beginning of FFY2015, prior to that all Work Programs covered one year.
75 23 CFR §450.308 (b) – Funding for Transportation Planning and Unified Planning Work Programs
76 23 CFR §450.308(c) – Funding for Transportation Planning and Unified Planning Work Programs
77 23 CFR §420.111(b) – What are the documentation requirements for use of FHWA planning and research funds?
• Identification of incomplete work elements/activities carried over from previous fiscal years

• A detailed training plan for Policy Board/Committee members that includes a schedule and specific trainings including the following:
  o the transportation planning process and the role of the MPO, MPO members, NMDOT, FHWA and FTA Region 6 in this process;
  o overview of state and federal regulations, policies and procedures governing the MPOs;
  o overview of the TIP/STIP process; and
  o overview of this PPM including the MPO’s responsibilities.

• Two-year budget (the budget is considered proposed until finalized in the First Quarter Amendment of Year 2). Note: The budget included in the UPWP should be an accurate reflection of the MPO’s financial needs and all programmed funding should be expended in the applicable FFY. Unexpended funds will not “roll over” into subsequent years. If more funds are needed, in addition to the amount programmed, the MPO may propose a UPWP amendment (per the schedule outlined in the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline) explaining the need for the additional funds and how those funds will be expended in the FFY requested, along with an amended budget.

• Summary of work program and budget that shows:
  – Federal share by type of fund
  – Matching rate by type of fund
  – State and/or local matching share
  – Other state or local funds

Appendix C contains a two-year UPWP boilerplate developed by the MPOs and NMDOT which should be used to develop the UPWP. The Work Program Review Checklist (see Appendix C) developed for use by GTG Liaisons provides additional guidance for elements to include in the UPWP. The Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline (Figure 2 in Appendix B) provides a schedule for coordinating the development of the UPWP with the NMDOT. Once approved as part of the NMDOT Planning Work Program, the UPWP serves as the template for the Quarterly Reports. As Quarterly Reports are cumulative, they form the basis for the Annual Performance and Expenditure Report, due after the close of each FFY.

See Table 3 for review/approval process. UPWPs are posted on MPO websites.

The UPWPs for TMAs (MPOs with populations greater than 200,000 people) must also include cost estimates for transportation planning, research, development, and technology transfer-related activities that will be funded with other federal or state and/or local funds, particularly for producing the FHWA-required data (for example, data for preparing proposed legislation, evaluating the performance of the nation’s transportation systems, etc.) used for planning for other transportation modes.

All parties are bound by the approved UPWP currently in effect unless administratively or formally Amended as described below:

• **Administrative Amendment.** An administrative amendment to the UPWP may be accomplished unilaterally by the MPO if it meets the following criteria. The UPWP revision will not cause core MPO
product delivery schedules to be set back by more than a month\textsuperscript{78}. The UPWP revision will result in a cost change (increase or decrease) of 20% or less of the approved budgeted amount for a specific project or task; or a cost change (increase or decrease) of 10% or less to the UPWP budget. With the exception of the following:

1. The purpose of the amendment is to revise estimated planning funds to actual amounts allocated/available, and
2. The purpose of the amendment is to add, delete or revise non-federal funds, including state, local, or tribal, for existing or new UPWP projects or tasks.

The MPO Planner must notify GTG Liaison of any Administrative Amendments in writing (email will suffice). The GTG Liaison has 10 working days to review the Administrative Amendment to ascertain that it meets the criteria, or comment, also via email, if he/she believes it does not.

- **Formal Amendments.** A formal amendment is required if there are substantive changes to work products or tasks funded by the UPWP, as defined by the following criteria:
  1. The UPWP revision will cause core MPO product delivery schedules to be set back by more than a month\textsuperscript{79}, and
  2. The UPWP revision will result in a cost change (increase or decrease) of more than 20% of the approved budgeted amount for a specific project or task; or a cost change (increase or decrease) of more than 10% to the UPWP budget.

Formal Amendments follow the same process required for UPWP Amendment submittals (see Table 3) and may be made quarterly according to the schedule and deadlines outlined in the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline and Table 3. The Formal UPWP Amendment may be implemented upon receiving formal approval of the corresponding PWP amendment by the FHWA-NM and NMDOT. The FHWA-NM, FTA Region VI, or NMDOT may initiate a request for an out-of-cycle work program amendment.

All amendment requests must be made in writing (email will suffice) to the GTG Liaison and must include a summary of the amendment that addresses all of the following:

- Whether the amendment is an Administrative or Formal amendment and why.
- Changes to tasks/projects.
- Changes to the budget.
- Changes to the timeline.

See Table 3 for review/approval process.

**Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Cost Agreement**

The Federal requirement\textsuperscript{80} for a local government (in this case, the fiscal agent for an MPO) to submit a Central Services Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) technically applies only to a “major local government,” defined as one that receives over $100 million in direct Federal awards annually. Local governments that

\textsuperscript{78} Core MPO products are: MTP, TIP, UPWP, PPP, CMP, and HPMS Traffic Counts. (This list is subject to change)

\textsuperscript{79} Core MPO products are: MTP, TIP, UPWP, PPP, CMP, and HPMS Traffic Counts. (This list is subject to change)

\textsuperscript{80} 2 CFR Part 200 Appendix V to Part 200
MPOs are required to develop an annual Cost Allocation Plan that shows how operating costs will be shared between revenue streams for accounting purposes. An Indirect Cost Agreement is also required if Federal funds will be used for indirect costs. The Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Cost Agreement are submitted with the UPWP, thus follow that review/approval process (see Table 3).

Transportation Improvement Program

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a list of regionally significant and/or federally funded transportation projects within an MPO covering a minimum period of 6 years. The TIP is developed and formally adopted by an MPO as part of the metropolitan transportation planning process, consistent with the MTP and required for projects to be eligible for funding under Title 23 USC and 49 USC Chapter 53. Once the TIP has been approved by the MPO, it must be included in the STIP without modification.

Under 23 CFR §§450.324-330, MPOs develop TIPs that define which federal transportation funds are pledged to specific transportation projects in MPO regions. TIPs include all surface transportation projects funded with federal funding and all regionally significant projects even if funded by state or local dollars. Federal rules require that TIPs cover a period of not less than 4 years, include project funding levels by year and funding source, and describe project work scopes. For projects to be included in TIPs, they must first be in the MPO’s MTP or consistent with the MTP. TIPs are financially constrained to assure program costs do not exceed available estimated revenues. MPOs provide reasonable opportunity for public comment and review during TIP development and subsequent TIP amendment processes.

Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the state’s transportation capital improvement program. Federally funded and/or regionally significant projects in the MPO areas are reflected in the MPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). The New Mexico STIP is a 6-year plan and includes specific funding levels by year for project implementation. The STIP is fiscally constrained so that program costs do not exceed estimated revenues. The STIP must be consistent with the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP) and the MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs). The FHWA-NM approves the STIP every 2 years. Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual on the NMDOT website for more information about the STIP and TIP procedures, including transfer of funds between programs, FHWA to FTA, and state to state.

Under MAP-21, the NMDOT is required to develop statewide performance based measures and targets which will establish investment priorities. This PPM, State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual and the STIP database will be updated as the NMDOT develops, and FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 approve, the plans, targets and evaluation criteria required under

82 The 6 year STIP/TIP was implemented beginning in FFY2016, prior to that the STIP/TIPs covered four years.
**Consistency and Cooperation between STIP and TIP.** The development of metropolitan area TIPs must be compatible with the STIP development process, according to 23 CFR §450.324(a). The STIP will be developed in cooperation with MPOs and TIPs must be developed in cooperation with the NMDOT and public transportation operators, according to 23 CFR §450.216(b) and 23 CFR §450.324(a). Each MPO’s TIP must be incorporated into the STIP without changes per 23 CFR §450.216(b) and §450.326(b).

MPOs are directed to the *State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual* for further detail regarding the following:

- TIP Management
- Projects Required to be in the STIP
- Projects Not Required to be in the STIP
- Procedures
- Amendments
  - “Amendments” are major revisions requiring public review. The NMDOT amends the STIP on a quarterly basis, which allows the New Mexico State Transportation Commission to review at their regularly scheduled meetings. Out-of-cycle amendments are possible, but discouraged.
  - “Administrative Modifications” are minor revisions made by the STIP Coordinator and MPO staff after proper notification and verification.
- Time Frames
- Project Descriptions
- Corrective Actions
- Conditional or Partial FHWA-NM Approval of STIP Amendments
- End-of-Year Close-out Procedures
- Conformity Determinations for TIPs
- Funding Cross Walk (MAP-21/SAFETEA-LU)
- Order of Obligation of Federal Funds

**TIP Approval/Submittal Process.** The TIP must be published or otherwise made readily available by the MPO for public review as specified in each MPO’s Public Participation Plan [see section on PPPs] and/or *TIP Policies and Procedures*. TIPs must be approved by both the MPO Policy Board and the Governor. In New Mexico, the Secretary of the NMDOT serves as the Governor’s designee for approval purposes. Once an MPO Policy Board approves a TIP, and the NMDOT concurs that all listed projects meet federal eligibility requirements, the NMDOT must incorporate it into the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) without making any changes. The STIP Coordinator submits the STIP to the State Transportation Commission to preview (for informational purposes only) during one of its regularly scheduled public meetings, which allows for public review and comment. This is followed by approval by the New Mexico Secretary of Transportation. Then the STIP Coordinator submits the STIP and any subsequent amendments to the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for approval. The FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 shall jointly find that each metropolitan TIP is consistent with the applicable MTP produced by the continuing and comprehensive transportation process carried on cooperatively by the MPOs, NMDOT, and public transit operators in

---

83 MRMPO and EPMPO have TIP Policies and Procedures consistent with the *State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual*

84 23 CFR §450.316 – Interested Parties, Participation, and Consultation
accordance with 23 USC § 134 and 49 USC § 5303. The NMDOT processes STIP amendments on a quarterly basis.

MPOs are directed to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website for more detail regarding the TIP/STIP submittal, review, approval and amendment process. MPO staff may also seek technical assistance from the NMDOT GTG Liaison assigned to the MPO.

By federal law, each MPO must certify that its metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable federal laws and regulations at least once every 4 years. New Mexico MPOs are required to submit self-certification statements to the NMDOT STIP Coordinator in conjunction with each new or amended TIP, and MPOs can amend their TIPs as often as four times per year (and sometimes more if one or more out-of-cycle amendments take place); therefore, the self-certification process actually occurs much more frequently than once every 4 years. The MPOs receiving FTA funds are required to self-certify on an annual basis through the NMDOT Transit and Rail Division. Appendix E contains an example of self-certification documentation.

The applicable laws and regulations address:

- Metropolitan transportation planning processes and outcomes
- Air quality in nonattainment and maintenance areas
- Civil rights
- Discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity
- Disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects
- Implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on federal highway construction contracts
- Discrimination against individuals with disabilities
- Discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving federal financial assistance
- Discrimination based on gender

Traffic Counts

Reliable traffic count information is critical for the development of studies, project development and for meeting Federal reporting requirements.

Traffic Count Submittal Process. The MPO is required to conduct system-wide traffic counts and provide resultant data to the NMDOT Traffic Data Collection Section Manager within the Data Management Bureau. MPOs should refer to the most current State Traffic Monitoring Guide when conducting counts so they will be compatible with the statewide database format. NMDOT Traffic Data Management Bureau updates the Guide every 3 years. The Traffic Data Collection Section Manager is responsible for notifying the MPO if/when the NMDOT’s TRAffic DAta System (TRADAS) database rejects any submitted

---

85 23 CFR §450.328 – TIP Action by the FHWA and the FTA (Note: MPOs are supposed to follow rules for metropolitan planning that are similar to these national rules.)
86 23 CFR §450.334(a) – Self-Certifications and Federal Certifications
counts. The MPO and NMDOT then meet to resolve technical issues, which may require the MPO to submit a recount, or NMDOT to update its road network supporting the TRADAS database.

All traffic count locations must be counted at least once within a 3-year cycle. Additional counts may be taken as necessary to support studies, information requests, or Statewide Travel Demand Model updates. Traffic count data must be archived and logged into a traffic counts database and submitted to the NMDOT TRADAS database.

The NMDOT now requires the MPOs (except for EPMPO) to submit a 3-year program of traffic counts for its review and approval beginning with the FFY UPWP.

### Annual Listing of Obligated Projects

Each MPO must publish, and post on its website, an annual listing of projects (including investments in pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities) for which federal funds have been obligated in the preceding year. The list must be consistent with the categories identified in the adopted TIP and represent the results of a cooperative effort between NMDOT, any affected transit operators, and the MPO. The list must be made available for public review. The list should include, at a minimum, the following details for each project:

1. Name of project
2. Location of project, including termini
3. Other descriptive information
4. Amount of funds programmed in TIP
5. Amount of funds obligated in the preceding program year
6. Amount of funds remaining and available for use in subsequent years

The information provided in the list of obligated projects should be understandable to a broad readership with varying levels of familiarity with transportation planning and programming concepts. See Table 3 for the review/approval process.

---

87 23 USC § 134(j)(7)(B) – Publication of Annual Listings of Projects
**Annual Performance and Expenditure Report**

Federal regulations require that the NMDOT monitor the activities of New Mexico’s MPOs to assure that work supported by FHWA-NM planning funds “is being managed and performed satisfactorily and that time schedules are being met.” 88 To meet this requirement, every MPO must prepare an Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER) that documents how the MPO has accomplished the work outlined in its UPWP and provides a final accounting of expenditures made during the year. The activities and tasks should be presented in a clear and detailed manner that is consistent with the UPWP and allows the NMDOT Bureau to track MPO progress with implementing the UPWP. The APER should be derived from the Quarterly Reports for that FFY. As the Quarterly Reports are cumulative, they provide an itemization of work done for each task in the UPWP, as well as provide a quarter-by-quarter expenditure breakdown. This serves as a helpful basis for the APER, although additional information is required, as outlined below.

The Annual Performance and Expenditure Report must contain at a minimum:89

- Summary of work completed that year, based on Quarterly Report information, including a comparison of actual performance and accomplishments with established goals as outlined in the MTP and UPWP
- Summary of staff hours per UPWP task
- Progress in meeting schedules and deadlines
- Financial summary, including budgeted (approved) amounts and actual costs incurred and cost overruns or underruns
- Approved UPWP amendments
- Other pertinent supporting data

See Table 3 for the review/approval process.

**Freight Program Assessment**

At the end of each odd numbered calendar year, all MPOs are required by FHWA to assess the status of their freight programs or efforts to date by answering approximately 40 questions with a “Yes,” “No,” or “In Progress,” and comment or provide additional information, as needed. The questions are gathered under the following nine headings:

1. Current Capacity for Freight Planning
2. Public and Private Sector Relationships
3. Planning and Programming
4. Funding
5. Freight Operations
6. Multi-Jurisdictional Coordination

88 23 CFR §420.117(a) – What are the program monitoring and reporting requirements?
89 23 CFR §420.117(b)(1) – What are the program monitoring and reporting requirements?
7. NHS Intermodal Connectors
8. Land Use
9. Additional Comments

See Table 3 for the review/approval process.

Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letters, Quarterly Reports and Invoices)

The Federal-Aid Highway Program (FAHP) is a reimbursement program and requires a local match. The MPOs, therefore, must expend local funds initially, and then seek reimbursement from the NMDOT for the federal portion. The NMDOT reimburses the MPOs for the federal portion using State Road funds, and then seeks reimbursement from the FHWA-NM for the federal portion.

MPOs must submit via email a Reimbursement Packet that includes a cover letter from the appropriate MPO representative/fiscal agent, Quarterly Report, and Quarterly Invoice with all supporting documentation, to the NMDOT by the 25th of the month following the close of the quarter. (Exception: The third quarter Reimbursement Packet is due July 12 to meet deadlines of state fiscal year closeout procedures.)

The Quarterly Report documents the work performed to date to meet the tasks outlined in the MPO’s UPWP and the Report for the first quarter should be derived from the most recently approved UPWP. Under each task and budget in the UPWP, MPO staff needs to provide an itemization of work accomplished that quarter on each task, as well as show the expenditures and remaining budget for that task. For subsequent quarters, the Quarterly Report must be cumulative; therefore, the previous quarter’s Quarterly Report will serve as the starting point for the next quarter’s (i.e. use the Quarterly Report from the first quarter as the starting point for the second quarter’s, most easily done using the ‘Save As’ function in Microsoft Word). If the MPO has ammended the UPWP since the last Quarterly Report, those amendments must be integrated into new Quarterly Report. The fourth quarter’s Quarterly Report will ultimately serve as the basis for the Annual Performance and Expenditure Report.

The Invoice outlines the expenditures all of which should be referenced in the Quarterly Report and the UPWP. The Reimbursement Packet must include all supporting documentation for the Invoice.

The Quarterly Report and Invoice must:

- Document work performed and hours billed by MPO staff to federal transportation planning funds.
- Document match ratio is met (85.44 percent federal/14.56 percent MPO for Planning [PL] funds; 80 percent federal/20 percent MPO for SPR and FTA funds) on a quarterly basis.
- Document progress made towards achieving target dates in UPWP; provide explanation when slippage occurs.
- Propose budget amendments if needed for review and approval by NMDOT GTG Liaison.

The NMDOT prefers receiving quarterly invoices. However, an MPO may request approval to submit monthly invoices for a set period to address cash flow problems that may arise.

MPOs are required to keep (and submit as indicated) the following documentation (NMDOT provides all MPOs with the sample Excel workbook and will provide the workbook to others upon request):

- **Timesheet (also known as a Personnel Activity Report)** - All MPO staff who charge time to a federally funded task are required to maintain internal accurate and current time records using database and spreadsheets comparable to the MPO Time Tracking Excel workbook (MPOs are
welcome to modify the sample spreadsheet or develop their own, provided it includes the same
information as outlined in the sample). The timesheets must track hours by UPWP task and funding
source. The timesheets do not need to be included in the Reimbursement Packet, unless the GTG Liaison specifically requests this information. GTG Liaisons will review timesheets as part of the Quality Assurance Reviews. In the event that work hours involve multitasking among several federally funded tasks, the MPO is required to obtain preapproval by the NMDOT (through its Liaison) of a cost allocation plan to address the specifics of each situation.

- **Timesheet Summary** – This is the monthly (or quarterly) summary of all MPO staff timesheets and should be submitted as part of the Reimbursement Packet. Again, a sample spreadsheet is provided as part of the MPO Time Tracking workbook.

- **Quarterly Budget Report** - The purpose of this report is to track expenditures by line item as defined by task in the UPWP budget. Moving funds from one line item to another is possible, but may require an administrative or formal amendment, depending on the amounts, thus the MPOs are responsible for tracking expenditures per line item. MPOs should use the boilerplate Excel workbook provided by the GTG Liaison (an example is provided in Appendix C) and submit this report with the Reimbursement Packet.

- **Quarterly Expenditure Summary** - The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of federal funds expended plus local match paid, by quarter. MPOs should separate out various FHWA funds, FTA funds, and other fund sources as applicable. MPOs should use the boilerplate Excel workbook provided by the GTG Liaison (an example is provided in Appendix C) and submit this report with the Reimbursement Packet.

- **Submittal and Review Process.** The NMDOT requires MPO Planners to submit a complete and accurate Reimbursement Packet to the assigned NMDOT GTG Liaison, according to the checklist provided below. The GTG Liaison has 5 working days to review and approve, or reject for cause, the Reimbursement Packet. The GTG Liaison then forwards an approved Reimbursement Packet to the Division Financial Manager, who has another 5 days to independently review and approve, or reject for cause, the Reimbursement Packet. The Financial Manager then processes the approved Reimbursement Packet for payment. The NMDOT has a total of 15 days to process and pay approved reimbursement requests. The clock stops at each step in the review process when the reviewer sends an email to the MPO Planner requesting additional information or providing grounds for rejecting the packet. It is then up to the MPO Planner to resubmit the required materials and/or revisions.

**MPO Reimbursement Packet Checklist.** All Reimbursement Packets must contain the following information. GTG Liaisons will use this checklist and the Reimbursement Checklist (included in Appendix E) to review the documentation for accuracy and completeness:

- **Request for Reimbursement Cover Letter** that includes:
  - Date
  - MPO contact and contact information
  - Vendor Number
  - Control Number(s)
  - Invoice or Reimbursement Number
  - Invoice Period of Performance (Quarter or Month)
  - Amount of reimbursement requested
 Quarterly Report on Project Activities/Progress using the UPWP format as outlined above which includes:
- Updates for all UPWP tasks
- Total staff hours per task per quarter
- Cumulative accounting of quarterly activities by task and percent completion of task with supporting documentation
- Explanation of expenditures included on Invoice, such as consultant services associated with a UPWP task

Note: If no specific activities were scheduled to occur under a given UPWP task for a given quarter, state that fact in the Quarterly Report under the task in question. In addition, identify and explain any schedule changes encountered and how the MPO intends to address the changes, particularly any delays.

 Invoice that includes:
- Date
- Fiscal Agent and contact information
- Vendor Number
- Control Number(s)
- Purchase Order Number(s)
- Invoice or Reimbursement Number
- Invoice Period of Performance (Quarter or Month)
- Amount of reimbursement requested with Local Match clearly identified
- Timesheet Summary
- Quarterly Budget Report
- Quarterly Expenditure Summary
- Notification/Request to Close in the case of Final Invoice
- Entity Certification and Signature (MPO/fiscal agent representative)

 Invoice Documentation that is organized and clearly explains the expenditures. If necessary, documentation should include page numbers and a summary of the expenditures and associated documentation. At a minimum, invoices should include the following:
- For TMAs, written detailed explanation of any line item, non-personnel costs that total more than $3000. For all other non-TMA MPOs, written, detailed explanation of any line item, non-personnel costs that total more than $500.
- For TMAs, invoices along with proof of payment (if available) for any purchase over $3000. For all other non-TMA MPOs, invoices and along with proof of payment (such as receipts) for any purchases over $500.
- Documentation of the Match provided

U.S. Census-Related Work Products
The final release of U.S. Census data is a catalyst for a number of federally mandated planning activities. These activities typically occur within the two fiscal years following the final U.S. Census data release. These activities are conducted in coordination with the state’s MPOs and RTPOs. The following subsections describe these non-annual recurring activities.

Urbanized Area Boundary Delineation – Adjustment following Decennial Census (resulting in smoothed UZA Boundary Map)
An urbanized area is defined as a geographic area with a population greater than 50,000 people. An urbanized area designation is based on decennial U.S. Census figures and triggers certain transportation
planning requirements mandated under federal transportation legislation. When new census figures become available, MPOs should review the data and determine if their urbanized area boundary has changed. A boundary change could trigger several necessary actions such as modifying the MPO planning boundary, updating the Statewide Travel Demand Model, and identifying functional classification changes within the new urbanized area boundary.

The MPO Policy Board reviews boundary-smoothing proposals in the context of a public hearing in accordance with the MPOs Public Participation Plan. Adjusted (aka “smoothed”) urbanized area boundaries do not require the Governor’s approval.

MPOs are directed to review the information in Appendix C and Table 3 for more detail on submitting what is commonly referred to as an “urban area boundary adjustment or ‘smoothing’” packet to the NMDOT for FHWA-NM approval. Once FHWA-NM approves the adjusted boundaries, the Bureau sends new shape files to the Data Management Bureau and PDFs and KMZ files to the Districts and Design Centers.

**Functional Classification**

All roadways have a designated functional classification based on factors such as volume, connectivity, adjoining land uses, functionality as part of an interconnected system, number of lanes, and intersection spacing. Updates to the functional classifications may be necessary as new development occurs or as roadways are improved and/or carry increasing traffic volume. MPOs should update their functional classifications when updating their MTPs and when requested as part of a statewide functional classification update effort. The NMDOT will conduct a statewide functional classification review following each decennial census.

**Submittal/Review Process.** There are two standard procedures pertaining to updating the functional classification of roadways in New Mexico:

1. **Statewide Functional Classification Review** – every 10 years following U.S. Census publication of decennial census, identification of new urban area boundaries.
   - The Bureau Chief or designee oversees state’s review, coordinates with the District Engineers, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6, state and federal land management agencies, and tribal entities; ensures federal regulations addressed at the statewide level regarding evaluation criteria, public involvement
   - MPOs and RTPOs lead the discussion within their jurisdictions, ensuring the public has access to hearings as called for in their Public Participation Plan
   - Bureau Chief/designee compiles statewide analysis, GIS shapefiles, supporting data and submits NMDOT’s recommendations to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 for formal review and approval
   - FHWA-NM has up to 90 days to review, comment, and/or approve the requested changes
   - The Bureau Chief notifies all parties of approved changes, including the MPOs, RTPOs, District Engineers, any impacted state and federal land management agencies, tribal entities, the NMDOT GIS Unit, Data Management Bureau Chief, and TIMS Section Head/staff member responsible for updating database supporting the NMDOT’s annual HPMS submittal

2. **MPO/RTPO-initiated proposals submitted to NMDOT in the interim between statewide reviews**
   - Bureau provides guidance on meeting federal criteria, submittal requirements – posted on NMDOT website and available in Appendix E.
• MPO and RTPO Policy Boards/Committees review entity submittals in a public hearing and submit adopted/recommended functional classification revision package to their respective NMDOT GTG Liaison.

• The GTG Liaison reviews the proposal on behalf of the NMDOT, with input from the GTG Unit Supervisor, District Engineer and other NMDOT managers, then adds NMDOT’s recommendation(s) to the submittal package, keeping MPO/RTPO Planner/Program Manager informed throughout the process.

• The GTG Liaison prepares a submittal letter to FHWA-NM for signature by the Planning Division Director.

• FHWA-NM has a minimum 30 days to review, comment and/or approve the requested changes.

• The GTG Liaison notifies all parties of approved changes, including the MPO/RTPO, District Engineer, GIS Unit, Data Management Bureau Chief, and Transportation Information Management System (TIMS) Section Head (functionally, the staff member responsible for updating database supporting the NMDOT’s annual HPMS submittal.)

**Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA) Boundary Reviews**

The MPA boundaries shall be reviewed after each Census by the MPO (in cooperation with the State and public transportation operator(s)) to determine if existing MPA boundaries meet the minimum statutory requirements for new and updated urbanized area(s), and shall be adjusted as necessary. As appropriate, additional adjustments should be made to reflect the most comprehensive boundary to foster an effective planning process that ensures connectivity between modes, reduces access disadvantages experienced by modal systems, and promotes efficient overall transportation investment strategies.

**Review/approval process:** The MPO shall work with NMDOT (including the GTG Liaison), member agencies and public transportation operators to review the MPA boundaries and if necessary, recommend changes. The proposed changes must be approved by the Policy Board/Committee and then submitted to the Governor for approval, with NMDOT notified. Following MPA boundary approval by the MPO and the Governor, the MPA boundary descriptions shall be provided for informational purposes to the FHWA, FTA, and NMDOT. The MPA boundary descriptions shall be submitted either as a geo-spatial database or described in sufficient detail to enable the boundaries to be accurately delineated on a map.
Air Quality and Conformity

For MPOs declared to be air quality nonattainment or maintenance areas, there are many special requirements in addition to the basic requirements for a metropolitan planning process. These include formal agreements to address air quality planning requirements, requirements for setting metropolitan planning area boundaries, interagency coordination, requirements for a Congestion Management Process (CMP), public meeting requirements, and conformity findings on MTPs and TIPs. Sections of the Metropolitan Planning Regulations governing air quality are summarized in the following bullets, and described in further detail in the following sections:

- In a metropolitan area that does not include the entire nonattainment or maintenance area, an agreement is required among the state DOT(s), state air-quality agency, affected local agencies, and the MPO providing for cooperative planning in the area outside the metropolitan planning area but within the nonattainment or maintenance area. [23 CFR §450.314(b)] In metropolitan areas with more than one MPO, an agreement is required among the state and the MPO describing how they will coordinate to develop an overall MTP for the metropolitan area; in nonattainment and maintenance areas, the agreement is required to include state and local air-quality agencies. [23 CFR §450.314(d)] The MPO is required to coordinate development of the MTP with the State Implementation Plan (SIP) development process, including the development of Transportation Control Measures (TCMs).

- In TMAs designated as nonattainment areas, Federal funds may not be programmed for any project that will result in a significant increase in carrying capacity for single occupant vehicles, unless the project results from a Congestion Management Process (CMP) meeting the requirements of 23 CFR §450.320(d).

- In nonattainment and maintenance areas, the FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6, and MPO must make a conformity determination on any new or amended TIPs [23 CFR §450.324(a)]
• In nonattainment TMAs, there must be an opportunity for at least one formal public meeting during the TIP development process [23 CFR §450.324(b)]

• In nonattainment and maintenance areas, the TIP shall give priority to eligible TCMs identified in the approved SIP and shall provide for their timely implementation. [23 CFR §450.324(i) and §450.330(e)]

• For the purpose of including Federal Transit Act Section 5309-funded projects in a TIP [49 USC § 5309], in nonattainment and maintenance areas, the TIP shall describe the progress in implementing required TCMs [23 CFR §450.324(l)(3)]

• In nonattainment or maintenance areas, if a TIP is amended by adding or deleting projects that affect transportation-related pollutants, the MPO and the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 must make a new conformity determination. [23 CFR §450.326(a)]

In TMAs that are nonattainment or maintenance areas, the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 will review and evaluate the transportation planning process to assure that the process meets the requirements of applicable provisions of Federal law and this subpart, including 40 CFR Part 93 [23 CFR §450.334(b)]. Air Quality requirements are spelled out in 23 CFR §450.322(l) and §450.324(a).

**State Implementation Plan**

The Clean Air Act (CAA) requires that each state develop a general plan to maintain National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) in all areas of the state, and specific plans to address the nonattainment areas within the state. These plans are known as State Implementation Plans (SIPs) and the New Mexico Environment Department develops the plans. In New Mexico, three regions (Albuquerque, southern Doña Ana County, and Grant County) are in nonattainment and have specific SIPs for maintaining air quality. Each of the SIPs is tailored to the specific type of pollutant for which the region is in nonattainment (for example, carbon monoxide, particulate matter, sulfur dioxide) and provides control measures and regulations for maintaining air quality. SIPs must be developed with public input and formally adopted by the state before submittal to the EPA for final approval. Control measures found in an approved SIP are enforceable in federal court. Additionally, the EPA tracks certain SIP elements related to infrastructure, which include emission limits, ambient air quality monitoring systems, programs for enforcement of approved control measures, stationary source monitoring systems, air quality modeling, participation by affected local entities, and permitting fees.

**Conformity.** The Clean Air Act Amendments (CAA) of 1977 first introduced the concept of coordinating the transportation and air quality processes and ensuring that all projects in MPO TIPs are consistent with approved SIPs. This is determined through the transportation conformity process. In order to receive federal funding from FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6, MPOs in nonattainment or maintenance areas must show that anticipated emissions resulting from the implementation of a project or program conform to the requirements in the SIP. Transportation Control Measures (TCMs) are programs included in the approved SIP that are designed to reduce emissions from transportation sources by promoting alternative modes (transit, bicycling, walking), or changing traffic flow and congestion conditions. Some examples of TCMs are developing high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes and improving public transit systems.

All projects must have a conformity determination before they can be implemented. This can be done through a “blanket” TIP conformity determination, which states all proposed projects in the TIP are within the on-road mobile source emission limits established by the SIP. For new projects added to the MTP and TIP after a determination has been made or projects that have had a major change in scope, a qualitative “hot spot” analysis can be done to show no negative impacts to air quality will result from
implementation. Conformity determinations are ultimately made by the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6. However, the MPO Policy Boards make initial conformity determinations for plans and programs within their boundaries and state DOTs usually do so for areas outside of MPOs. Conformity must be determined on the MTP and TIP at least every 4 years, 24 months after SIP motor vehicle emission budgets are approved by the EPA, or within 12 months after a new nonattainment designation become effective.

**Congestion Management Process**

According to federal regulation 23 CFR §450.320, a metropolitan-wide congestion management process (CMP) is required for new and existing multimodal transportation facilities in Transportation Management Areas (population greater than 200,000 people) to ensure safe and efficient use of the system. Performance measures and strategies for congestion management should be included in the document and reflected in the MPO’s TIP and MTP. The congestion management process should include:

- Methods to monitor and evaluate the performance of the multimodal transportation system, and identify the causes of recurring and nonrecurring congestion.
- Performance measures that are tailored to the locality, assess the extent of congestion, and support the evaluation of the effectiveness of congestion reduction and mobility enhancement strategies.
- A program for data collection to determine causes, extent, and duration of congestion.
- Identification of implementation strategies and schedules with possible funding sources for each.
- A process and timeline for assessment of the effectiveness of implement strategies in meeting performance measures established by the document.

Congestion management strategies could include:

- Demand management measures
- Traffic operational improvements
- Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) technologies that conform to the statewide ITS architecture
- Additional system capacities (except in TMAs that have a nonattainment designation for ozone or carbon monoxide)

For MPOs that are TMAs and are designated as nonattainment areas for ozone or carbon monoxide, federal funds may not be programmed for projects that add capacity unless they are addressed through the process outlined in the CMP, or if they are directly addressing safety issues along the corridor. If a capacity project is proposed to be advanced with federal funding, the CMP must provide an analysis of travel demand reduction and operational management as a result of the additional facilities.

**Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (MPO TMAs)**

Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality (CMAQ) funding is a category of Federal Aid funding targeted to address air quality problems from mobile sources (cars, trucks, and buses). The CMAQ category is divided into two parts – mandatory funds and flexible funds. Federal references for CMAQ are found in 23 CFR, Part 450, Subpart C: Metropolitan Transportation Planning and Programming. The FHWA website provides background information (for example, *A Summary: Air Quality Programs* and *A Summary: Air Quality Programs and...*  

---

90 23 CFR §450.320(c) - Congestion Management Process in Transportation Management Areas
**Provisions of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991** that may be useful for public officials, staff, and interested citizens who have limited knowledge about federal transportation funding and planning. The following subsections discuss each of the CMAQ funding parts.

**Mandatory funds.** CMAQ mandatory funds must be used in maintenance and nonattainment areas, and are allocated by proportion of population in each area. If the area is within an MPO boundary, these funds are allocated directly to the MPO and must be programmed through the MPO planning process. New Mexico currently has two maintenance areas – the Albuquerque metropolitan area and southern Doña Ana County. In the Albuquerque metro area, MRMPO programs CMAQ-eligible projects in their TIP in cooperation and consultation with NMDOT. In the case of southern Doña Ana County (which includes Sunland Park, Anthony, and Chaparral), the MPO receives official notice of CMAQ funds, as does NMDOT District 1. Federal regulations require the NMDOT to program CMAQ funds in cooperation and consultation with EPMPO and New Mexico member governments in the maintenance area.

See Table 3 for submittal/review process.

**Federal Certification of TMAs**

In conjunction with the MPO certification process, the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6, in partnership with the NMDOT Bureau, completes a statewide review of the MPO transportation planning process. The review occurs no less than once every 4 years to determine if the planning process conducted by all member agencies, local agencies, the state, and transit operators meets all federal legal and regulatory requirements. For individual MPOs, the review process is developed to focus on issues of significance to the particular MPO. The reviews are conducted with the objective of evaluating the effectiveness and efficiency of the processes in place at each MPO, highlighting good practices, exchanging information, and identifying opportunities for improvements. MPOs are encouraged to address any major issues identified in a cooperative, consultative, and comprehensive manner and in accordance with federal and state requirements. The NMDOT Bureau assists the MPOs with implementing corrective actions.

The review effort consists of a desk review of MPO-produced documentation, an onsite review, and the production of a report that summarizes the review and describes the findings. The desk review focuses on the core MPO documentation as identified in the Federal regulations, which include the MPO agreements, Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), Public Participation Plan (PPP), Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), financial documentation, transit operator triennial review, and other supplemental documents that are helpful to identify the extent of the planning process.

The onsite review focuses on discussion with the MPO staff about the core function, products, processes and procedures in place for the management of the metropolitan transportation planning process. The onsite review typically includes a public session to gather citizen feedback on the MPO planning process. The FHWA-NM and the FTA Region 6 must provide opportunities for public involvement and must consider the public input received in arriving at a decision on a certification action.

After reviewing and evaluating the process, the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 take one of four possible actions:

- If the process meets all federal requirements and a TIP has been approved by the MPO and the Governor, the two agencies will jointly certify the transportation planning process.
• If the process substantially meets the federal requirements and a TIP has been approved by the MPO and the Governor, the two agencies will jointly certify the transportation planning process subject to certain specified corrective actions being taken.

• If the process does not meet the federal requirements, the two agencies will jointly certify the planning process as the basis for approval of only those categories of programs or projects that the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 jointly determine, subject to certain specified corrective actions being taken.

• If the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 do not certify the transportation planning process, the NMDOT may withhold up to 20 percent of project funds that would otherwise be attributable to the TMA. This action is in addition to the other corrective actions and funding restrictions. Unless the funds have lapsed, they will be restored to the MPO when the metropolitan transportation planning process is certified by the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6.

A certification of the MPO planning process remains in effect for 4 years unless a new certification determination is made sooner by the FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 or a shorter term is specified in the certification report.

**Special Studies Generated by Task Forces and Committees**

MPOs are often involved in some manner with special studies or committees, whether generated by the MPO, NMDOT, or both. Examples of studies generated by the MPO Policy Boards are bicycle and pedestrian plans, and access management plans for the MPO region. Some MPOs have standing committees that meet regularly to provide input to the MPO on specific areas of interest to their particular region and context. The MPOs at times have been called upon by the NMDOT Transit and Rail Division to participate in statewide studies of transit services.
NMDOT Agreements, Authorizations, and Responsibilities to the MPOs

The NMDOT acts on behalf of FHWA-NM in carrying out the statewide planning process, as prescribed in the Stewardship and Oversight Agreement between the NMDOT and FHWA-NM (see the Statewide Planning Bureau chapter in the PPM for more information). Each MPO is assigned a GTG Liaison to serve as the initial point of the NMDOT contact for the MPO. The GTG Liaison also serves as a resource to the MPO, and facilitates coordination and communication between the MPO and the different areas of the NMDOT, including the District Offices. MPO Planners should follow established protocol by first contacting their assigned GTG Liaison with questions or concerns and for additional information. This informal contact can be in person or via telephone, email, letter, or fax as appropriate. The NMDOT administers its responsibilities in relation to the FHWA-NM and the MPOs in part by preparing, distributing, and enforcing the following documents or actions (which are described in the following subsections):

- Memorandum of Agreement
- Notice to Proceed
- Quality Assurance Review Process
- Federal Certification of TMAs

Cooperative Agreement

The Cooperative Agreement (CA) is the basic contractual agreement between the NMDOT and the MPO that delineates the responsibilities of each organization. NMDOT will prepare new CAs in response to recurring “triggers”:

- A new federal transportation authorization bill introduces new requirements (MAP-21)
- This first iteration of this PPM which establishes new schedules and protocols that the CAs must address (significant future revisions to this PPM may trigger the need to update CAs absent a change in Federal transportation legislation)
- Expiration of Cooperative Agreements. The current CAs became effective July 1, 2015 and expire September 30, 2018.

An updated JPA may also trigger the need for a new CA.

Notice to Proceed

After FHWA-NM approval of the NMDOT Division PWP (which includes the UPWPs), the NMDOT issues a Notice to Proceed to the MPOs as a notice to start work on their Work Program. The Notice to Proceed authorizes the MPO to seek reimbursement for the federal portion of the approved UPWP budget for a federal fiscal year. The NMDOT Bureau sends out the Notice to Proceed by September 30 so that MPOs can begin work on October 1 (the Notice to Proceed letter for the federal fiscal year covers October 1 of the start year through September 30 of the next year).

As of the PPM First Amendment, the current MOAs were updated in 2010 and expire on June 30, 2015. The NMDOT is in the process of developing new MOAs.
Quality Assurance Review

GTG Liaisons will meet with their assigned MPOs on a regular basis (quarterly at a minimum) to review UPWP progress and discuss any issues. In addition to regular meetings between the GTG Liaison and the MPO, the NMDOT will engage in a four-tiered, quality assurance review process of MPO administrative functions. The first two steps are mandatory and are performed annually. The NMDOT will enact Steps 3 and 4 as conditions warrant:

1. Review financial audits of MPO fiscal agents
2. Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review
3. Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review Follow-Up
4. Conduct Office of Inspector General Audit

Step 1 – Review Financial Audits of MPO Fiscal Agents
MPO Planners are required to submit copies of annual financial audits of their respective fiscal agent to their GTG Liaison within 30 days of approval by the MPO’s fiscal agent.

The GTG Liaison will review the audit and report any audit findings identifying deficiencies and/or the need for corrective action to the GTG Unit Supervisor. The GTG Unit Supervisor will bring the audit findings to the attention of the Bureau Chief and, ultimately, the Division Director, who will determine the course of action to be undertaken in addition to the GTG Liaison proceeding to conduct the annual Quality Assurance Site Review.

Step 2 – Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review
The GTG Liaison will schedule an annual Quality Assurance Site Review with each MPO for which the GTG Liaison is responsible. The objectives for the NMDOT’s biannual quality assurance review are to:

- Verify that the MPO planning process complied with current transportation planning law.
- Determine if the MPO planning process is a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive process.
- Review UPWP progress, including accomplishments, issues, schedule changes, etc.
- Identify noteworthy practices to share with other MPOs.
- Enhance the MPO planning process and improve the quality of the transportation decision-making.
- Determine the administration systems in place for the sound oversight management of federal funds in the operation of the MPO.

The GTG Liaison will first attempt to schedule the onsite visit with sufficient advance notice to ensure that all required documentation and MPO staff are available to facilitate the review. It is incumbent upon the MPO Planner to cooperate and assist with the scheduling on behalf of their entity. However, the GTG Liaison is responsible for conducting the site visit, and will proceed whether or not the MPO Planner chooses to facilitate the process. The NMDOT, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 possess the authority to inspect all documentation pertaining to the expenditure of state and federal funds at any time. Therefore, MPO Planners are required to keep electronic and hard copy files constantly up to date, well organized, and accessible for viewing. Appendix C contains a checklist that provides additional information and that will assist MPO staff with preparing for the quality assurance site reviews.

The GTG Liaison will submit a report on the Quality Assurance Site Review to the GTG Unit Supervisor who will review and discuss the report with the GTG Liaison. The GTG Liaison will provide the final report to the MPO Planner. If the report indicates that the proper administrative systems are in place
and fully operational, no further action is required.

**Step 3 – Conduct Quality Assurance Site Review Follow-Up**

If the GTG Liaison’s Quality Assurance Review report raises any concerns, the GTG Unit Supervisor will discuss the report with the Bureau Chief and, ultimately, the Division Director, to determine the appropriate course of action to take depending upon the severity of the concerns. Possible follow-up actions include:

- Requiring the MPO Planner to identify corrective actions (along with a timeline that includes major milestones)
- Requiring a UPWP amendment or modification to address the corrective actions, if necessary
- Conducting another Quality Assurance Site Review in 6 months or less to confirm improvements
- Proceeding to Step 4

**Step 4 – Conduct Office of Inspector General Audit**

The Division Director will determine if a formal audit by the NMDOT Office of Inspector General is necessary. If so, the Division Director makes the request in writing, typically by email, to the Office of Inspector General. The Division Director then follows the Office of Inspector General directives from that point forward, and the Division becomes responsible for enforcing the findings and recommendations of the resulting audit.

**Consequences of Non-conformance by an MPO**

The following section outlines the procedure for addressing non-conformance by an MPO. Examples of non-conformance include, but are not limited to, the following:

- not meeting deadlines as outlined in this PPM (and specified on the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline); **Note that some deadlines have automatic consequences if missed, such as if an MPO does not submit a WP amendment by the stated deadline. The consequence of this is that the amendment is not included in the PWP amendment, thus is not approved. This does not count as non-conformance on the part of the MPO.**
- continuously submitting incorrect or incomplete information; and
- refusing to follow and/or comply with the procedures outlined in this PPM.

Table 4, seen below, outlines the procedures the NMDOT will follow when addressing non-conformance on the part of an MPO. Non-conformances are tracked cumulatively over the course of the federal fiscal year (FFY). Every instance of non-conformance results in the entity increasing the non-conformance level. For example, if an MPO submits a Reimbursement Packet after the deadline and then misses a deadline for another work product, the MPO is considered to be at Level 2. If an MPO is at Level 1 or 2 at the close of the FFY, the MPO will start off at Level 1 at the beginning of the following FFY. Level 3 and above, including Corrective Action Plan, carry forward into the following FFY.
### Table 4
Non-Conformance Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-conformance Level</th>
<th>NMDOT Action</th>
<th>Notifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong></td>
<td>GTG Liaison notifies MPO Planner in writing of non-conformance</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td>GTG Liaison notifies MPO Planner in writing of non-conformance</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, MPO Officer copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
<td>The MPO develops a Corrective Action Plan and submits to the GTG Liaison for review/concurrence by the Bureau Chief and Division Director.</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, MPO Officer/RTPO COG Executive Director copied on submittal email for Corrective Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 4 (a “new” incident of non-conformance or failure to follow CAP) and any additional non-conformances</strong></td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor notifies MPO Planner in writing of failure to follow Corrective Action Plan.</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, MPO Officer copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division informs MPO Policy Board/Committee of pending loss of funds.</td>
<td>Division Director notifies DOT Secretary of situation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 5 (a “new” incident of non-conformance or failure to follow Corrective Action Plan) and any additional non-conformances</strong></td>
<td>1) GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief and Division Director set up hearing with MPO Planner, MPO Officer and MPO Policy Board/Committee Chair to discuss suspension of payment.</td>
<td>NMDOT Secretary, FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 are provided notification of the hearing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2) If a determination is made to suspend payment to the MPO, DOT Cabinet Secretary sends letter to MPO Officer. MPO Policy Board/Committee Chair and FHWA and FTA copied on letter

3) If the MPO elects to appeal the decision, NMDOT will arrange a meeting with all parties, as well as the appropriate FHWA and FTA representatives.

Please note that other types of non-conformance, such as on-going lack of communication or failure to meet deadlines outside of those specifically listed in the PPM may be grounds for NMDOT to follow the steps outlined above and/or issue a CAP.

**Metropolitan Planning Organizations Checklists**

This list is intended to summarize the work products of the MPOs. Please note that every item may not be required/undertaken. Unless otherwise specified, Work Products are submitted to the GTG Liaison.

**Monthly**
- ☐ Coordinate with NMDOT GTG Liaison
- ☐ Record hours worked per task identified in Unified Planning Work Program — use timesheets

**Quarterly**
- ☐ Meet with GTG Liaison to discuss progress on the UPWP
- ☐ Prepare and submit Reimbursement Packet (cover letter, invoice, quarterly report and all supporting documentation) to GTG Liaison
- ☐ Prepare and submit Unified Planning Work Program quarterly amendment to GTG Liaison, as needed, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
- ☐ Participate in quarterly MPO meetings
- ☐ Prepare and distribute quarterly meeting minutes (MPO meeting host only)
- ☐ Prepare and submit draft Transportation Improvement Program amendment to NMDOT, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6

**Annual**
- ☐ Prepare and submit annual Unified Planning Work Program budget to GTG Liaison, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
- ☐ Prepare and submit Annual Performance and Expenditure Report to GTG Liaison, provided to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes only
- ☐ Prepare and submit Annual Listing of Obligated Projects GTG Liaison, for concurrence from FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
- ☐ Review Notice to Proceed received from NMDOT (may occur more often than yearly)
- ☐ Participate in joint meeting with NMDOT and RTPOs
- ☐ Collect and submit traffic counts to NMDOT
- ☐ Post traffic flow maps on website
☐ Issue calls for projects (TAP, HSIP, other discretionary funds)
☐ Participate in Quality Assurance Reviews
☐ Report CMAQ data (EMPO and MRMPO)

Every 2 Years
☐ Prepare and submit final two-year Unified Planning Work Program to GTG Liaison, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
☐ Prepare and submit Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) to NMDOT, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
☐ Participate in Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) development by NMDOT

Every 3 Years
☐ Prepare documentation and participate in FTA Region 6 review of TMAs, for approval by FTA Region 6

Every 4 Years
☐ Prepare and submit Metropolitan Transportation Plan, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
☐ Prepare and submit Public Participation Plan, provided to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes only
☐ Review and update as necessary Title VI Plan (at a minimum, in conjunction with development of MTP, when NMTP is updated and/or when new federal transportation legislation is enacted), for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
☐ Review and update as necessary Memorandum of Agreement with NMDOT
☐ Participate with FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6/NMDOT in Federal Certification process, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 (MRMPO and EPMPO)
☐ Prepare and submit Metropolitan Transportation Plan Amendments, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
☐ Prepare and submit letters about Policy Board member changes

Ongoing and Other
☐ Review and update as necessary Joint Powers Agreement with member governments, submit to GTG Liaison
☐ Functional classification if required other than after new census data
☐ Review and update as necessary bylaws
Archiving Requirements

Archiving is the process of accumulating and storing documents that record the function and work products of the NMDOT and MPOs. New Mexico state law regarding archiving and record keeping requirements is more stringent than federal law; therefore, the following state law applies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</th>
<th>Name/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.18.805.24</td>
<td>Federal Planning Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by calendar year, then by date created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: reports containing various federally mandated interstate and roadway information. Reports are output from TRADAS, 1.18.805.23 NMAC and accident records citation system, 1.18.805.232 NMAC, 1.18.805.16 NMAC. Some of these reports may include highway performance monitoring system report, monthly volume summary at continuous counter sites reports, monthly and quarterly speed schedule audit reports, federal speed compliance monthly and quarterly speed summaries, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 10 years after close of calendar year in which created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18.805.31</td>
<td>Federal and State Apportionments Reports Files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by federal fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: reports concerning obligated federal and state funds for various highway-related projects (that is, construction, planning programs, feasibility studies, consultants, etc.). Files may include reports from the federal highway administration, departmental staff reports, correspondence, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 5 years after end of federal fiscal year in which created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.114</td>
<td>Manuals of Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: manuals of procedure prepared and published by state agencies for the guidance of public officers and employees engaged in operations required for the efficient operation of state and local government, including but not limited to acquiring space, budgeting, accounting, purchasing, contracting, vouchering, printing, appointment and dismissal of employees, record maintenance, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: until superseded by new manual of procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.15.2.117</strong></td>
<td><strong>Reports</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Annual, biennial or other periodic reports required by Article V, Section 9 N.M. Constitution or by specific statute: permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Routine, interim or progress reports: 2 years after close of fiscal year in which created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.15.2.151</strong></td>
<td><strong>Feasibility Studies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: studies requested/conducted prior to the acquisition, installation, implementation and/or purchase of new technologies, equipment, properties, projects, etc. [Studies may be incorporated into other files (that is, project files)].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Studies requested or conducted by agency: 5 years after completion or cancellation of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>Courtesy copies received by agency: until informational value ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.15.2.307</strong></td>
<td><strong>Publications</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: public relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by publication date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: printed work regardless of format or method of reproduction published by any state agency or political subdivision for distribution and that is produced by the authority of or at the total or partial expense of a state agency or is required to be distributed under law by the agency; and is publicly distributed outside the agency by or for the agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>Publications filed with the state library per Section 18-2-4.1 NMSA 1978:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a)</td>
<td>Agency's copy: until superseded or until information no longer needed for reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b)</td>
<td>State library's copy: permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) State archive's copy: permanent</td>
<td>(2) All other publications: transfer to archives for review and final disposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.4.208</td>
<td><strong>Revenue Contracts and Grants</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: revenue records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: contracts and grants for the receipt of monies by the New Mexico state government from other sources includes, but is not limited to, block grants, negotiated grants, federal agency grants, etc. Where there is required reporting of expenditures to a federal agency, retain records for 6 years after termination of grant/contract or retain records for 5 years after submission of final expenditure report, whichever is longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 6 years after termination of contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.4.307</td>
<td><strong>Contract/Agreement Files</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: expenditure records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: records concerning contracts let through bid by the state purchasing division, technical/professional service contracts, lease/rental contracts, agreements, etc. File may include contract/agreement, bid information, contract/agreement specifications, correspondence memoranda, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 6 years after termination of contract/agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional Transportation Planning Organizations

This section of the NMDOT Program Procedures Manual (PPM) discusses the Regional Transportation Planning Organizations (RTPOs) in New Mexico and their participation in the comprehensive and collaborative statewide planning process.

RTPO Structure in New Mexico

Nonmetropolitan transportation planning is governed by 23 USC § 135(m) and the RTPOs are established by state statute. The passage of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA) established the requirement for a consultative planning process involving local elected and appointed officials in rural areas, which New Mexico was able to meet through its relationships with the COGs and RTPOs. ISTEA also made federal transportation funding available to support RTPO planning programs.

The NMDOT establishes 4year Cooperative of Agreement (CA) with regional COGs/Economic Development Districts (EDDs) to act as fiscal agents and administer the RTPOs. The CA identifies the respective roles and responsibilities of the COG/EDD, RTPO, and NMDOT. The NMDOT and RTPOs collaborate to continually refine and update a standard Regional Work Program (RWP) format. The NMDOT establishes an annual planning budget for the RTPOs to use for RWP activities.

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) federal authorization act of 2012 was the first federal action to formally discuss the designation “RTPOs” (although the previous transportation bill SAFETEA-LU, referred to Regional Planning Organizations). MAP-21 makes it optional for states to designate RTPOs “...to enhance the planning, coordination, and implementation of statewide strategic long range transportation plans and transportation improvement programs, with an emphasis on addressing the needs of nonmetropolitan areas of the State.”

MAP-21 stipulates that states must consult with RTPOs representing an area with a population greater than 5,000 people and less than 200,000 people (the Metropolitan Planning Organization threshold) before obligating funding. RTPOs are required to be multijurisdictional organization of nonmetropolitan local officials and representatives of local transportation systems who volunteer to participate in the organization. The following seven RTPOs representing the rural, nonmetropolitan areas of New Mexico (see map in Appendix A):

- Mid-Region (MRRTP)
- Northeast (NERTP)
- Northern Pueblos (NPRTPO)
- Northwest (NWRTPO)
- South Central (SCRTP)
- Southeast (SERTPO)
- Southwest (SWRTPO)

---
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Communication Protocol

The NMDOT Government to Government (GTG) Unit in the Planning Bureau (Bureau) of the Asset Management and Planning Division (Division) maintains liaison staff assignments with all of the RTPOs in the state.\textsuperscript{95} RTPO Planning Program Managers should contact the assigned GTG Liaison with questions or concerns and for additional information. This informal contact can be in person or via telephone, email, letter, or fax as appropriate. RTPO fiscal agents are responsible for notifying the GTG Liaison in writing of any staff changes that affect the RTPO and ensuring that the GTG Liaison has the most current contact information for the Planning Program Manager.

The NMDOT assumes certain responsibilities of the New Mexico Division of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA-NM) for administering the Federal-Aid Highway Program (FAHP) under a Stewardship and Oversight Agreement.\textsuperscript{96} Therefore, RTPO staff should direct requests for general information and/or federal code interpretations to their respective GTG Liaison. The liaison will coordinate a response on behalf of the NMDOT. As needed, the NMDOT will seek guidance from the FHWA-NM.

Internal Structure

MAP-21 establishes the following minimum governance/structural requirements for RTPOs:

- A Policy Committee, the majority consisting of nonmetropolitan local officials (and their designees) and as appropriate, additional representatives from State agencies, private business, transportation service providers, economic development practitioners and the public in the region.

- A fiscal and administrative agent such as an existing regional planning and development organization (in New Mexico, these are the COGs/EDDs) to provide professional planning, management and administrative support.

Although the details may vary, the structural elements common to all of the RTPOs in New Mexico include the following items. RTPOs are responsible for reviewing and updating the following documents and submitting current versions to their GTG Liaison, as well as posting them on the applicable RTPO website. Therefore, all of the following documents can be found on the RTPO websites. Examples of some of the Work Products are included in Appendix D.

Bylaws

RTPOs are required to maintain Bylaws that define the ongoing operational structure of the organization and establish the relationships between the RTPO and member organizations. RTPO Planning Program Managers must schedule an RTPO Policy Board review of the Bylaws as needed and submit documentation of any updates to their assigned NMDOT GTG Liaison. Triggers for review of the by-laws include implementation of new federal legislation and/or formation of a new member agency, which is eligible for membership within the RTPO jurisdiction. Appendix D contains an example of RTPO bylaws.

\textsuperscript{95} Refer to NMDOT website for current MPO/RTPO Contact List
\textsuperscript{96} Refer to NMDOT website for current Stewardship and Oversight Agreement with FHWA-NM
The Bylaws should be specific to each RTPO based on the geographical area and member organizations, but generally include the following sections:

1. **Membership:** The Membership section defines the member entities’ and their representation on the Policy Board/Committee (each RTPO has either a Policy Board or a Policy Committee thus these terms are used interchangeable throughout this section), as well as any other committees. Official membership can also, include representation from allied organizations such as Regional Transit Districts, school districts, law enforcement, NMDOT, and others. In some RTPOs, these representatives are considered affiliated advisory (non-voting) members. Membership should also include representatives of agencies that receive public transportation funds if any.97

2. **Member Policy Training:** The Bylaws should specify types of trainings for new members to the Policy and Technical Committees, as well as training required by the adoption of new state and federal regulations, policies, and procedures (see the text box below for more information). A training plan may be more detailed in the Regional Work Program (RWP) as far as schedule and specific trainings provided, but should, at a minimum, include the following:
   a. the transportation planning process and the role of the RTPO, RTPO members, NMDOT, FHWA and FTA Region 6 in this process;
   b. overview of state and federal regulations, policies and procedures governing the RTPOs;
   c. overview of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations (RTIPR) and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) process; and
   d. overview of this PPM including the RTPO’s responsibilities.

3. **Policy and Decision-Making:** The Policy and Decision-Making section establishes the process for how policy and decisions are to be arrived at in the conduct of RTPO business. There is a range of structure throughout New Mexico’s RTPOs from a very formal (for example, Robert’s Rules of Order) to a less formal operational style. All RTPOs use motions, seconds, and a call for votes for their action items.

4. **Voting Basis:** The Voting Basis issue may be included in the Policy and Decision Making section and covers what constitutes a quorum for voting on decisions. It may also include a varying majority for different types of decisions.

5. **Officers:** The Officers section includes lists the officer positions for the RTPO committees and how they are to be selected. The section also includes when officers are to be elected.

6. **Committee Structure and Function:** The Committee Structure and Function section lists the various committees and explains their function. As noted above, each RTPO has its own name for its various committees. The general committee structure is:
   a. **Policy Board/Committee** – this committee is required by statute98 and is the decision making authority of the RTPO. The Policy Committee membership should consist of nonmetropolitan local officials and additional representatives, as appropriate, from NMDOT, private business, transportation service providers, economic development practitioners, and the local public.

---

97 23 CFR Section 135 (m)(2) Structure
98 23 CFR Section 135 (m)(3)(A) Requirements
b. **Technical Advisory Committees** – the membership of these committees usually includes city/county/tribal engineers, road managers, and planning staff. They function as an advisory group, which reviews and makes recommendations on actions and information that is to be presented to the Policy Committee.

c. **Standing Committees** – these committees are determined by the individual RTPO and meet at specified intervals.

7. **Meeting Schedules**: This section identifies when the regular meeting schedule is set each year.

8. **Compliance with New Mexico Open Meetings Act**: This section specifies that the Policy Committee will adopt a resolution addressing compliance with the New Mexico Open Meetings Act requirements on an annual basis.
9. **Staff Structure and Function:** All RTPOs have the equivalent of an RTPO fiscal/administrative agent, though the titles may vary. The fiscal/administrative agent could be from an existing regional planning and development organization. Their role is to provide professional planning, management, and administrative support. This agent is empowered to enter into contractual agreements and has operational financial authority with regard to the RTPO. The RTPO agent acts at the direction of and on behalf of the Policy Committee. At minimum, the RTPO agent provides oversight and direction to RTPO staff, and may take an active role in the on-going functions of the RTPO.

---

**Policy Committee Member Development and Training**

The RTPO Planning Program Manager is responsible for ensuring that new Policy Committee members become well versed in their role and responsibilities and cognizant of the breadth and scope of state and federal regulations pertaining to statewide transportation planning as practiced in the state of New Mexico. The GTG Liaison will assist by providing background information and presentation materials and can bring in other Departmental staff to assist with presentations on special programs, District Office responsibilities, priorities and budgets, transit planning, Regional Design Center responsibilities and activities, design parameters, funding opportunities, environmental certifications, and many other transportation-related topics. The NMDOT’s Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) Director is also available to assist the RTPO Planning Program Manager in identifying and providing training opportunities for member entities.

Policy Committee member training is an on-going activity, triggered not only by the introduction of new Committee members, but also by the adoption of new state and federal regulations, policies, and procedures. The RTPO Program Manager is responsible for providing and tracking the training that he/she provides, addressing, at a minimum, the following topics:

1. **Who** – How does the RTPO fit into the big picture of statewide transportation planning, and what is the role of an RTPO Policy Committee member, staff member, COG Board member, the role of NMDOT, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6?

2. **Why** – Overview of state and federal regulations, policies and procedures governing RTPOs. How can local entities benefit from participation in the RTPO?

3. **What** – What products are required of RTPOs? What programming, recommending authority does the RTPO have? What belongs on an RTPO agenda and what does not? How do projects move from the RTIPR to the STIP? What criteria govern project selection on the STIP?

4. **When** – When are these products expected, what are critical milestones and target dates in the RWP?

---
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RTPO Responsibilities

The core responsibilities of an RTPO are to:100

- Develop and maintain, in cooperation with the State, regional long range multimodal transportation plans (RTPs);
- Develop regional transportation improvement program recommendations (RTIPR) for consideration by the State;
- Foster the coordination of local planning, land use, and economic development plans with State, regional, and local transportation plans and programs;
- Provide technical assistance to local officials;
- Provide training to Board/Committee members (see text box);
- Participate in national, multistate, and State policy and planning development processes to ensure the regional and local input of nonmetropolitan areas;
- Provide a forum for public participation in the statewide and regional transportation planning processes;
- Consider and share plans and programs with neighboring regional transportation planning organizations, metropolitan planning organizations, and tribal organizations;
- Maintain an RTPO website that includes current information such as meeting agendas and minutes, as well as current planning documents, such as the Long Range Regional Transportation Plan, Regional Work Program, Title VI Plan, etc.
- Conduct other duties, as necessary, to support and enhance the regional and statewide planning process; and
- Maintain, in an organized fashion, all applicable records per the State’s archiving requirements (identified in a following section) and to make those records constantly accessible and available to NMDOT, FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 to review (see section on Quality Assurance Reviews for more information).

RTPOs are also expected to maintain a detailed working knowledge of State and Local transportation projects in their RTPO area and provide assistance with local lead projects from planning stages and funding through construction. RTPOs are also expected to participate in the following:

- **Quarterly Roundtable Meetings.** The RTPOs alternate hosting quarterly “roundtable” meetings in the various RTPO regions of the state. Agenda items typically include updates from the NMDOT Bureau (such as current projects, guidance on reporting, and how to access technical assistance), as well as reports from the RTPOs. The host RTPO is responsible for arranging the meeting location, working with the NMDOT Bureau to develop the agenda, distributing meeting information by email to all contacts and working with Bureau staff to write and distribute meeting notes.

- **Annual Joint Meeting.** The NMDOT Bureau will organize and host an annual joint meeting between the staff of the Bureau, RTPOs, and MPOs as well as other NMDOT and FHWA-NM personnel. RTPO staff are expected to attend these meetings and contribute to the development of the agenda.

---
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RTPO Work Products and Submittal Process

In general, work products required of the RTPO are established by the CA between NMDOT and the COG/EDD, and outlined in the RWP, approved by both the NMDOT and FHWA-NM. The work products are listed below and explained in more detail in the following sections:

- Long-Range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)
- Public Participation Plan
- Title VI Plan
- Regional Work Program (RWP) and Budget
- Cost Allocation Plan
- Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations (RTIPR)
  - Project Feasibility and Identification Forms
- Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letters, Quarterly Reports and Invoices)
- Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER)
- Roadway Functional Classification
- Participate in annual Quality Assurance Reviews
- Traffic Counts (optional for RTPOs)
- Special Studies Generated by Task Forces and Committees

Most work products require review by the NMDOT Bureau (via the GTG Liaison) for approval and concurrence that planning activities and fund expenditures comply with Federal regulations and the RWP. Table 5 summarizes the submittal and review process for the various RTPO work products. All work products should be submitted to the GTG Liaison unless otherwise specified. The following subsections discuss the work products and their specific submittal and review requirements in addition to those outlined in Table 5. Figure 1 in Appendix B shows the activities that the Bureau, MPOs, RTPOs, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 participate in monthly as part of the comprehensive, cooperative, and coordinated planning process in New Mexico. Appendix D contains boilerplates for developing some of these work products and examples of some of these items.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (RTPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Long Range Regional Transportation Plan</td>
<td>Update as necessary based on Federal legislation or New Mexico Transportation Plan updates.</td>
<td>GTG Liaison and RTPO Planning Program Manager agree upon a schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan.</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager works with GTG Liaison to review drafts and incorporate comments according to the outlined schedule. 2. RTPO Policy Committee formally approves final Plan. 3. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits approved LRTP to GTG Liaison. 4. RTPO staff post approved LRTP on RTPO website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Participation Plan</td>
<td>Update based on following conditions: • In conjunction with a revised LRTP. • 4 years since previous PPP. • As necessary based on Federal legislation or public input.</td>
<td>GTG Liaison and RTPO Planning Program Manager agree upon a schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan.</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager works with the appropriate GTG Liaison to review the current PPP to ensure compliance with applicable Federal regulations and determine needed revisions, including revisions based on public input received. 2. RTPO issues the draft PPP or revisions for a 45-day public comment period. 3. RTPO Policy Committee formally approves the revised or new PPP. 4. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits the approved PPP to the GTG Liaison. 5. RTPO staff post the approved PPP on the RTPO website.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title VI Plan</td>
<td>Update based on following conditions: • In conjunction with a revised NMTP. • 4 years since previous Plan. • As necessary based on Federal legislation or public input.</td>
<td>GTG Liaison and RTPO Planning Program Manager agree upon a schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan.</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager works with the appropriate GTG Liaison to review the current Title VI Plan to ensure compliance with applicable Federal regulations and determine needed revisions, including revisions based on public input received. 2. RTPO issues the draft Title VI Plan or revisions for a 45-day public comment period and posts on website. 3. The RTPO Policy Committee formally approves the revised or new Title VI Plan. 4. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits the approved Title VI Plan to the GTG Liaison. 5. RTPO staff post the approved Title VI Plan on the RTPO website.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## RTPO Work Product Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (RTPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Draft Program</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Coordinator schedule to develop program is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. Draft due on or before June 1 in even-numbered FFYs.</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext. Examples: 2014_0430_NERTPO_FFY15RWP_Draft2.docx</td>
<td>Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Program</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Coordinator schedule to develop program is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. Final due on or before July 1 in even-numbered FFYs.</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext. Examples: 2014_0930_NERTPO_FFY15RWP_Final.docx</td>
<td>Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendments - Formal</td>
<td>Quarterly; FHWA-NM, NMDOT, or an RTPO may initiate a request for an out-of-cycle work program amendment based on justification provided along with the written (generally via email) request; and upon receiving approval by FHWA-NM and NMDOT.</td>
<td>March 15 June 15 September 15 (odd-numbered FFYs) December 15</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext. Examples: 2014_0430_NERTPO_FFY15RWP_Q1Amendment1.docx</td>
<td>Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendments - Administrative</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: Year_MonthDay_XXMPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext. Examples: 2014_0430_FMPO_FFY15UPWP_Q1Amendment1.docx</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits proposed administrative amendment and summary to GTG Liaison for consideration. 2. GTG Liaison reviews and responds within 10 calendar days. 3. If GTG Liaison determines that proposed amendment meets requirements, Liaison notifies RTPO Planning Program Manager that amendment is approved.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 5
RTPO Work Product Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (RTPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost Allocation Plan</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>Submittal and review process is detailed in Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline.</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Regional Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program</strong> (Refer to STIP/TIP Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website for more information.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTIPR recommendations</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files</td>
<td>File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendements</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>February 1 May 1</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>Districts &amp; STIP Coordinator</td>
<td>Refer to the STIP/TIP Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website.</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendements</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>February 1 May 1</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>Districts &amp; STIP Coordinator</td>
<td>Refer to the STIP/TIP Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website.</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendements</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>August 1 November 1</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>Districts &amp; STIP Coordinator</td>
<td>Refer to the STIP/TIP Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website.</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendements</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>February 1 May 1</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>Districts &amp; STIP Coordinator</td>
<td>Refer to the STIP/TIP Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website.</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amendements</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>February 1 May 1</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>Districts &amp; STIP Coordinator</td>
<td>Refer to the STIP/TIP Procedures Manual posted on the NMDOT website.</td>
<td>1. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide review comments and/or determination of acceptance in writing to Division Director. 2. FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 provide determination of acceptance for Plan in writing to Division Director, if necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
- Plan is submitted with RWP.
- Schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan coincides with coordination schedule shown in the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline.
- Final due on or before July 1 in even-numbered FFYs.
- Annual Plan is submitted with RWP.
- Schedule for drafting and reviewing versions of the Plan coincides with coordination schedule shown in the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline.
- Final due on or before July 1 in even-numbered FFYs.
- RTIPR issues a formal call for projects in October and November.
- Local governments submit Project Feasibility Forms to RTPO and NMDOT District Technical Support Engineer.
- RTPO uses Project Feasibility Form to conduct meetings with local governments and NMDOT District and Planning staff to discuss projects and share information.
- If project is deemed feasible, local government submits a Project Identification Form to RTPO.
- RTPO conducts ranking meeting between January and March to discuss and prioritize Project Identification Forms.
- RTPO submits list of ranked projects to District Technical Support Engineer and GTG Liaison for possible funding and inclusion in a quarterly STIP amendment.
- RTPO staff post the approved RTIPR on the RTPO website.
## TABLE 5
RTPO Work Product Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (RTPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letter, Quarterly Report, and Invoice)</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>January 25, April 25, July 12, October 25</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits packet to GTG Liaison for review. 2. If approved, GTG Liaison submits approved packet to Division Financial Manager. If not approved, GTG Liaison emails RTPO Planning Program Manager within 5 working days to request additional information or provide grounds for rejecting the packet. 3. Division Financial Manager reviews package. If approved, the packet is processed for payment. If not approved, the GTG Liaison emails RTPO Planning Program Manager to request additional information or provide grounds for rejecting the packet. 4. RTPO Planning Program Manager resubmits packet with required materials and/or required revisions.</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Performance and Expenditure Report</td>
<td>Draft/Final Report</td>
<td>Draft due November 15, Final due November 30</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention Year_MonthDay_XXRTPO_FFYWorkProduct_version.ext</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits draft to GTG Liaison for review. 2. GTG Liaison follows internal protocol for document review. 3. RTPO Planning Program Manager revises report and resubmits to GTG Liaison. 4. RTPO posts report on RTPO website. 5. The NMDOT Bureau compiles the Division APER, the MPO and RTPO APERs in one submittal to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 for informational purposes within 90 days following the close of the Federal fiscal year, December 30.</td>
<td>None – report provided for informational purposes only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roadway Functional Classification</td>
<td>Update based on following conditions:  • In conjunction with an LRTP update.  • When requested as part of a statewide update.  • As necessary based on development / changes in traffic patterns and</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files. File Naming Convention: Refer to PPM for Statewide Planning Bureau for information.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Refer to PPM for information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### TABLE 5
RTPO Work Product Submittals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RTPO Work Product</th>
<th>Submittal Frequency to NMDOT</th>
<th>Submittal Date to NMDOT</th>
<th>Designee Responsible to Submit to NMDOT</th>
<th>NMDOT Recipient</th>
<th>Submittal Format</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (RTPO/NMDOT)</th>
<th>Submittal Review and Approval Process (NMDOT/Governor, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quality Assurance Reviews</td>
<td>Financial Audit of Fiscal Agents</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>30 days following approval by fiscal entity</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>Electronic submittal of files following designated file naming convention; GTG Liaison to send email confirming receipt of files.</td>
<td>1. RTPO Planning Program Manager submits copy of annual financial audit of their respective fiscal agent to GTG Liaison. 2. GTG Liaison reviews audit and reports any deficiencies identified and/or the need for corrective action to the GTG Unit Supervisor. 3. GTG Unit Supervisor will notify the Bureau Chief and Division Director about the audit review results.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Site Review</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Date scheduled by GTG Liaison</td>
<td>RTPO Planning Program Manager</td>
<td>GTG Liaison</td>
<td>RTPO staff are required to participate in the site review and provide access to electronic files pertaining to the expenditure of state and federal funds.</td>
<td>Refer to PPM for information.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long Range Regional Transportation Plan

Long Range Regional Transportation Plans\textsuperscript{101} (RTPs) assess transportation needs and identify projects that could potentially be implemented using Federal, State and local funds that are reasonably expected to be available over a 20-year (or longer) period. Each RTPO is expected to develop and maintain its RTP in cooperation with NMDOT, consistent with the socioeconomic projections, travel demand forecasts, scenario testing, revenue projections, prioritization process, evaluation criteria and performance measures established in the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP). Triggers for updating RTPs are new Federal legislation and NMTP updates.

The RTPO’s assigned NMDOT GTG Liaison will provide technical assistance and guidance regarding the cooperative process and the development of the RTP. This can include providing GIS support, socioeconomic projections, travel demand data, traffic counts, crash records and other statistical data and analysis to help maintain consistency between the RTPO RTP and the NMTP.

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.

Public Participation Plan

The NMDOT requires every RTPO to develop a Public Participation Plan (PPP) in consultation with citizens and other interested parties. To the maximum practicable extent, all RTPOs must develop a public participation framework that:

- Includes representatives for all transportation modes, including non-motorized.
- Holds public meetings at convenient and accessible locations and times.
- Employs visualization techniques to describe plans.
- Provides information in electronic formats and by means (such as the Internet) that afford reasonable opportunity for public consideration.

The PPP specifies how the RTPO will address these Federal requirements and how the RTPO will provide reasonable opportunities for public and agencies to comment on work products, including RTPs and RTIPRs. The PPP must address Federal requirements regarding the length of time allotted for public reviews of various RTPO work products and any exceptions allowed. Appendix D contains a boilerplate and best practices to serve as a guide.

At a minimum, a PPP must include the following elements:

- Procedures for informing the public about meetings and agendas;
- Location where current and archived documents can be accessed;
- Framework for public participation in the development of plans (unique to each plan or work product);
- Timeframes for public comment review periods;
- Brief description of the RTPO and its organizational structure; and
- Tools and activities for informing and educating the public (media, social media, visualization, response to comments, workshops, emails, newsletters, etc.).

\textsuperscript{101} 23 USC 135(m)(4)(A)
PPPs should be reviewed prior to development of the RTP, when new Federal legislation is adopted, and/or every four years at a minimum and updated as necessary.

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.

Title VI Plan

The Title VI Plan details how an RTPO will comply with Federal environmental justice and limited English proficiency requirements mandated in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and all related regulations and directives. The Title VI Plan generally includes the efforts to be taken by the RTPO to prevent discrimination and the methods for how it will achieve compliance for work products, planning activities, and public participation. The Title VI Plan serves as the assurance to the U.S. Department of Transportation that persons are not excluded from the planning process.\textsuperscript{102} The Title VI Plan also details the complaint process for any person believing he or she has been excluded from, denied participation in, denied the benefits of, or otherwise has been subjected to discrimination under any transportation service, program or activity (whether Federally-funded or not) due to that person’s race, color, national origin, gender, age, disability, economic status, or limited English proficiency.

When developing their Title VI Plan, RTPOs may wish to conduct a self-assessment to determine their progress in providing language assistance to Limited English Proficiency (LEP) persons.\textsuperscript{103} The assessment results may help revise the plan to better serve the LEP population. The assessment considers the following four factors:

- **Demography**: the number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be involved in programs and services or likely to be encountered
- **Frequency of Contact with the Program**: the frequency with which LEP persons access or come into contact with programs and services
- **Nature and Importance of the Program**: the nature and importance of the program, activity, or service in LEP individuals’ lives
- **Resources Available**: the resources and cost for providing assistance to LEP populations

Appendix D contains a boilerplate that provides guidance for addressing Title VI requirements. The Title VI Plan addresses the following considerations:

- Compliance with federal environmental justice and limited English proficiency requirements mandated in Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Efforts to be taken by the RTPO to prevent discrimination and the methods for how the compliance will be achieved for
  - Work products
  - Planning activities
  - Public participation
- Primary contact person to handle complaints and method to process and address complaints

\textsuperscript{102} 23 USC § 200.9(a)(1) – Assurance required by federal law
\textsuperscript{103} Department of Justice website (http://www.lep.gov/selfassesstool.htm)
The RTPO Title VI Plan should be reviewed every 4 years, and/or when new federal legislation is implemented and/or when the NMTP is updated, and updated as necessary.

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.

**Regional Work Program and Budget**

Every RTPO must adopt a detailed Regional Work Program (RWP) and associated budget describing the transportation planning activities of the RTPO over a 2-year period (the Year 2 budget is considered proposed until finalized in the First Quarter Amendment of Year 2). The NMDOT consulted with the RTPOs to develop a standard boilerplate for the RWP (provided in Appendix D). The Work Program Review Checklist in Appendix D also provides useful information for developing an RWP. Once approved as part of the NMDOT PWP, the RWP serves as the template for the Quarterly Reports. As Quarterly Reports are cumulative, they form the basis for the Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (see below) which is due after the close of each FFY.

All parties are bound by the approved RWP currently in effect unless Administratively or Formally Amended as described in the following bullets:

- **Administrative Amendment.** An administrative amendment to the RWP may be accomplished unilaterally by the RTPO if it meets the following criteria.
  1. The study or task will not significantly impact approved work program priorities and work product delivery schedules (by causing other project delivery schedules to be set back by more than a month), and
  2. The study or task will result in a cost change (increase or decrease) of 20% or less of the approved budgeted amount for a specific project or task; or a cost change (increase or decrease) of 10% or less to the RWP budget

The RTPO Planning Program Manager must notify the GTG Liaison of any Administrative Amendments in writing (email will suffice). The GTG Liaison has 10 working days to review the Administrative Amendment to ascertain that it meets the criteria, or comment, also via email, if he/she believes it does not.

- **Formal Amendments.** A formal amendment is required if there are substantive changes to work elements funded by the RWP, as defined by the following criteria:
  1. The new study or task will impact approved work program priorities by causing other project delivery schedules to slip by more than one month, and
  2. The study or task will result in a cost change (increase or decrease) of more than 20% of the approved budgeted amount for a specific project or task; or a cost change (increase or decrease) of more than 10% to the RWP budget

Formal RWP Amendments follow the same process required for PWP Amendment submittals and may be made quarterly according to the schedule and deadlines outlined in the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline. The Formal RWP Amendment may be implemented upon receiving formal approval of the corresponding PWP amendment by the FHWA-NM and NMDOT. The FHWA-NM, FTA Region VI or the NMDOT may initiate a request for an out-of-cycle work program amendment.
All amendment requests must be made in writing (email will suffice) to the GTG Liaison and must include a summary of the amendment that addresses all of the following:

- Whether the amendment is an Administrative or Formal amendment and why.
- Changes to tasks/projects.
- Changes to the budget.
- Changes to the timeline.

The Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline (Figure 2 in Appendix B) provides a schedule for coordinating the development of the Regional Work Program with the NMDOT.

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.

Cost Allocation Plan

The Federal requirement for a local government (in this case, the fiscal agent for an RTPO) to submit a Central Services Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) technically applies only to a “major local government,” defined as one that receives over $100 million in direct Federal awards annually. Local governments that are not designated as “major” are not required to submit their cost allocation plans for Federal review and approval unless specifically instructed to do so by a Federal agency. Nonetheless, under New Mexico State Statutes and the New Mexico Administrative Code, overseen by the Department of Finance and Administration – Local Government Division, local governments are expected to prepare and retain their CAPs for audit by independent auditors and Federal auditors. The US Health and Human Services Department’s “A Guide for State, Local and Tribal Governments,” provides guidance, and there is a sample CAP from SCRTPO in Appendix D.

RTPOS are required to develop an annual Cost Allocation Plan that shows how operating costs will be shared between revenue streams for accounting purposes. The Cost Allocation Plan is submitted along with the RWP and reviewed/approved according to that same process.

---
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Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the state’s transportation capital improvement program. Federally funded and/or regionally significant projects in the MPO/RTPO areas are reflected in the MPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) or the RTPO list of recommended projects. The New Mexico STIP is a 6-year plan and includes specific funding levels by year for project implementation. The STIP is fiscally constrained so that program costs do not exceed estimated revenues. The STIP must be consistent with the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP) and the MPO Metropolitan Transportation Plans (MTPs). The FHWA-NM approves the STIP every 2 years. Refer to the State/Transportation Improvement Program Procedures Manual on the NMDOT website for more information about the STIP and TIP procedures, including transfer of funds between programs, FHWA to FTA, and state to state.

Under MAP-21, the NMDOT is required to develop statewide performance based measures and targets which will establish investment priorities. This PPM, the STIP/TIP Procedures Manual, and the STIP database will be updated as the NMDOT develops, and FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 approve, the

Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations (RTIPR) and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

All of the transportation projects and programs for the entire state along with anticipated federal funding amounts are included in the 6-year State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Regional Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations (RTIPR) is a prioritized list of projects that each of the RTPOs develops on a yearly basis for possible inclusion in the STIP. Projects on the RTIPR are submitted by the participating local governments and prioritized based on criteria set by each RTPO. Many of the RTPOs also use the RTIPR as a place to list projects that are considered priorities including Safety, Planning, and Roadway projects.

The Project Feasibility Form (PFF) provides a way to open the dialogue between the NMDOT Districts and the local governments regarding projects for possible inclusion in the RTIPR/STIP. RTPO member agencies complete and submit PFFs to the RTPO Planning Program Manager, the respective NMDOT District Technical Support Engineer, and the appropriate GTG Liaison. Following the RTPO-established submittal deadline, a meeting is held at the member agency’s location to discuss the overall feasibility of the project and likelihood of the project for receiving federal funding from the NMDOT District.

The NMDOT requires local, tribal, and other eligible entities to submit a Project Identification Form (PIF) as part of the application process for transportation infrastructure projects including roadways, bridges, TAP, and corridor/feasibility studies. If the local entity is applying for federal funding through the respective NMDOT District, the sponsoring agency must submit a PFF form and receive District concurrence before the PIF is submitted. The purpose of a standardized PIF is to provide NMDOT with a project description that is as complete as possible so that NMDOT staff can begin drafting the contract/agreement promptly after the start of a new fiscal year. In addition, the STIP Unit and District Offices enter the project information from the PIF into the STIP. RTPOs typically work with their member governments to assist with the completion and submission of PIFs in a timely manner that provides NMDOT District staff adequate opportunity for review and determination of project feasibility. Appendix D contains a Project Feasibility Form template.
The process for development of the RTIPR varies slightly among each RTPO, as does the project ranking criteria, but is generally outlined in Table 5.

- Between January and March, each RTPO holds a ranking meeting to discuss and prioritize PIFs based on the criteria set by the RTPO members.
  - In Districts where there is more than one RTPO, all of the RTPOs hold a meeting to combine their prioritized lists. This is usually called a “zipper” meeting and is normally held in March.
- The final RTIPR with ranked projects is submitted to the District and the GTG liaison by mid-April for possible funding and inclusion in the May STIP Amendment.

The STIP and any proposed amendments are posted on the NMDOT website. RTPO Planning Program Managers are responsible for reviewing the posted STIP for accuracy regarding projects originating from the RTIPR. For more information about the STIP process, see the FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6-approved NMDOT State/Transportation Improvement Program on the NMDOT website.

There are a number of opportunities for RTPOs to move projects from their RTIPR to the STIP, or from the RTIPR to implementation via a funding source that does not require listing on the STIP:

- The District Office(s) may select RTPO projects for inclusion in the STIP using Federal highway funds appropriated to the NMDOT, based on State priorities and evaluation criteria; and
- The local entity applies for and is awarded discretionary funds from programs such as Local Government Road Fund (LGRF), Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP), Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP), Public Lands Access, Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Economic Development Administration (EDA), U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and/or U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) grant, Colonias Infrastructure Fund, etc. (See Appendix A for a complete listing of state and federal funding sources.)

For each area under the jurisdiction of an Indian Tribal government, RTPOs must develop the RTP and RTIPR in consultation with any affected Tribal governments and the Secretary of the Interior consistent with 23 USC § 135(2)(C). The current NMDOT Tribal Consultation protocol is to include representatives of all tribal entities within the RTPO planning jurisdiction as voting members of the RTPO Policy Committee. Additional and more direct tribal consultation with a tribal entity may be necessary on a project specific basis. The NMDOT provides the services of its Tribal Liaison to assist RTPOs whenever an issue or concern involving tribal lands and entities arises. RTPOs are directed to the current MPO/RTPO Contact List posted on the NMDOT website for the Tribal Liaison’s contact information.

RTPO Program Managers must become well versed in the NMDOT’s STIP/TIP Procedures Manual, posted on the NMDOT website, in order to provide solid technical assistance to member entities and the RTPO Policy Committee vis-à-vis refining local project selection criteria and prioritizing projects for inclusion on the RTIPR. Because the RTIPR is considered advisory, and because RTPOs are not granted the same programming responsibility under federal law as the MPOs are, RTPOs must work very closely with their GTG Liaison, Transit and Rail Division staff, and, in particular, their District Office(s) to develop a strong candidate list of potential transportation improvement projects in the RTIPR in order for local priority projects to make their way onto the STIP.

**Process for Moving Projects from RTIPR to the STIP.** Each District Office determines which projects are timely, financially viable, cost effective, and of sufficient public benefit to place on the STIP within its jurisdiction. Transportation project management is a time-consuming endeavor, and carries with it tremendous responsibility related to the expenditure of public funds. Through negotiation and discussion, the District Office will also decide whether the local entity has the staff capacity to manage the project, as a local-lead project, or the District itself should serve as project lead.
The NMDOT offers training on project development and oversight, currently titled “Project Management from Inception to Completion.” RTPO Program Managers are expected to contact the LTAP Director for the current training schedule and to be technically proficient enough to guide member entities in project identification, selection, funding, and implementation.

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.

Reimbursement Packets (Cover Letters, Quarterly Reports and Invoices)
The Federal-Aid Highway Program is a reimbursement program and requires a local match. Therefore, the RTPOs must expend local transportation planning funds initially then seek reimbursement from the NMDOT for the federal portion. The NMDOT reimburses the RTPOs for the federal portion using State Road funds then seeks reimbursement from FHWA-NM for the federal portion.

RTPOs must submit via email a Reimbursement Packet that includes a cover letter from the appropriate RTPO representative/fiscal agent, Quarterly Report, and Quarterly Invoice with all supporting documentation to the NMDOT by the 25th of the month following the close of the quarter. (Exception: The third quarter Reimbursement Packet is due July 12 to meet deadlines for state fiscal year closeout procedures.)

The Quarterly Report documents the work performed to date to meet the tasks outlined in the RTPO’s RWP and the Report for the first quarter should be derived from the most recently approved RWP. Under each task and budget in the RWP, RTPO staff need to provide an itemization of work accomplished that quarter on each task, as well as show the expenditures and remaining budget for that task. For subsequent quarters, the Quarterly Report must be cumulative; therefore, the previous quarter’s Quarterly Report will serve as the starting point for the next quarter’s (i.e. use the Quarterly Report from the first quarter as the starting point for the second quarter’s, most easily done using the ‘Save As’ function in Microsoft Word). Please note that if the RWP has been amended since the last Quarterly Report, those amendments need to be manually integrated into new Quarterly Report. The fourth quarter’s Quarterly Report will ultimately serve as the basis for the Annual Performance and Expenditure Report.

The Invoice outlines the expenditures all of which should be referenced in the Quarterly Report and the RWP. The Reimbursement Packet must include all supporting documentation for the Invoice.

The Quarterly Report and Invoice must:

- Document work performed and hours billed by RTPO staff to federal transportation planning funds.
- Document match ratio is met (80 percent federal/20 percent RTPO for SPR funds) on a quarterly basis.
- Document progress made towards achieving target dates in RWP; provide explanation when slippage occurs.
- Propose budget amendments if needed for review and approval by NMDOT GTG Liaison.

The NMDOT prefers receiving quarterly invoices. However, an RTPO may request approval to submit monthly invoices for a set period to address cash flow problems that may arise.

RTPOs are required to keep (and submit as indicated) the following documentation (NMDOT provides all RTPOs with the sample Excel workbook and will provide the workbook to others upon request):

- **Timesheet (also known as a Personnel Activity Report)** - All RTPO and COG staff who charge time to a federally funded task are required to maintain internal accurate and current time records using
database and spreadsheets comparable to the RTPO Time Tracking workbook (RTPOs are welcome to modify the sample spreadsheet or develop their own, provided it includes the same information as outlined in the sample). The timesheets do not need to be included in the Reimbursement Packet, unless the GTG Liaison specifically requests this information. GTG Liaisons will review timesheets as part of the Quality Assurance Reviews. In the event that work hours involve multitasking among several federally funded tasks, the RTPO is required to obtain preapproval by the NMDOT (through its Liaison) of a cost allocation plan to address the specifics of each situation. RTPO Planning Program Managers are also encouraged to track their activities using the Daily Log template provided in Appendix D or something similar.

- **Timesheet Summary** – This is the monthly (or quarterly) summary of all RTPO staff timesheets and should be submitted as part of the Reimbursement Packet. Again, a sample is provided as part of the RTPO Time Tracking workbook.

- **Quarterly Budget Report** - The purpose of this report is to track expenditures by line item as defined by task in the RWP budget. Moving funds from one line item to another is possible, but may require an administrative or formal amendment, depending on the amounts, thus the RTPOs are responsible for tracking expenditures per line item. RTPOs should use the boilerplate Excel workbook provided by the GTG Liaison (an example is provided in Appendix D) and submit this report with the Reimbursement Packet.

- **Quarterly Expenditure Summary** - The purpose of this report is to provide a summary of federal funds expended plus local match paid, by quarter. RTPOs should separate out various FHWA funds, FTA funds, and other fund sources as applicable. MPOs should use the boilerplate Excel workbook provided by the GTG Liaison (an example is provided in Appendix D) and submit this report with the Reimbursement Packet.

**Submittal and Review Process.** The NMDOT requires RTPO Planners to submit a complete and accurate Reimbursement Packet to the assigned NMDOT GTG Liaison, according to the checklist provided below. The GTG Liaison has 5 working days to review and approve, or reject for cause, the Reimbursement Packet. The GTG Liaison then forwards an approved Reimbursement Packet to the Division Financial Manager, who independently reviews and approves, or rejects for cause, the Reimbursement Packet. The Financial Manager then processes the approved Reimbursement Packet for payment. The NMDOT has a total of 15 days to process and pay approved reimbursement requests. The clock stops at each step in the review process when the reviewer sends an email to the RTPO Planner requesting additional information or providing grounds for rejecting the packet. It is then up to the RTPO Planner to resubmit the required materials and/or revisions.

**RTPO Reimbursement Packet Checklist.** All Reimbursement Packets must contain the following information. GTG Liaisons will use this checklist and the Reimbursement Packet Checklist included in Appendix E) to review the documentation for accuracy and completeness:

- Request for Reimbursement Cover Letter that includes:
  - Date
  - RTPO contact and contact information
  - Vendor Number
  - Control Number(s)
  - Invoice or Reimbursement Number
  - Invoice Period of Performance (Quarter or Month)
  - Amount of reimbursement requested
Quarterly Report on Project Activities/Progress using the RWP format as outlined above which includes:
- Updates for all RWP tasks
- Total staff hours per task per quarter
- Cumulative accounting of quarterly activities by task and percent completion of task with supporting documentation
- Explanation of expenditures included on Invoice, such as consultant services associated with a RWP task

Note: If no specific activities were scheduled to occur under a given RWP task for a given quarter, state that fact in the Quarterly Report under the task in question. In addition, identify and explain any schedule changes encountered and how the RTPO intends to address the changes, particularly any delays.

Invoice that includes:
- Date
- Fiscal Agent and contact information
- Vendor Number
- Control Number(s)
- Purchase Order Number(s)
- Invoice or Reimbursement Number
- Invoice Period of Performance (Quarter or Month)
- Amount of reimbursement requested with Local Match clearly identified
- Timesheet Summary
- Quarterly Budget Report
- Quarterly Expenditure Summary
- Notification/Request to Close in the case of Final Invoice
- Entity Certification and Signature (MPO/fiscal agent representative)

Invoice Documentation that is organized and clearly explains the expenditures. If necessary, documentation should include page numbers and a summary of the expenditures and associated documentation. At a minimum, invoices should include the following:
- Written, detailed explanation of any line item, non-personnel costs that total more than $500
- Invoices and proof of payment for any purchases over $500,
- Documentation of the Match provided.

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.
Annual Performance and Expenditure Report

The NMDOT monitors the activities of New Mexico’s RTPOs and MPOs to assure that work supported by FHWA-NM planning funds “is being managed and performed satisfactorily and that time schedules are being met.” 106 To meet this requirement, every RTPO must prepare an Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER) that documents how the RTPO accomplished the work outlined in the RWP and provides a final accounting of expenditures made during the past federal fiscal year. The activities and tasks should be presented in a clear and detailed manner that is consistent with the RWP and allows the NMDOT to track progress with implementing the RWP.

The APER should be derived from the fourth quarter Quarterly Report for that FFY. As the Quarterly Reports are cumulative, they provide an itemization of work done for each task in the RWP, as well as provide a quarter-by-quarter expenditure breakdown. This serves as a helpful basis for the APER, although additional information is required, as outlined below.

The Annual Performance and Expenditure Report must contain at a minimum. 107

• Comparison of actual performance and accomplishments with established goals as outlined in the RTP and RWP
• Progress in meeting schedules
• Status of expenditures in a format compatible with the work program, including a budgeted (approved) amounts and actual cost incurred
• Cost overruns or under-runs
• Approved RWP revisions
• Any amount of federal funds not spent during the fiscal year for implementation of the RWP
• Other pertinent supporting data

See Table 5 for submittal/review process.

Functional Classification

All roadways have a designated functional classification based on factors such as volume, connectivity, adjoining land uses, functionality as part of an interconnected system, number of lanes, and intersection spacing. Updates to the functional classifications may be necessary as new development occurs or as roadways are improved and/or carry increasing traffic volume. RTPOs should update their functional classifications when updating their RTPs and when requested as part of a statewide functional classification update effort. The NMDOT will conduct a statewide functional classification review following each decennial census.

Submittal/Review Process. There are two standard procedures pertaining to updating the functional classification of roadways in New Mexico:

106 23 CFR §420.117(a) – What are the program monitoring and reporting requirements?: DOT monitors all activities performed by its staff
107 23 CFR §420.117(b)(1) – What are the program monitoring and reporting requirements?: DOT must submit performance and expenditure reports
1. Statewide Functional Classification Review – every 10 years following U.S. Census publication of decennial census, identification of new urban area boundaries.
   - The Bureau Chief or designee oversees state’s review, coordinates with the District Engineers, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6, state and federal land management agencies, and tribal entities; ensures federal regulations addressed at the statewide level regarding evaluation criteria, public involvement
   - MPOs and RTPOs lead the discussion within their jurisdictions, ensuring the public has access to hearings as called for in their Public Participation Plan
   - The Bureau Chief/designee compiles statewide analysis, GIS shapefiles, supporting data and submits NMDOT’s recommendations to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 for formal review and approval
   - FHWA-NM has up to 90 days to review, comment, and/or approve the requested changes
   - The Bureau Chief notifies all parties of approved changes, including the MPOs, RTPOs, District Engineers, any impacted state and federal land management agencies, tribal entities, the NMDOT GIS Unit, Data Management Bureau Chief, and TIMS Section Head/staff member responsible for updating database supporting the NMDOT’s annual HPMS submittal

2. MPO/RTPO-initiated proposals submitted to NMDOT in the interim between statewide reviews
   - The Bureau provides guidance on meeting federal criteria, submittal requirements – posted on NMDOT website and available in Appendix E.
   - MPO and RTPO Policy Boards/Committees review entity submittals in a public hearing and submit adopted/recommended functional classification revision package to their respective NMDOT GTG Liaison.
   - The GTG Liaison reviews the proposal on behalf of the NMDOT, with input from the GTG Unit Supervisor, District Engineer and other NMDOT managers, then adds NMDOT’s recommendation(s) to the submittal package, keeping MPO/RTPO Planner/Program Manager informed throughout the process
   - The GTG Liaison prepares a submittal letter to FHWA-NM for signature by the Planning Division Director
   - FHWA-NM has a minimum 30 days to review, comment and/or approve the requested changes
   - The GTG Liaison notifies all parties of approved changes, including the MPO/RTPO, District Engineer, GIS Unit, Data Management Bureau Chief, and Transportation Information Management System (TIMS) Section Head (functionally, the staff member responsible for updating database supporting the NMDOT’s annual HPMS submittal.)

Special Studies Generated by Task Forces and Committees
RTPOs are commonly involved in some manner with special studies or committees, some generated by the RTPO itself, others commissioned by NMDOT, or both. Examples of studies generated by the RTPO Policy Committees are bicycle and pedestrian plans, as well as access management plans for the RTPO region. Some RTPOs have standing committees that meet regularly to provide input to the RTPO on specific areas of interest to their particular region and context. At times, the RTPOs have been called upon to participate in statewide studies of transit services commissioned and conducted by the NMDOT Transit and Rail Division.

Traffic Counts
RTPOs are not required to conduct traffic counts. However, the NMDOT will provide technical support to assist in the funding and development of a traffic count program. The counts must be coordinated with
and accepted by the NMDOT Bureau. The RTPOs are referred to the SWRTP0 for current best practices regarding RTPO-initiated traffic counts.

**NMDOT Agreements, Authorizations and Responsibilities to the RTPOs**

The NMDOT acts on behalf of FHWA-NM in carrying out the statewide planning process, as prescribed in the Stewardship and Oversight Agreement between NMDOT and FHWA-NM (see the Statewide Planning Bureau chapter in this PPM for more information). Each RTPO is assigned a GTG Liaison to serve as the initial point of the NMDOT contact for the RTPO. The GTG Liaison also serves as a resource to the RTPO, and facilitates coordination and communication between the RTPO and the different areas of the NMDOT, including the District Offices. RTPO Program Managers should follow established protocol by first contacting their assigned GTG Liaison with questions or concerns and for additional information. This informal contact can be in person or via telephone, email, letter, or fax as appropriate. The NMDOT administers its responsibilities in relation to the FHWA-NM and the RTPOs in part by preparing, distributing and enforcing the following documents or actions (which are described in the following subsections):

- Memorandum of Agreement
- Notice to Proceed
- Quality Assurance Review Process

**Cooperative Agreement**

The Cooperative Agreement (CA) is the basic contractual agreement between the NMDOT and the RTPO that delineates the responsibilities of each organization\(^{108}\). NMDOT will prepare new CAs in response to recurring “triggers”:

- A new federal transportation authorization bill introduces new requirements (MAP-21)
- This first iteration of this PPM which establishes new schedules and protocols that the CAs must address (significant future revisions to this PPM may trigger the need to update CAs absent a change in Federal transportation legislation)
- Expiration of Cooperative Agreements. The CAs effective July 1, 2015 will expire September 30, 2018.

**Notice to Proceed**

Upon FHWA-NM approval of the NMDOT Division PWP, the NMDOT issues a Notice to Proceed to the RTPOs as a notice to start work on the RWP. The Notice to Proceed authorizes the RTPO to seek reimbursement for the federal portion of the approved RWP budget for a federal fiscal year. The NMDOT Bureau sends out the Notice to Proceed by September 30 so that RTPOs can begin work on October 1 (the initial Notice to Proceed letter for the federal fiscal year covers October 1 of the start year through September 30 of the next year).

---

\(^{108}\) As of the PPM First Amendment, the current MOAs were updated in 2010 and expire on June 30, 2015. The NMDOT is in the process of developing new MOAs.
Quality Assurance Review Process

GTG Liaisons will meet with their assigned RTPOs on a regular basis (quarterly at a minimum) to review UPWP progress and discuss any issues. In addition to regular meetings between the GTG Liaison and the RTPO, the NMDOT will engage in a four-tiered, quality assurance review process of RTPO administrative functions. The first two steps are mandatory and are performed annually. The NMDOT will enact Steps 3 and 4 as conditions warrant:

1. Review financial audits of RTPO fiscal agents
2. Quality Assurance Site Review
3. Quality Assurance Site Review Follow-Up
4. Office of Inspector General Audit

Step 1 – Review Financial Audits of Fiscal Agents
RTPO Program Managers are required to submit copies of annual financial audits of their respective fiscal agent to their GTG Liaison within 30 days of approval by the RTPO’s fiscal agent and the state auditor.

The GTG Liaison will review the audit and report any audit findings identifying deficiencies and/or the need for corrective action to the GTG Unit Supervisor. The GTG Unit Supervisor will bring the audit findings to the attention of the Bureau Chief and, ultimately, the Division Director, who will determine the course of action to be undertaken in addition to the GTG Liaison proceeding to conduct the annual Quality Assurance Site Review.

Step 2 – Quality Assurance Site Review
The GTG Liaison will schedule an annual Quality Assurance Site Review with each RTPO for which the GTG Liaison is responsible. The objectives for the NMDOT biannual quality assurance review are to:

- Verify that the RTPO planning process complied with current transportation planning law.
- Determine if the RTPO planning process is a continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive process.
- Review RWP progress, including accomplishments, issues, schedule changes, etc.
- Identify noteworthy practices to share with other RTPOs.
- Enhance the RTPO planning process and improve the quality of the transportation decision-making.
- Determine the administration systems in place for the sound oversight management of federal funds in the operation of the RTPO.

The GTG Liaison will first attempt to schedule the onsite visit with sufficient advance notice to ensure that all required documentation and RTPO staff are available to facilitate the review. It is incumbent upon the RTPO Program Manager to cooperate and assist with the scheduling on behalf of their entity. However, the GTG Liaison is responsible for conducting the site visit, and will proceed whether or not the RTPO Program Manager chooses to facilitate the process. The NMDOT, FHWA-NM, and FTA Region 6 possess the authority to inspect all documentation pertaining to the expenditure of State and Federal funds at any time. Therefore, RTPO Planning Program Managers are required to keep electronic and hard copy files constantly up to date, well organized, and accessible for viewing. Appendix D contains a checklist that provides additional information and that will assist RTPO staff with preparing for the quality assurance site reviews.

The GTG Liaison will submit a report on the Quality Assurance Site Review to the GTG Unit Supervisor.
who will review and discuss the report with the GTG Liaison. The GTG Liaison will provide the final report to the RTPO Planner. If the report indicates that the proper administrative systems are in place and fully operational, no further action is required.

**Step 3 – Quality Assurance Site Review Follow-Up**

If the GTG Liaisons’ Quality Assurance Review report indicates that the proper administrative systems are in place and fully operational, no further action is required. If the report raises any concerns, the GTG Unit Supervisor will discuss the report with the Bureau Chief and, ultimately, the Division Director, to determine the appropriate course of action to take depending upon the severity of the concerns. Possible follow-up actions include:

- Requiring the RTPO Planning Program Manager to identify corrective actions (along with a timeline that includes major milestones)
- Requiring a RWP amendment or modification to address the corrective actions, if necessary
- Conducting another Quality Assurance Site Review in 6 months or less to confirm improvements
- Proceeding to Step 4

**Step 4 – Office of Inspector General Audit**

The Division Director will determine if a formal audit by the NMDOT Office of Inspector General is necessary. If so, the Division Director makes the request in writing, typically by email, to the Office of Inspector General. The Division Director then follows the Office of Inspector General directives from that point forward, and the Division becomes responsible for enforcing the findings and recommendations of the resulting audit.

**Consequences of Non-conformance by an RTPO**

The following section outlines the procedure for addressing non-conformance by an RTPO. Examples of non-conformance include, but are not limited to, the following:

- not meeting deadlines as outlined in this PPM (and specified on the Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline); *Note that some deadlines have automatic consequences if missed, such as if an RTPO does not submit a WP amendment by the stated deadline. The consequence of this is that the amendment is not included in the PWP amendment, thus is not approved. This does not count as non-conformance on the part of the RTPO.*
- continuously submitting incorrect or incomplete information; and
- refusing to follow and/or comply with the procedures outlined in this PPM.

Table 6, seen below, outlines the procedures the NMDOT will follow when addressing non-conformance on the part of an RTPO. Non-conformances are tracked cumulatively over the course of the federal fiscal year (FFY). Every instance of non-conformance results in the entity increasing the non-conformance level. For example, if an RTPO a Reimbursement Packet after the deadline and then misses a deadline for another work product, the RTPO is considered to be at Level 2. If an RTPO is at Level 1 or 2 at the close of the FFY, the RTPO will start off at Level 1 at the beginning of the following FFY. Level 3 and above, including Corrective Action Plan, carry forward into the following FFY.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Conformance Level</th>
<th>NMDOT Action</th>
<th>Notifications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 1</strong></td>
<td>GTG Liaison notifies RTPO Planning Program Manager in writing of non-conformance</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 2</strong></td>
<td>GTG Liaison notifies RTPO Planning Program Manager in writing of non-conformance</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, RTPO COG Executive Director copied on email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 3</strong></td>
<td>The RTPO develops a Corrective Action Plan and submits to the GTG Liaison for review/concurrence by the Bureau Chief and Division Director.</td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief, Division Director, RTPO COG Executive Director copied on submittal email for Corrective Action Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 4 (a “new” incident of non-conformance or failure to follow CAP) and any additional non-conformances</strong></td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor notifies RTPO Planning Program Manager in writing of failure to follow Corrective Action Plan.</td>
<td>Bureau Chief, Division Director, COG Executive Director copied on email.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Division informs RTPO Policy Committee of pending loss of funds.</td>
<td>Division Director notifies DOT Secretary of situation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level 5 (a “new” incident of non-conformance or failure to follow Corrective Action Plan) and any additional non-conformances</strong></td>
<td>GTG Unit Supervisor, Bureau Chief and Division Director set up hearing with RTPO Planning Program Manager, COG Executive Director and RTPO Policy Committee Chair to</td>
<td>NMDOT Secretary, FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 are provided notification of the hearing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
discuss suspension of payment.

4) If a determination is made to suspend payment to the RTPO, DOT Cabinet Secretary sends letter to RTPO COG Executive Director. RTPO Policy Committee Chair and FHWA and FTA copied on letter

5) If the RTPO elects to appeal the decision, a meeting will be arranged with all parties, as well as the appropriate FHWA and FTA representatives.

Please note that other types of non-conformance, such as on-going lack of communication or failure to meet deadlines outside of those specifically listed in the PPM may be grounds for NMDOT to follow the steps outlined above and/or issue a Corrective Action Plan.
Regional Transportation Planning Organizations Checklists

This list is intended to summarize the work products of the RTPOs. Note that every item may not be required/undertaken.

**Monthly**
- Record hours worked per task identified in Regional Work Program – keep log and timesheet
- Coordinate with GTG Liaison

**Quarterly**
- Meet with GTG Liaison to discuss progress on the RWP
- Prepare and submit Reimbursement Packet with cover letter, Invoice and Quarterly Report to GTG Liaison
- Prepare and submit Regional Work Program quarterly amendments to GTG Liaison, as needed, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
- Participate in quarterly RTPO meetings
- Prepare and distribute quarterly meeting minutes (RTPO meeting host only)
- Monitor and participate in, if necessary, the quarterly STIP amendment process

**Annual**
- Prepare and submit annual Regional Work Program budget to GTG Liaison, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
- Prepare and submit Annual Performance and Expenditure Report to GTG Liaison, provided to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes only
- Prepare and submit Rural Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations (RTIPR) to GTG Liaison, consistent with the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP)
- Review Work Authorization received from NMDOT
- Participate in annual meeting with NMDOT and MPOs
- Issue calls for projects (TAP, HSIP and other discretionary programs)
- Assist member governments with preparing and submitting Project Identification Forms

**Every 2 Years**
- Prepare and submit draft two-year Regional Work Program to GTG Liaison
- Prepare and submit final two-year Regional Work Program to GTG Liaison, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6
- Prepare and submit Cost Allocation Plan, for approval by FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6

**Every 4 Years**
- Review and update as necessary Memorandum of Agreement with NMDOT
- Update Regional Long Range Transportation Plan (RTP) in coordination with the development of the New Mexico Transportation Plan (NMTP)
- Prepare and submit draft RTP to GTG Liaison
- Prepare and submit final RTP to GTG Liaison, provided to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes only
- Prepare and submit Public Participation Plan to GTG Liaison, provided to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes only
- Review and update Title VI Plan, and submit to GTG Liaison, provided to FHWA-NM and FTA Region 6 for informational purposes only
Every 10 Years
- Prepare and submit functional classification changes to NMDOT

RTPO As-Needed Checklist
- Review and update bylaws, as necessary (for example, after new federal transportation legislation is passed)
- Review and update Memorandum of Agreement with NMDOT, as necessary (for example, after new federal transportation legislation is passed)
Archiving Requirements

Archiving is the process of accumulating and storing documents that record the function and work products of the NMDOT and RTPOs. New Mexico state law regarding archiving and record keeping requirements is more stringent than Federal law; therefore, the following state law applies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</th>
<th>Name/Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.18.805.24</td>
<td>Federal Planning Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by calendar year, then by date created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: reports containing various federally mandated interstate and roadway information. Reports are output from TRADAS, 1.18.805.23 NMAC and accident records citation system, 1.18.805.232 NMAC, 1.18.805.16 NMAC. Some of these reports may include highway performance monitoring system report, monthly volume summary at continuous counter sites reports, monthly and quarterly speed schedule audit reports, federal speed compliance monthly and quarterly speed summaries, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 10 years after close of calendar year in which created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.18.805.31</td>
<td>Federal and State Apportionments Reports Files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by federal fiscal year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: reports concerning obligated federal and state funds for various highway-related projects (that is, construction, planning programs, feasibility studies, consultants, etc.). Files may include reports from the federal highway administration, departmental staff reports, correspondence, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 5 years after end of federal fiscal year in which created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.114</td>
<td>Manuals of Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: manuals of procedure prepared and published by state agencies for the guidance of public officers and employees engaged in operations required for the efficient operation of state and local government, including but not limited to acquiring space, budgeting, accounting, purchasing, contracting, vouchering, printing, appointment and dismissal of employees, record maintenance, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: until superseded by new manual of procedure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.117</td>
<td>Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) annual, biennial or other periodic reports required by Article V, Section 9 N.M. Constitution or by specific statute: permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) routine, interim or progress reports: 2 years after close of fiscal year in which created</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.151</td>
<td>Feasibility Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: administrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: agency preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: studies requested/conducted prior to the acquisition, installation, implementation and/or purchase of new technologies, equipment, properties, projects, etc. [Studies may be incorporated into other files (that is, project files)].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) studies requested or conducted by agency: 5 years after completion or cancellation of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) courtesy copies received by agency: until informational value ends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15.2.307</td>
<td>Publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: public relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: chronological by publication date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: printed work regardless of format or method of reproduction published by any state agency or political subdivision for distribution and that is produced by the authority of or at the total or partial expense of a state agency or is required to be distributed under law by the agency; and is publicly distributed outside the agency by or for the agency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1) Publications filed with the state library per Section 18-2-4.1 NMSA 1978:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a) Agency's copy: until superseded or until information no longer needed for reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(b) State library's copy: permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code (NMAC)</td>
<td>Name/Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) State archive's copy: permanent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2) All other publications: transfer to archives for review and final disposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.15.4.208</strong> Revenue Contracts and Grants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: revenue records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: contracts and grants for the receipt of monies by the New Mexico state government from other sources includes, but is not limited to, block grants, negotiated grants, federal agency grants, etc. Where there is required reporting of expenditures to a federal agency, retain records for 6 years after termination of grant/contract or retain records for 5 years after submission of final expenditure report, whichever is longer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 6 years after termination of contract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1.15.4.307</strong> Contract/Agreement Files</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Program: expenditure records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Maintenance system: [RESERVED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Description: records concerning contracts let through bid by the state purchasing division, technical/professional service contracts, lease/rental contracts, agreements, etc. File may include contract/agreement, bid information, contract/agreement specifications, correspondence memoranda, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Retention: 6 years after termination of contract/agreement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Glossary

(Adapted from 23 CFR § 450.104 and 23 USC § 101)

**administrative modification.** A minor revision to a long range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that includes minor changes to project/ project phase costs, minor changes to funding sources of previously included projects, and minor changes to project/project phase initiation dates. An administrative modification is a revision that does not require public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (in nonattainment and maintenance areas).

**alternatives analysis (AA).** A study required for eligibility of funding under the Federal Transit Administration’s (FTA’s) Capital Investment Grant program (49 USC § 5309 ), which includes an assessment of a range of alternatives designed to address a transportation problem in a corridor or subarea, resulting in sufficient information to support selection by state and local officials of a locally preferred alternative for adoption into a metropolitan transportation plan, and for the Secretary to make decisions to advance the locally preferred alternative through the project development process, as set forth in 49 CFR Part 611 (Major Capital Investment Projects).

**amendment.** A revision to a long range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that involves a major change to a project included in a metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, or STIP, including the addition or deletion of a project or a major change in project cost, project/project phase initiation dates, or a major change in design concept or design scope (e.g., changing project termini or the number of through traffic lanes). Changes to projects that are included only for illustrative purposes do not require an amendment. An amendment is a revision that requires public review and comment, re-demonstration of fiscal constraint, or a conformity determination (for metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs involving “non-exempt” projects in nonattainment and maintenance areas). In the context of a long range statewide transportation plan, an amendment is a revision approved by the state in accordance with its public involvement process.

**apportionment.** Includes unexpended apportionments made under prior authorization laws.

**asset management.** A strategic and systematic process of operating, maintaining, and improving physical assets, with a focus on both engineering and economic analysis based upon quality information, to identify a structured sequence of maintenance, preservation, repair, rehabilitation, and replacement actions that will achieve and sustain a desired state of good repair over the lifecycle of the assets at minimum practicable cost.

**attainment area.** Any geographic area in which levels of a given criteria air pollutant (for example, ozone, carbon monoxide, PM10, PM2.5, and nitrogen dioxide) meet the health-based National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for that pollutant. An area may be an attainment area for one pollutant and a nonattainment area for others. A “maintenance area” is not considered an attainment area for transportation planning purposes. Also see maintenance area; nonattainment area.

**available funds.** Funds derived from an existing source dedicated to or historically used for transportation purposes. For federal funds, authorized and/or appropriated funds and the extrapolation of formula and discretionary funds at historic rates of increase are considered “available.” A similar approach may be used for state and local funds that are dedicated to or historically used for transportation purposes. Also see committed funds.

**carpool project.** Refers to any project to encourage the use of carpools and vanpools, including provision of carpooling opportunities to the elderly and individuals with disabilities; systems for locating potential riders and informing them of carpool opportunities; acquiring vehicles for carpool use; designating existing highway lanes as preferential carpool highway lanes; providing related traffic control devices; designating existing facilities for use for preferential parking for carpools; and real-time ridesharing projects (such as projects where drivers, using an electronic transfer of funds, recover costs directly associated with the trip provided through the use of location
technology to quantify those direct costs, subject to the condition that the cost recovered does not exceed the cost of the trip provided).

**committed funds.** Funds dedicated or obligated for transportation purposes. For state funds that are not dedicated to transportation purposes, only those funds over which the Governor has control may be considered “committed.” Approval of a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) by the Governor is considered a commitment of those funds over which the Governor has control. For local or private sources of funds not dedicated to or historically used for transportation purposes (including donations of property), a commitment in writing (for example, letter of intent) by the responsible official or body having control of the funds may be considered a commitment. For projects involving 49 USC § 5309 funding, execution of a Full Funding Grant Agreement (or equivalent) or a Project Construction Grant Agreement with the U.S. Department of Transportation shall be considered a multi-year commitment of federal funds. Also see available funds.

**conformity.** A Clean Air Act [42 USC § 7506(c)] requirement that ensures that federal funding and approval are given to transportation plans, programs and projects that are consistent with the air quality goals established by a State Implementation Plan (SIP). Conformity, to the purpose of the SIP, means that transportation activities will not cause new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). The transportation conformity rule (40 CFR 93) sets forth policy, criteria, and procedures for demonstrating and assuring conformity of transportation activities.

**conformity lapse.** Pursuant to Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act [42 USC § 7506(c)], as amended, the conformity determination for a metropolitan transportation plan or Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) has expired and, thus, there is no currently conforming metropolitan transportation plan or TIP.

**congestion management process.** A systematic approach required in transportation management areas (TMAs) that provides for effective management and operation, based on a cooperatively developed and implemented metropolitan-wide strategy, of new and existing transportation facilities eligible for funding under Title 23 USC, and Title 49 USC, through the use of operational management strategies.

**consideration.** One or more parties takes into account the opinions, action, and relevant information from other parties in making a decision or determining a course of action.

**construction.** The supervising, inspecting, actual building, and incurrence of all costs incidental to the construction or reconstruction of a highway or any project eligible for assistance under this title, including bond costs and other costs relating to the issuance in accordance with Section 122 (23 USC § 101) of bonds or other debt financing instruments and costs incurred by the state in performing federal-aid project-related audits that directly benefit the Federal-Aid Highway Program. Such term includes (A) preliminary engineering, engineering, and design-related services directly relating to the construction of a highway project, including engineering, design, project development and management, construction project management and inspection, surveying, mapping (including the establishment of temporary and permanent geodetic control in accordance with specifications of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration [NOAA]), and architectural-related services; (B) reconstruction, resurfacing, restoration, rehabilitation, and preservation; (C) acquisition of rights-of-way; (D) relocation assistance, acquisition of replacement housing sites, and acquisition and rehabilitation, relocation, and construction of replacement housing; (E) elimination of hazards of railway-highway grade crossings; (F) elimination of roadside hazards; (G) improvements that directly facilitate and control traffic flow, such as grade separation of intersections, widening of lanes, channelization of traffic, traffic control systems, and passenger loading and unloading areas; and (H) capital improvements that directly facilitate an effective vehicle weight enforcement program, such as scales (fixed and portable), scale pits, scale installation, and scale houses.

**consultation.** One or more parties confer with other identified parties in accordance with an established process and, prior to taking action(s), consider the views of the other parties and periodically inform them about action(s) taken. This definition does not apply to the “consultation” performed by the states and the municipal planning organizations (MPOs) in comparing the long range statewide transportation plan and the metropolitan transportation plan, respectively, to state and tribal conservation plans or maps or inventories of natural or historic resources [see 23 CFR § 450.214(i) and § 450.322(g)(1) and (g)(2).
cooperation. The parties involved in carrying out the transportation planning and programming processes work together to achieve a common goal or objective.

coordinated public transit-human services transportation plan. A locally developed, coordinated transportation plan that identifies the transportation needs of individuals with disabilities, older adults, and people with low incomes; provides strategies for meeting those local needs; and prioritizes transportation services for funding and implementation.

coordination. The cooperative development of plans, programs, and schedules among agencies and entities with legal standing and adjustment of such plans, programs, and schedules to achieve general consistency, as appropriate.

county. This term includes corresponding units of government under any other name in states that do not have county organizations and, in those states in which the county government does not have jurisdiction over highways, any local government unit vested with jurisdiction over local highways.

design concept. The type of facility identified for a transportation improvement project (for example, freeway, expressway, arterial highway, grade-separated highway, toll road, reserved right-of-way rail transit, mixed-traffic rail transit, or busway).

design scope. The aspects that will affect the proposed facility's impact on the region, usually as they relate to vehicle or person carrying capacity and control (for example, number of lanes or tracks to be constructed or added, length of project, signalization, safety features, access control including approximate number and location of interchanges, or preferential treatment for high-occupancy vehicles).

designated recipient. An entity designated, in accordance with the planning process under 49 USC §§ 5303, 5304, and 5306, by the chief executive officer of a state, responsible local officials, and publicly-owned operators of public transportation, to receive and apportion amounts under 49 USC § 5336 that are attributable to transportation management areas (TMAs) identified under 49 USC § 5303, or a state regional authority if the authority is responsible under the laws of a state for a capital project and for financing and directly providing public transportation.

environmental mitigation activities. Strategies, policies, programs, actions, and activities that, over time, will serve to avoid, minimize, or compensate for (by replacing or providing substitute resources) the impacts to or disruption of elements of the human and natural environment associated with the implementation of a long range statewide transportation plan or metropolitan transportation plan. The human and natural environment includes neighborhoods and communities, homes and businesses, cultural resources, parks and recreation areas, wetlands and water sources, forested and other natural areas, agricultural areas, endangered and threatened species, and the ambient air. The environmental mitigation strategies and activities are intended to be regional in scope, and may not necessarily address potential project-level impacts.

Federal-aid highway. A public highway eligible for assistance under 23 USC § 101 other than a highway functionally classified as a local road or rural minor collector.

federal land management agency. Units of the federal government currently responsible for the administration of public lands (for example, U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Land Management, and the National Park Service).

federal lands access transportation facility. A public highway, road, bridge, trail, or transit system that is located on, is adjacent to, or provides access to federal lands for which title or maintenance responsibility is vested in a state, county, town, township, tribal, municipal, or local government.

federal lands transportation facility. A public highway, road, bridge, trail, or transit system that is located on, is adjacent to, or provides access to federal lands for which title and maintenance responsibility is vested in the federal government, and that appears on the national federal lands transportation facility inventory described in 23 USC § 203(c).
federally funded nonemergency transportation services. Transportation services provided to the general public, including those with special transport needs, by public transit, private nonprofit service providers, and private third-party contractors to public agencies.

financial plan. Documentation required to be included with a metropolitan transportation plan and Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) (and optional for the long range statewide transportation plan and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program [STIP]) that demonstrates the consistency between reasonably available and projected sources of federal, state, local, and private revenues and the costs of implementing proposed transportation system improvements.

financially constrained or fiscal constraint. The metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), and Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) includes sufficient financial information for demonstrating that projects in the metropolitan transportation plan, TIP, and STIP can be implemented using committed, available, or reasonably available revenue sources, with reasonable assurance that the federally supported transportation system is being adequately operated and maintained. For the TIP and the STIP, financial constraint/fiscal constraint applies to each program year. Additionally, projects in air quality nonattainment and maintenance areas can be included in the first 2 years of the TIP and STIP only if funds are “available” or “committed.” Also see available funds; committed funds.

forest development roads and trails. Forest roads and trails under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Forest Service.

forest road or trail. A road or trail wholly or partly within, or adjacent to, and serving the National Forest System that is necessary for the protection, administration, and utilization of the National Forest System and the use and development of its resources.

freight shipper. Any business that routinely transports its products from one location to another by providers of freight transportation services or by its own vehicle fleet.

Full Funding Grant Agreement. An instrument that defines the scope of a project, the federal financial contribution, and other terms and conditions for funding New Starts projects as required by 49 USC § 5309(d)(1).

Governor. The Governor of any of the 50 states or the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico or the Mayor of the District of Columbia.

highway. This term includes (A) a road, street, and parkway; (B) a right-of-way, bridge, railroad-highway crossing, tunnel, drainage structure including public roads on dams, sign, guardrail, and protective structure, in connection with a highway; and (C) a portion of any interstate or international bridge or tunnel and the approaches thereto, the cost of which is assumed by a state transportation department, including such facilities as may be required by the U.S. Customs and Immigration Services in connection with the operation of an international bridge or tunnel.

illustrative project. An additional transportation project that may (but is not required to) be included in a financial plan for a metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) if reasonable additional resources were to become available.

Indian Tribal government. A duly formed governing body for an Indian or Alaska Native tribe, band, nation, pueblo, village, or community that the Secretary of the Interior acknowledges to exist as an Indian Tribe pursuant to the Federally Recognized Indian Tribe List Act of 1994, Public Law 103-454.

intelligent transportation system (ITS). Electronics, photonics, communications, or information processing used singly or in combination to improve the efficiency or safety of a surface transportation system.

interim metropolitan transportation plan. A transportation plan composed of projects eligible to proceed under a conformity lapse and otherwise meeting all other applicable provisions of this part, including approval by the municipal planning organization (MPO).
Interim Transportation Improvement Program. A Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) composed of projects eligible to proceed under a conformity lapse and otherwise meeting all other applicable provisions of this part, including approval by the municipal planning organization (MPO) and the Governor.

Interstate System. The Dwight D. Eisenhower National System of Interstate and Defense Highways as described in 23 USC § 103(c).

long range statewide transportation plan. The official, statewide, multimodal, transportation plan covering a period of no less than 20 years developed through the statewide transportation planning process.

maintenance. The preservation of the entire highway, including surface, shoulders, roadsides, structures, and such traffic-control devices as are necessary for safe and efficient use of the highway.

maintenance area. Any geographic region of the United States that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) previously designated as a nonattainment area for one or more pollutants pursuant to the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, and subsequently redesignated as an attainment area subject to the requirement to develop a maintenance plan under Section 175A of the Clean Air Act, as amended. Later redesignated by the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency as an air quality attainment area, under Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act [42 USC § 7407(d)]. Also see attainment area; nonattainment area.

management system. A systematic process, designed to assist decision makers in selecting cost effective strategies/actions to improve the efficiency or safety of, and protect the investment in the nation's infrastructure. A management system can include identification of performance measures; data collection and analysis; determination of needs; evaluation and selection of appropriate strategies/actions to address the needs; and evaluation of the effectiveness of the implemented strategies/actions.

metropolitan planning area (MPA). The geographic area determined by agreement between the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the area and the Governor, in which the metropolitan transportation planning process is carried out.

metropolitan planning organization (MPO). The policy board of an organization created and designated to carry out the metropolitan transportation planning process.

metropolitan transportation plan. The official multimodal transportation plan addressing no less than a 20-year planning horizon that is developed, adopted, and updated by the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) through the metropolitan transportation planning process.

National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). Those standards established pursuant to Section 109 of the Clean Air Act.

National Highway System. The Federal-Aid highway system as described in 23 USC § 103(b).

nonattainment area. Any geographic region of the United States that has been designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a nonattainment area under Section 107 of the Clean Air Act for any pollutants for which National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) exists. Also see attainment area; maintenance area.

nonmetropolitan area. A geographic area outside a designated metropolitan planning area.

nonmetropolitan local officials. Elected and appointed officials of general purpose local government in a nonmetropolitan area with responsibility for transportation.

obligated projects. Strategies and projects funded under Title 23 USC and 49 USC Chapter 53 for which the supporting federal funds were authorized and committed by the state or designated recipient in the preceding program year, and authorized by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) or awarded as a grant by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA).

operating costs for traffic monitoring, management, and control. This term includes labor costs, administrative costs, costs of utilities and rent, and other costs associated with the continuous operation of traffic control, such as integrated traffic control systems, incident management programs, and traffic control centers.
operational and management strategies. Actions and strategies aimed at improving the performance of existing and planned transportation facilities to relieve congestion and maximizing the safety and mobility of people and goods.

operational improvement. This term (A) means (i) a capital improvement for installation of traffic surveillance and control equipment, computerized signal systems, motorist information systems, integrated traffic control systems, incident management programs, and transportation demand management facilities, strategies, and programs; and (ii) such other capital improvements to public roads as the Secretary may designate, by regulation; and (B) does not include resurfacing, restoring, or rehabilitating improvements, construction of additional lanes, interchanges, and grade separations, and construction of a new facility on a new location.

project. Any transportation undertaking eligible for assistance under Title 23 USC.

project agreement. The formal instrument to be executed by the Secretary and the recipient as required by 23 USC § 106.

Project Construction Grant Agreement. An instrument that defines the scope of a project, the federal financial contribution, and other terms and conditions for funding Small Starts projects as required by 49 USC § 5309(e)(7).

project selection. The procedures followed by metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs), states, and public transportation operators to advance projects from the first four years of an approved Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and/or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to implementation, in accordance with agreed upon procedures.

provider of freight transportation services. Any entity that transports or otherwise facilitates the movement of goods from one location to another for others or for itself.

public authority. A federal, state, county, town, or township, Indian tribe, municipal, or other local government or instrumentality with authority to finance, build, operate, or maintain toll or toll-free facilities.

public road. Any road or street under the jurisdiction of and maintained by a public authority and open to public travel.

public transportation operator. The public entity which participates in the continuing, cooperative, and comprehensive transportation planning process in accordance with 23 USC §§ 134 and 135, and 49 USC §§ 5303 and 5304, and is the designated recipient of federal funds under 49 USC Chapter 53 for transportation by a conveyance that provides regular and continuing general or special transportation to the public, but does not include school bus, charter, or intercity bus transportation or intercity passenger rail transportation provided by Amtrak.

regional ITS architecture. A regional framework for ensuring institutional agreement and technical integration for the implementation of intelligent transportation system (ITS) projects or groups of projects. Also see intelligent transportation system.

regionally significant project. A transportation project [other than projects that may be grouped in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and/or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) or exempt projects as defined in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s (EPA’s) transportation conformity regulation (40 CFR Part 93)] that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside the region; major activity centers in the region; major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, or employment centers; or transportation terminals) and would normally be included in the modeling of the metropolitan area’s transportation network. At a minimum, this includes all principal arterial highways and all fixed-guideway transit facilities that offer a significant alternative to regional highway travel.

revision. A change to a long range statewide or metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) that occurs between scheduled periodic updates. A major revision is an “amendment,” while a minor revision is an “administrative modification.”

rural areas. All areas of a state not included in urban areas.
safety improvement project. A strategy, activity, or project on a public road that is consistent with the state strategic highway safety plan and corrects or improves a roadway feature that constitutes a hazard to road users or addresses a highway safety problem.

Secretary. Secretary of Transportation.

state. Any one of the 50 states, the District of Columbia, or Puerto Rico.

state funds. Includes funds raised under the authority of the state or any political or other subdivision thereof, and made available for expenditure under the direct control of the state transportation department.

State Implementation Plan (SIP). As defined in Section 302(q) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), the portion (or portions) of the implementation plan, or most recent revision thereof, which has been approved under Section 110 of the CAA, or promulgated under Section 110(c) of the CAA, or promulgated or approved pursuant to regulations promulgated under Section 301(d) of the CAA and which implements the relevant requirements of the CAA.

state strategic highway safety plan. A comprehensive plan, based on safety data, developed by a state transportation department that (A) is developed after consultation with (i) a highway safety representative of the Governor of the state; (ii) regional transportation planning organizations and metropolitan planning organizations, if any; (iii) representatives of major modes of transportation; (iv) state and local traffic enforcement officials; (v) a highway-rail grade crossing safety representative of the Governor of the state; (vi) representatives conducting a motor carrier safety program under 49 USC § 31102, 31106, or 31309; (vii) motor vehicle administration agencies; (viii) county transportation officials; (ix) state representatives of nonmotorized users; and (x) other major federal, state, tribal, and local safety stakeholders; (B) analyzes and makes effective use of state, regional, local, or tribal safety data; (C) addresses engineering, management, operation, education, enforcement, and emergency services elements (including integrated, interoperable emergency communications) of highway safety as key factors in evaluating highway projects; (D) considers safety needs of, and high-fatality segments of, all public roads, including non-state-owned public roads and roads on tribal land; (E) considers the results of state, regional, or local transportation and highway safety planning processes; (F) describes a program of strategies to reduce or eliminate safety hazards; (G) is approved by the Governor of the state or a responsible state agency; (H) is consistent with 23 USC § 135(g); and (I) is updated and submitted to the Secretary for approval as required under 23 USC § 148(d)(2).

state transportation department. That department, commission, board, or official of any state charged by its laws with the responsibility for highway construction. May be termed “department of transportation.”

Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). A statewide, prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering a period of 4 years that is consistent with the long range statewide transportation plan, metropolitan transportation plans, and Transportation Improvement Programs (TIPs), and required for projects to be eligible for funding under Title 23 USC and Title 49 USC Chapter 53.

strategic highway safety plan. A plan developed by the state department of transportation in accordance with the requirements of 23 USC § 148(a)(6).

transportation alternatives. Any of the following activities when carried out as part of any program or project authorized or funded under this title, or as an independent program or project related to surface transportation: (A) Construction, planning, and design of on-road and off-road trail facilities for pedestrians, bicyclists, and other nonmotorized forms of transportation, including sidewalks, bicycle infrastructure, pedestrian and bicycle signals, traffic calming techniques, lighting and other safety-related infrastructure, and transportation projects to achieve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC § 12101 et seq.). (B) Construction, planning, and design of infrastructure-related projects and systems that will provide safe routes for non-drivers, including children, older adults, and individuals with disabilities to access daily needs. (C) Conversion and use of abandoned railroad corridors for trails for pedestrians, bicyclists, or other nonmotorized transportation users. (D) Construction of turnouts, overlooks, and viewing areas. (E) Community improvement activities, including (i) inventory, control, or removal of outdoor advertising; (ii) historic preservation and rehabilitation of historic transportation facilities; (iii) vegetation management practices in transportation rights-of-way to improve...
roadway safety, prevent against invasive species, and provide erosion control; and (iv) archaeological activities relating to impacts from implementation of a transportation project eligible under this title. (F) Any environmental mitigation activity, including pollution prevention and pollution abatement activities and mitigation to (i) address stormwater management, control, and water pollution prevention or abatement related to highway construction or due to highway runoff, including activities described in 23 USC § 133(b)(11), § 328(a), and § 329; or (ii) reduce vehicle-caused wildlife mortality or to restore and maintain connectivity among terrestrial or aquatic habitats.

**transportation control measure (TCM).** Any measure that is specifically identified and committed to in the applicable State Implementation Plan (SIP) that is either one of the types listed in Section 108 of the Clean Air Act or any other measure for the purpose of reducing emissions or concentrations of air pollutants from transportation sources by reducing vehicle use or changing traffic flow or congestion conditions. Notwithstanding the above, vehicle technology-based, fuel-based, and maintenance-based measures that control the emissions from vehicles under fixed traffic conditions are not TCMs.

**Transportation Improvement Program (TIP).** A prioritized listing/program of transportation projects covering a period of 4 years that is developed and formally adopted by a metropolitan planning organization (MPO) as part of the metropolitan transportation planning process, consistent with the metropolitan transportation plan, and required for projects to be eligible for funding under Title 23 USC and Title 49 USC Chapter 53.

**transportation management area (TMA).** An urbanized area with a population over 200,000, as defined by the U.S. Census and designated by the Secretary of Transportation, or any additional area where TMA designation is requested by the Governor and the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) and designated by the Secretary of Transportation.

**transportation systems management and operations.** Integrated strategies to optimize the performance of existing infrastructure through the implementation of multimodal and intermodal, cross-jurisdictional systems, services, and projects designed to preserve capacity and improve security, safety, and reliability of the transportation system. The term includes (i) actions such as traffic detection and surveillance, corridor management, freeway management, arterial management, active transportation and demand management, work zone management, emergency management, traveler information services, congestion pricing, parking management, automated enforcement, traffic control, commercial vehicle operations, freight management, and coordination of highway, rail, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian operations; and (ii) coordination of the implementation of regional transportation system management and operations investments (such as traffic incident management, traveler information services, emergency management, roadway weather management, intelligent transportation systems, communication networks, and information sharing systems) requiring agreements, integration, and interoperability to achieve targeted system performance, reliability, safety, and customer service levels.

**Tribal transportation facility.** A public highway, road, bridge, trail, or transit system that is located on or provides access to tribal land and appears on the national tribal transportation facility inventory described in 23 USC § 202(b)(1).

**truck stop electrification system.** A system that delivers heat, air conditioning, electricity, or communications to a heavy-duty vehicle.

**unified planning work program (UPWP).** A statement of work identifying the planning priorities and activities to be carried out within a metropolitan planning area. At a minimum, a UPWP includes a description of the planning work and resulting products, who will perform the work, time frames for completing the work, the cost of the work, and the source(s) of funds.

**update.** Making current a long range statewide transportation plan, metropolitan transportation plan, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), or Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) through a comprehensive review. Updates require public review and comment; a 20-year horizon year for metropolitan transportation plans and long range statewide transportation plans; a 6-year program period for TIPs and STIPs; demonstration of fiscal constraint (except for long range statewide transportation plans); and a conformity determination (for metropolitan transportation plans and TIPs in nonattainment and maintenance areas).
urban area. An urbanized area or, in the case of an urbanized area encompassing more than one state, that part of the urbanized area in each such state, or urban place as designated by the U.S. Census having a population of 5,000 or more and not within any urbanized area, within boundaries to be fixed by responsible state and local officials in cooperation with each other, subject to approval by the Secretary. Such boundaries shall encompass, at a minimum, the entire urban place designated by the U.S. Census, except in the case of cities in Maine and New Hampshire.

urbanized area. An area with a population of 50,000 or more designated by the U.S. Census, within boundaries to be fixed by responsible state and local officials in cooperation with each other, subject to approval by the Secretary. Such boundaries shall encompass, at a minimum, the entire urbanized area within a state as designated by the U.S. Census.

users of public transportation. Any person, or groups representing such persons, who use transportation open to the general public, other than taxis and other privately funded and operated vehicles.

visualization techniques. Methods used by states and metropolitan planning organizations (MPOs) in the development of transportation plans and programs with the public, elected and appointed officials, and other stakeholders in a clear and easily accessible format such as maps, pictures, and/or displays, to promote improved understanding of existing or proposed transportation plans and programs.
### Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3-C Process</td>
<td>Continuing, Cooperative and Comprehensive Planning Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3R</td>
<td>Resurfacing, Restoration, and Rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AADT</td>
<td>Annual Average Daily Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR</td>
<td>Association of American Railroads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AASHTO</td>
<td>American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADA</td>
<td>Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADE</td>
<td>Assistant District Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADT</td>
<td>Average Daily Traffic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATA</td>
<td>American Trucking Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATMS</td>
<td>Advanced Traffic Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATPPL</td>
<td>Alternative Transportation in Parks and Public Lands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR</td>
<td>automatic traffic recorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVC</td>
<td>Automatic Vehicle Classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANs</td>
<td>Bond Anticipation Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEA</td>
<td>Bureau of Economic Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>Bureau of Indian Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>Bureau of Land Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLS</td>
<td>Bureau of Labor Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMPs</td>
<td>Best Management Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOO</td>
<td>Build-Own-Operate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOR</td>
<td>Bureau of Reclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOT</td>
<td>Build-Operate-Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>Bicycle-Pedestrian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPE</td>
<td>Bicycle-Equestrian-Pedestrian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPI</td>
<td>Bid Price Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPR</td>
<td>U.S. Bureau of Public Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRT</td>
<td>Bus Rapid Transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTS</td>
<td>Bureau of Transportation Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau</td>
<td>State Planning Bureau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Cooperative Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Clean Air Act</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAD</td>
<td>Computer-Aided Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP</td>
<td>Climate Action Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CB  U.S. Census
CBNF  closed but not final
CDBG  Community Development Block Grant
CE  Categorical Exclusion
CEQ  Council on Environmental Quality
CERCLA  Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
CFR  Code of Federal Regulations
CMAQ  Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality
CMP  Congestion Management Plan
COG  Council of Governments
CTSP  Comprehensive Transportation Safety Plan
DAR  Defense Access Road Program
D-B  design-build
D-B-B  design-bid-build
DEIS  Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Division  Asset Management and Planning Division (of the New Mexico Department of Transportation)
DMS  Dynamic Message Sign
DO  District Office
DoD  U.S. Department of Defense
DOI  U.S. Department of the Interior
DOT  Department of Transportation
DRB  Dispute Review Board
DTA  Dynamic Traffic Assignment
DUI  driving under the influence
DVMT  daily vehicle miles traveled
EA  Environmental Assessment
EDA  Economic Development Administration
EDD  Economic Development District
EIA  Energy Information Administration
EIS  Environmental Impact Statement
EJ  Environmental Justice
EMS  Emergency Management System
EMS  Emergency Medical Services
EPA  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPMPO  El Paso Metropolitan Planning Organization
ERFO  Emergency Relief for Federally Owned Roads
FAA  Federal Aviation Administration
FAF  Freight Analysis Framework
FAHP  Federal-Aid Highway Program
FARS  Fatality Analysis Reporting System
FCC  Federal Communications Commission
FEIS  Final Environmental Impact Statement
FEMA  Federal Emergency Management Agency
FFY  federal fiscal year
FH  Forest Highways Program
FHWA  Federal Highway Administration
FHWA-NM  New Mexico Division of the Federal Highway Administration
FLAP  Federal Lands Access Program
FLH  Federal Lands Highway
FLHP  Federal Lands Highway Program
FLREA  Federal Lands Recreation Enhancement Act
FLTP  Federal Lands Transportation Program
FMIS  Fiscal Management Information System
FMPO  Farmington Metropolitan Planning Organization
FMS  Freeway Management System
FOBL  Federal Obligation Limitation
FONSI  Finding of No Significant Impacts
FPM  Freight Performance Manifest
FR  Federal Register
FRA  Federal Railroad Administration
FRP  fiber-reinforced polymer
FTA  Federal Transit Administration
FWS  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
FY  fiscal year
GHG  greenhouse gas
GHSP  Governor's Highway Safety Program
GIS  geographic information systems
GO  General Office (Santa Fe)
GTG  Government-to-Government
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HERS-ST</td>
<td>Highway Economic Requirements System – Statewide Version</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HES</td>
<td>Hazard Elimination Safety Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOT</td>
<td>high-occupancy toll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOV</td>
<td>high-occupancy vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPMS</td>
<td>Highway Performance Monitoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSIP</td>
<td>Highway Safety Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTF</td>
<td>Highway Trust Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHS</td>
<td>Interstate Highway System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISTEA</td>
<td>Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT/ITS</td>
<td>Intelligent Transportation/Intelligent Transportation Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPA</td>
<td>Joint Powers Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCMPO</td>
<td>Las Cruces Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEP</td>
<td>Limited English Proficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGAU</td>
<td>Local Government Agreement Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGRF</td>
<td>Local Government Road Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMHS</td>
<td>Land Management Highway System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>Long Range Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRTP</td>
<td>Long Range Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTAP</td>
<td>Local Technical Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP-21</td>
<td>Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOA</td>
<td>Memorandum of Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO</td>
<td>Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRCOG</td>
<td>Mid-Region Council of Governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRMPO</td>
<td>Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRRTPO</td>
<td>Mid Region Rural Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA</td>
<td>Mass Transit Account</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTP</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVMPO</td>
<td>Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAA</td>
<td>nonattainment area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAAQS</td>
<td>National Ambient Air Quality Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPA</td>
<td>National Environmental Policy Act of 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NERTPO</td>
<td>North East Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHPP</td>
<td>National Highway Performance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHS</td>
<td>National Highway System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHTSA</td>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMAC</td>
<td>New Mexico Administrative Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMDOT</td>
<td>New Mexico Department of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMED</td>
<td>New Mexico Environment Department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMSA</td>
<td>New Mexico Statutes Annotated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMTP</td>
<td>New Mexico Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPRTPO</td>
<td>Northern Pueblos Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSB</td>
<td>National Scenic Byways (Program)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTP</td>
<td>Notice to Proceed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NWRTPO</td>
<td>Northwest Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OST</td>
<td>Office of the Secretary of Transportation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P&amp;E</td>
<td>Performance and Expenditure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBCAT</td>
<td>Pedestrian Bicycle Crash Analysis Tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDA</td>
<td>Project Development Agreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PFF</td>
<td>Project Feasibility Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIF</td>
<td>Project Identification Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIP</td>
<td>Public Involvement Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>Planning Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLDR</td>
<td>Public Lands Development Roads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLH</td>
<td>Public Lands Highways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMP</td>
<td>Project Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMT</td>
<td>Project Management Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POV</td>
<td>Privately Owned Vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPM</td>
<td>NMDOT Planning Procedures Manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPM</td>
<td>Parts Per Million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPP</td>
<td>Public Participation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRP</td>
<td>Park Roads and Parkways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS&amp;E</td>
<td>Plan Specification &amp; Estimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWP</td>
<td>Planning Work Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D</td>
<td>Research and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDC</td>
<td>Regional Design Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMI</td>
<td>Regional Economic Models Incorporated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFP</td>
<td>request for proposal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFQ</td>
<td>request for quotation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acronym</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIB</td>
<td>Rail Infrastructure Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROW</td>
<td>right-of-way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTA</td>
<td>Regional Transit Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTD</td>
<td>Regional Transportation District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTIPR</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Improvement Program Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTO</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Recreational Trails Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPO</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTPO</td>
<td>Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWP</td>
<td>Regional Work Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S&amp;O</td>
<td>Stewardship and Oversight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFETEA-LU</td>
<td>Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCRTPO</td>
<td>South Central Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEIS</td>
<td>Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SERTPO</td>
<td>South East Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFMPO</td>
<td>Santa Fe Metropolitan Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH</td>
<td>State Highway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHPO</td>
<td>State Historic Preservation Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHRP2</td>
<td>Future Strategic Highway Safety Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHSP</td>
<td>Strategic Highway Safety Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIB</td>
<td>State Infrastructure Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIP</td>
<td>State Implementation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOV</td>
<td>single-occupancy vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPR</td>
<td>State Planning and Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRTS</td>
<td>Safe Routes to School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STC</td>
<td>State Transportation Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STDM</td>
<td>Statewide Travel Demand Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIP</td>
<td>Statewide Transportation Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP</td>
<td>Surface Transportation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STP-L</td>
<td>Surface Transportation Program – Large Urban</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRAHNET</td>
<td>Strategic Highway Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWRTPO</td>
<td>South West Regional Transportation Planning Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAP</td>
<td>Transportation Alternatives Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAZ</td>
<td>Transportation Analysis Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>Traffic Demand Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDM</td>
<td>Travel Demand Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA-21</td>
<td>Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEAM</td>
<td>Transportation Electronic Award Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TED</td>
<td>Transportation and Economic Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIDP</td>
<td>Technology and Innovation Deployment Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIFIA</td>
<td>Transportation Infrastructure Finance and Innovation Act of 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIGER</td>
<td>Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIMS</td>
<td>Transportation Information Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP</td>
<td>Transportation Improvement Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title VI</td>
<td>Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMA</td>
<td>Transportation Management Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMP</td>
<td>Traffic Management Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOD</td>
<td>Transit-Oriented Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP&amp;E</td>
<td>Transportation Planning and Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRADAS</td>
<td>TRaffic DAta System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRB</td>
<td>Transportation Research Board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSE</td>
<td>Technical Support Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTAP</td>
<td>Tribal Technical Assistance Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTP</td>
<td>Tribal Transportation Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA</td>
<td>urbanized area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Urban Cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPWP</td>
<td>Unified Planning Work Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC</td>
<td>United States Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UZA</td>
<td>Urbanized Zone-Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VE</td>
<td>Value Engineering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMT</td>
<td>vehicle miles traveled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPD</td>
<td>Vehicles per Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPPP</td>
<td>Value Pricing Pilot Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Appendix A contains:

- Division Organizational Chart [placeholder], and
- Map of Statewide Planner Areas for Metropolitan and Regional Planning Organizations
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Appendix B contains timelines for State Planning Bureau, MPOs, RTPOs, FHWA NM and FTA Region 6:

- Figure 1: Timeline – Activities Performed on an Annual Basis
- Figure 2: Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline (MPO/RTPO, NMDOT, FHWA NM, FTA Region 6)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enter FMIS info</td>
<td>Issue Work Authorization letters</td>
<td>PWP quarterly amendments</td>
<td>Submit PWP first quarter amendment to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6</td>
<td>Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>PWP amendments</td>
<td>GTG Liaisons conduct Quality Assurance Reviews of MPOs/RTPOs</td>
<td>Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>Begin compiling individual bureau work programs</td>
<td>Submit PWP third quarter amendment to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6</td>
<td>Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>PWP quarterly amendments in odd-numbered FFYs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submit PWP fourth quarter amendment to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6; post on website</td>
<td>Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>Submit APER packet to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6</td>
<td>Submit annual SOA report to FHWA-NM for approval</td>
<td>PSD meets with FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 to discuss PWP issues</td>
<td>GTG staff submit CMAQ report on behalf of EPMPO</td>
<td>Draft Planning Work Program list of potential tasks and estimated costs</td>
<td>Review draft UPWPs in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>GTG Liaisons provide comments on draft UPWPs/ RWPs in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>Cost Allocation and Indirect Cost Plans</td>
<td>Submit Draft Planning Work Program to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>Set up FFY Control Numbers for WPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initiate PWP projects and programs</td>
<td>Begin close out of previous federal fiscal year</td>
<td>Submit MPO Freight Program Assessments to FHWA</td>
<td>Reimbursement (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>TIP quarterly amendments</td>
<td>Quarterly meetings</td>
<td>Quarterly meetings</td>
<td>TIP quarterly amendments</td>
<td>TIP quarterly amendments</td>
<td>TIP quarterly amendments</td>
<td>Obligate funds by submitting FMIS requests for PAPs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reimbursement (QR and Invoice) Packet</td>
<td>APERs</td>
<td>MPOs/Area Listing of Obligated Projects</td>
<td>MPO Board / RTPO policies Committee approvals of TIP amendments</td>
<td>UPWPs/RWPs second quarter amendments</td>
<td>Reimbursement (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>MPO Draft UPWPs in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>MPO Board / RTPO policies Committee approvals of TIP amendments</td>
<td>Transportation Commission Meeting: Final Public Comment on STIP/TIP</td>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>MPO Board / RTPO policies Committee approvals of TIP amendments</td>
<td>Submit Final Planning Work Program to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in even-numbered FFYs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post UPWPs/RWPs on website</td>
<td>MPO Freight Program Assessments</td>
<td>Transportation Commission Meeting: Final Public Comment on STIP/TIP</td>
<td>District call for TIP projects</td>
<td>Transportation Commission Meeting: Final Public Comment on STIP/TIP</td>
<td>MPO Draft UPWPs in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>MPO Board / RTPO policies Committee approvals of TIP amendments</td>
<td>Transportation Commission Meeting: Final Public Comment on STIP/TIP</td>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 comments on approval of PWP third quarter amendment</td>
<td>MPO Board / RTPO policies Committee approvals of TIP amendments</td>
<td>Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)</td>
<td>Submit Final Planning Work Program to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in even-numbered FFYs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 comments on approval of PWP fourth quarter amendment</td>
<td>TIP quarterly amendments</td>
<td>Quarterly meetings</td>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 comments on approval of PWP first quarter amendment</td>
<td>Submit TIP projects</td>
<td>Submit TIP projects</td>
<td>Submit proposed Year 2 UPWPs/RWPs budgets in odd-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>Quarterly meetings</td>
<td>UPWPs/RWPs quarterly amendments in odd-numbered FFYs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA-NM approves obligation of PWP/RWPs in FMIS</td>
<td>MPO Board / RTPO policies Committee approvals of TIP amendments</td>
<td>UPWPs/RWPs first quarter amendments</td>
<td>RTPO/RTPO meetings</td>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 comments on approval of PWP second quarter amendment</td>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 comments on approval of PWP second quarter amendment</td>
<td>Review UPWPs and submit to Policy Boards for approval/adoption in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>Transportation Commission Meeting: Final Public Comment on STIP/TIP</td>
<td>FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 comments on Draft WPs submitted</td>
<td>Notify GTG of funding balances for year end closeout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPMO submits CMAQ report</td>
<td>RTPO Draft WPs in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>Quarterly meetings</td>
<td>Review MWP and submit to Policy Committees for approval/adoption in even-numbered FFYs</td>
<td>Notify MWP of funding balances for year end closeout</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 1**
Timeline – Activities Performed on an Annual Basis

First Quarter
- Enter FMIS info
- Issue Work Authorization letters
- PWP quarterly amendments
- Submit PWP first quarter amendment to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- PWP amendments
- GTG Liaisons conduct Quality Assurance Reviews of MPOs/RTPOs
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- Begin compiling individual bureau work programs
- Submit PWP third quarter amendment to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- PWP quarterly amendments in odd-numbered FFYs

Second Quarter
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- Submit APER packet to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6
- Submit annual SOA report to FHWA-NM for approval
- PSD meets with FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 to discuss PWP issues
- GTG staff submit CMAQ report on behalf of EPMPO
- Draft Planning Work Program list of potential tasks and estimated costs
- Review draft UPWPs in even-numbered FFYs
- GTG Liaisons provide comments on draft UPWPs/RWPs in even-numbered FFYs
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- Cost Allocation and Indirect Cost Plans
- Submit Draft Planning Work Program to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in even-numbered FFYs
- Set up FFY Control Numbers for WPs

Third Quarter
- Begin close out of previous federal fiscal year
- Submit MPO Freight Program Assessments to FHWA
- Reimbursement (QR and Invoice) Packet
- TIP quarterly amendments
- Quarterly meetings
- Quarterly meetings
- TIP quarterly amendments
- TIP quarterly amendments
- TIP quarterly amendments
- Obligate funds by submitting FMIS requests for PAPs

Fourth Quarter
- Submit PWP fourth quarter amendment to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6; post on website
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- Submit APER packet to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6
- Submit annual SOA report to FHWA-NM for approval
- PSD meets with FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 to discuss PWP issues
- GTG staff submit CMAQ report on behalf of EPMPO
- Draft Planning Work Program list of potential tasks and estimated costs
- Review draft UPWPs in even-numbered FFYs
- GTG Liaisons provide comments on draft UPWPs/RWPs in even-numbered FFYs
- Process Reimbursement Packet (QR and Invoice)
- Cost Allocation and Indirect Cost Plans
- Submit Draft Planning Work Program to FHWA-NM/FTA Region 6 in even-numbered FFYs
- Set up FFY Control Numbers for WPs
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCTOBER</th>
<th>NOVEMBER</th>
<th>DECEMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>31st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>21st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>21st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15th</td>
<td>15th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>30th</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 2**

Month-by-Month Work Program Timeline (MPOR/RTPO, NMDOT, FHWA-NM, FTA Region 6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>31st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>31st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>31st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30th</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**JULY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12th</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21st</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NMDOT PPM Second Amendment July 2, 2015**
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Appendix I

MPO Forms and Boilerplates
Appendix C Contents

Appendix C contains the following documents to assist MPO staff with the production of their work products and documentation requirements:

- Title VI Plan Boilerplate
- UPWP Boilerplate
- Work Program Review Checklist
- Quarterly Budget Report
- Quarterly Expenditure Summary
- Work Authorization Letter Example
- Quality Assurance Review Checklist
(Please include a table of contents and provide your Organizational Chart as Appendix A. Appendix B is the Complaint Form.)
I. STATEMENTS OF POLICY

Introduction
The (insert your organization name) is the transportation planning agency for the cities of (list cities within MPO) and the urbanized area of (list the county or counties) in New Mexico. Federal regulations require that an MPO be designated to carry out a comprehensive, continuing and coordinated transportation planning process for urbanized areas with a population of 50,000 or more. The (list MPO fiscal agent) is the fiscal and administrative agent for the (insert your organization name).

Title VI Nondiscrimination Statement of Policy

The (insert your organization name) is committed to compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 49 CFR, part 2, and all related regulations and directives. The (insert your organization name) assures that no person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity under any (insert your organization name) program, activity, or service.

Environmental Justice and Limited English Proficiency Policy Statement

The (insert your organization name) is also committed to assure every effort will be made to prevent the discrimination of low-income and minority populations, as a result of any impact of its programs or activities in accordance with Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and in Low-Income Populations.

In addition, the (insert your organization name) also assures every effort will be made to provide meaningful access to persons that have Limited English Proficiency (LEP), in accordance with Executive Order 13166, Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency.

Definition of Federal financial assistance and recipients affected

Federal financial assistance is defined as any Federal dollars that are assigned to the (insert your organization name) to support any program and activity, by way of grant, loan or contract, other than a contract of insurance or guaranty. The (insert your organization name) typically receives planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to carry out the transportation planning requirements as set forth by the federal law Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21).
II. Title VI Assurances

Specific Forms of Discrimination Prohibited

The (insert your organization name) efforts to prevent discrimination must address, but are not limited to:

- The denial of services, financial aid, or other benefits provided under a program.
- Distinctions in the quality, quantity, or manner in which the benefit is provided.
- Segregation or separation in any part of the program.
- Restriction in the enjoyment of any advantages, privileges, or other benefits provided to others.
- Different standards or requirements for participation.
- Methods of administration which directly or indirectly or through contractual relationships would defeat or impair the accomplishment of effective nondiscrimination.
- Discrimination in any activities related to a highway, infrastructure or facility built or repaired in whole or in part with Federal funds.
- Discrimination in any employment resulting from a program, the primary purpose of which is to provide employment.

Programs and services covered by Title VI

The (insert your organization name) Title VI Plan applies to all of its programs, activities and services, regardless of funding source. Some sections deal with specific requirements (e.g. FTA funded programs).

Authorities

1. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq., 78 stat. 252), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin);
2. Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973 (23 U.S.C. §324 et seq.), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex);
3. The Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended, (42 U.S.C. § 6101 et seq.), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of age);
5. Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, (42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq.), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability); 
FHWA Assurances for Title VI and Other Nondiscriminatory Statutes

The (insert your organization name) (hereafter referred to as the "Recipient") in keeping with our policy of nondiscrimination, hereby agrees that as a condition to receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department of Transportation, it will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d - 42 U.S.C. 2000d-4 (hereinafter referred to as the Act) and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to: Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the Department of Transportation, Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations) and other pertinent directives, to that end in accordance with the Act, Regulations, and other pertinent directives, no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, sex, national origin, or age be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance from the Department of Transportation, including the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and HEREBY GIVES ASSURANCE THAT it will promptly take any measures necessary to effectuate this agreement. This Assurance is required by Subsection 21.7 (a)(1) of the Regulations.

More specifically and without limiting the above general assurance, the Recipient hereby gives the following specific assurances with respect to operating assistance projects:

- That the Recipient agrees that each "program" or "facility", as defined in Subsections 21.23(b) and 21.23 (e) of the Regulations, will be (with regard to a “program”) conducted, or will be (with regard to a “facility”) operated in compliance with all requirements imposed by, or pursuant to, the Regulations; and
That the Recipient shall insert the following notification in all solicitations for bids for work or material subject to the Regulations and made in connection with the Federal Aid Highway Program, and in adapted form in all proposals for negotiated agreements;

The (insert your organization name) in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and 49, of the Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively insure that in regard to any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, national origin, income status or age in consideration for an award;

The Recipient shall provide for such methods of administration for the program as are found by the Secretary of Transportation or the officials to whom he delegates specific authority to give reasonable guarantee that it, other recipients, sub grantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest, and other participants of Federal financial assistance under such program will comply with all requirements imposed or pursuant to the Act, the Regulations and this Assurance;

The Recipient agrees that the United States has a right to seek judicial enforcement with regard to any matter arising under the Act, the Regulations, and this Assurance; and

This Assurance is given in consideration of and for the purpose of obtaining any and all Federal grants, loans, contracts, property, discounts or other Federal financial assistance extended after the date hereof to the Recipient by the Department of Transportation under Federal-Aid Highway Program and is binding on it, other recipients, sub-grantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest and other participants in the Federal-Aid Highway Program. The person whose signature appears below is authorized to sign this Assurance on behalf of the Recipient.

Signed by Chief Administrative Officer or Designee Date

III. Title VI and Environment Justice – MPO Planning Requirements

The (insert your organization name) is responsible for ensuring Title VI compliance for the following planning activities:

Data Collection
Census and other statistical data will be collected by the MPO as a means of identifying low income and minority populations within the MPO. The data will be maintained for
the purpose of planning projects and programs that serve various population groups. The data collection process will be reviewed regularly to ensure sufficiency in meeting Title VI requirements.

- **MPO Actions**
  - Collect, maintain, and update databases of low income and minority concentrations within the MPO
  - Utilize the data when developing plans and studies
  - Develop demographic profile maps to help identify neighborhoods with high concentrations of low income and minority populations
  - Use these maps in various planning documents

**Unified Planning Work Program**
The *(insert your organization name)* Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is the annual list of projects and activities that are expected to be completed by staff and the two *(insert your organization name)* committees. In this document, the *(insert your organization name)* will identify projects, studies, and other activities that will provide more transportation options to disadvantaged populations.

- **MPO Actions**
  - Identify planning activities that will encourage involvement by all populations
  - Analyze the benefits and impacts that planning studies might have on low income and minority populations
  - Create maps highlighting socio-economic groups and their geographical relationship to jobs, housing, and transportation options for all modes

**Transportation Improvement Program**
The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is the short term program of projects that are expected to be designed, engineered, and constructed within the next four years. Projects should be reviewed to assess the benefits and impacts they might have on various aspects of the population.

- **MPO Actions**
  - Work with the entities to identify transportation projects that serve areas of the MPO with low income and minority populations
  - Provide opportunity for all populations to provide input into project identification
  - Develop a performance target for a percent of projects that serve Title VI populations

**Metropolitan Transportation Plan**
The Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) is the long range, comprehensive plan that identifies the projects, programs, and policies needed in the next 20 years to meet the transportation needs of this area. Using various data collected by the MPO, the MTP can estimate growth patterns of disadvantaged populations and address the benefits and burdens that future transportation projects might have.

- **MPO Actions**
  - Develop demographic profile maps that project growth in disadvantaged populations over at least a 20 year planning horizon
  - Give all populations opportunity to provide input into project identification
  - Assess the effects that future land use decisions and transportation projects might have on the neighborhoods, the environment, and the economy
  - Ensure that the benefits and impacts of future transportation systems are equally distributed among all areas of the MPO
  - Develop a performance target for a percent of projects that serve Title VI populations

**Transit Planning**
*(Describe the transit system and service areas covered by the transit system related to your organization’s planning and oversight.)*

- **MPO Actions**
  - Using demographic profile maps, ensure that transit routes and stops fully serve those neighborhoods with high concentrations of low income and minority populations.
  - Work with *(Insert name of transit agency associated with MPO)* to identify necessary changes to routes
  - Ensure bus stop locations are fully accessible for all users, both at the site and in the vicinity

**IV. Title VI and Environmental Justice – the Public Participation Process**

**Public Participation Plan (PPP)**

The *(insert your organization name)* Public Participation Plan (PPP) describes how the MPO communicates and distributes information to the public as well as how the public can interact and provide comments to the MPO. The needs of those traditionally underserved by the existing system will be sought and considered by *(insert your organization name).*
Through its public involvement efforts, the (insert your organization name) will strive to achieve the following Title VI and Environmental Justice (EJ) goals:

- To avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority populations and low-income populations.
- To ensure the full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process.
- To prevent the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of benefits by minority and low-income populations.

Title VI states that no person shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, denied benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. The MPO will ensure that the input and feedback from all people will be considered in the development of MPO planning documents and activities.

EJ concerns and goals should be considered throughout all public engagement efforts, from project planning through construction and operation. This includes public outreach conducted during transportation planning and during the environmental reviews required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The following actions relating to Environmental Justice and Title VI are meant to reduce the barriers for participation in the decision-making process by low income, minority or disabled individuals.

1. When possible, public meetings will be held in locations that are convenient to low and moderate income neighborhoods and accessible to disabled populations. Such locations include community centers, senior centers and schools. Where possible, MPO staff will meet at the locations of businesses, neighborhood groups, stakeholders, and other agencies.
2. Upon request, all MPO work products and documents will be made available in alternative formats, including Braille, large type and languages other than English.
3. The following statement will be included in all MPO documents: The (insert your organization name) does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in the provision of services. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the MPO Office at 599-1466 (voice) or 599-1168 (TTY).
4. The following statement will be included in all meeting announcements: (Include your organization’s disability/special accommodation statement used for all meeting notices).
5. Agencies and organizations that represent low income, minority and disabled populations will be identified and included in MPO mailings. Staff will maintain an active listing of contacts for these organizations.
6. The MPO will evaluate Environmental Justice actions and Title VI requirements on an annual basis to ensure effectiveness of public involvement. This document will be reviewed and updated in conjunction with the Public Participation Plan.

Communication and Notification to the Public

All members of the public are ensured protections against discrimination which are afforded to them by Title VI. To ensure open communication with the public, the [insert your organization name] will adhere to the following requirements:

- The [insert your organization name] will disseminate agenda and public meeting information to members of the public via accessible printed and electronic media, including postings on the [insert your organization name] website and in the [insert name of local newspaper used for meeting public notifications]. Documents and agendas will be available at the MPO office (Insert organization address) and at other locations identified in the Public Participation Plan (see Appendix D of the PPP).
- Public notices of MPO meetings will be posted at the location of the meeting site.
- In appropriate documents, the [insert your organization name] will include a statement that the organization complies with Title VI by assuring that no person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity under any [insert your organization name] program, activity, or service.

Section VI of this plan describes the procedures on how members of the public can request additional information regarding the [insert your organization name] Title VI obligation. This section also identifies the procedures to be followed by members of the public to file a discrimination complaint against the [insert your organization name].

V. Organization and Staff Responsibilities

Organization Overview

[Write a concise summary of your organization, including structure of organization, fiscal agent]

VI. Title VI Coordinator and Complaint Process
Title VI Coordinator Responsibilities

Describe the Title VI coordinators responsibilities and who the coordinator is, (i.e., Human Resources Director of fiscal agent or MPO staff member).

MPO staff and the Title VI Coordinator will be responsible for the following:

- Ensuring that the transportation planning process fully complies with the requirements of Title VI.
- Monitoring the transportation planning process and overall strategies and goals and ensuring compliance with Title VI requirements.
- Reviewing operational policies and procedures to ensure Title VI compliance.
- Monitoring the service equities of planning data collection and analysis for potential impacts on social, economic, and/or ethnic groups.
- Ensuring the planning organizational membership attempts to reflect the makeup of the population served. This would include periodically reporting the MPO/RPO racial, ethnic, and gender composition of public involvement organizations or groups.
- Ensuring the opinions and views of all groups within their populations are solicited and considered in the planning of transportation projects.
- Monitoring compliance with Environmental Justice issues to identify low-income and minority populations that may be impacted by transportation planning process.
- Providing evidence that input from minority groups/persons has been considered in the transportation planning process. Evidence could include but is not limited to the participation level and composition of participants in public information settings and reporting any follow-up and conclusions to issues communicated throughout the planning process.
- Monitoring the gathering and utilization of demographic data used to identify and locate low-income and minority populations in order to investigate the possible benefits and detriments of transportation plans on these populations.
- Monitor compliance with Limited English Proficiency populations to improve access and comprehension of the transportation planning process for individuals comprising the LEP population.

Title VI Complaint Procedures

The (insert your organization name) is committed to ensuring that all citizens have equal access to all transportation services. It is further the intent of the (insert your organization name) that all citizens are aware of their rights to such access. Any person believing he or she has been excluded from, denied participation in, denied the benefits of, or otherwise has been subjected to discrimination under any transportation service, program or activity (whether Federally funded or not) due to that person’s race, color, national origin, gender, age, disability, economic status, or limited English proficiency has the right to file a complaint.
The complaint procedures cover the following:

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1973
- Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
- Executive Order 12898
- Executive Order 13166

**Reporting a Title VI Complaint**

An individual, group of individuals or entity may file a formal Title VI complaint. If you believe that you have received discriminatory treatment by the (insert your organization name) on the basis of your race, color or national origin, you have the right to file a complaint with the (Insert your organization’s Title VI Coordinator’s title). The complaint must be filed no later than 180 calendar days of the alleged discriminatory incident.

(Insert your organization’s preferred method for receiving Title VI complaints. Please note all types of complaints must be reviewed.)

(Insert the name, title, contact information for the Title VI coordinator for your organization.)

(Name)

(Full Title)

(Address and/or phone and email)

(Describe the alternate methods for filing a Title VI complaint, I.E., if your preferred method is by mail provide a phone number a process for verbal complaints.)

You also have the right to file a complaint with an external entity such as the New Mexico Department of Transportation (DOT), a federal or state agency, or a federal or state court. For complaints submitted to NMDOT, they must be submitted to the NMDOT Title VI Coordinator in writing, signed and dated, within 180 days of the alleged discriminatory act (or latest occurrence). The complaint should be submitted to the following address:

Attn: Title VI Coordinator
Office of Equal Opportunity Programs
1596 Pacheco St.
Suite 107
Santa Fe, NM 87505
The complaint you are submitting to the entity should include the name, address, phone number and signature of complainant. The formal complaint should describe the alleged discriminatory act that violates Title VI in detail.

Title VI complaints may also be filed directly with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) or the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) within the 180 day period of the alleged discriminatory act (or latest occurrence).

Should a complaint be filed with the (Insert name of MPO and where the Title VI Coordinator is housed, i.e., fiscal agent’s Human Resources Department) and an external entity simultaneously, the external complaint shall supersede the (insert your organization name) complaint and the (insert your organization name) complaint procedures will be suspended pending the external entity’s findings.

Filing a Title VI Complaint

Within 10 working days of receipt of the formal complaint, the Human Resources Director will notify the complainant and begin an investigation (unless the complaint is filed with an external entity first or simultaneously). The investigation will address complaints against the (insert your organization name). The investigation will be conducted in conjunction with and under the advice of the (fiscal agent’s Human Resources Department).

The investigation may include discussion(s) of the complaint with all affected parties to determine the problem. The complainant may be represented by an attorney or other representative of his/her own choosing and may bring witnesses and present testimony and evidence in the course of the investigation. The investigation will be conducted and completed within 60 days of the receipt of the formal complaint.

Based upon all the information received, an investigation report will be written by the Human Resources Director for submittal to the City Manager. The complainant will receive a letter stating the final decision of the City Manager by the end of the 60-day time limit. The complainant shall be notified of his/her right to appeal the decision. Appeals may be made to NMDOT, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) or the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH).

Title VI Complaint Form

Completion of a Title VI Complaint form is required when filing a complaint. Please see Appendix B for a copy of the form. These forms are also available from the (insert locations and methods for retrieving Title VI Complaint Form).
Title VI Related Training

The Title VI Coordinator shall ensure that staff is trained and familiar with related policies and procedures. Related Title VI training will be provided by the Title VI Coordinator to senior management and others to discuss practical situations and how Title VI applies to the planning and public participation processes. Training may also be provided through FHWA-sponsored webinars and training resources. The NMDOT Office of Equal Opportunities can be contacted to provide on-site Title VI training.

VII. Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan

Overview of LEP Plan

Executive Order 13166, titled Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, indicates that differing treatment based upon a person's inability to speak, read, write, or understand English is a type of national origin discrimination. Any agency receiving federal funds needs to develop a Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan.

The primary element of the LEP Plan is the Four Factor Analysis that considers the following factors:

- **Number or Proportion of LEP Individuals**: a summary of LEP persons in the service area and a description of efforts to provide meaningful opportunities for the LEP population to be involved in programs and services.
- **Frequency of Contact with the Program**: a record of how often LEP persons access or come into contact with programs and services.
- **Nature and Importance of the Program**: a description of how LEP individuals have access to benefits and services from programs and services.
- **Resources Available**: a summary of the resources that the organization can use for providing assistance to LEP populations.

*(Include your organizations Limited English Proficiency Plan)*
Appendix A

(Insert your Organizational Chart as Appendix A)
Appendix B – Title VI Complaint Form

Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act requires that “No person in the United States shall on the ground of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.”

Note: The following information is necessary to assist us in processing your complaint. Should you require any assistance in completing this form, please let us know.

Complete and return this form to the (Insert Name of Organization, Name of Contact, and address where Complaint Forms are filed.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complainant’s Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State and Zip Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number (home &amp; business)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Person discriminated against (if someone other than the complainant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State and Zip Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which of the following best describes the reason you believe the discrimination took place?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Color</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Origin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please describe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What date did the alleged discrimination take place?

In your own words, describe the alleged discrimination. Explain what happened and whom you believe was responsible. Please use the back of this form if additional space is required.

<p>| |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Have you filed this complaint with any other federal, state, or local agency; or with any federal or state court?

| Yes | No |

If so, which agency (check all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal agency</th>
<th>Federal Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State Agency</td>
<td>State Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Agency</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Please provide information about a contact person at the agency/court where the complaint was filed.

| Name | Address | City, State and Zip Code | Telephone Number |

Please sign below. You may attach any written materials or other information that you think is relevant to your complaint.

| Complainant’s Signature | Date |
Notes regarding this template:

The attached template was created for use in developing each MPO's UPWP, Quarterly Reports and the End-Of-Year Report.

The quarterly report reporting table can be lengthened by simply typing in the boxes.

Whether a quarterly report table is used after each task or each subtask depends on how many subtasks there are and how different they are from each other.

Text in red needs to be modified for each MPO.

The End-of-Year report would include your final financial information in the appendices along with any supplemental comments (supplemental to the 4th quarterly report).

Subtasks can be limited as suggested by Rodolfo. That would make reporting easier.

Reporting on "findings" from reviews will be done separately on the spreadsheet provided by NMDOT and not in this template or in the quarterly report.
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I. INTRODUCTION

This Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) is a mechanism for listing and organizing the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization’s transportation planning activities that will be undertaken during the time period covered. This document was developed in accordance with the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) that was signed into law by President Obama on July 6, 2012, federal regulation 23 CFR 450 and FTA Circular 8100.1C.

A. MRCOG General Overview

The Mid-Region Council of Governments (MRCOG) is a regional planning organization that develops policies and makes decisions about transportation planning in the greater Albuquerque region of New Mexico. It is a forum for cities, towns, villages, tribal governments, counties, transit agencies, and state agencies to address common regional issues.

MRCOG includes several organizational divisions such as the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MRMPO), Mid-Region Rural Transportation Planning Organization, Workforce Development-Central Region, Water Resources Management, Local Planning Assistance, and Economic Development-District 3. Several of these programs have their own governing bodies. In addition, MRCOG administratively houses the Rio Metro Regional Transit District under agreement with that district to provide staff, payroll services, office space, and other administrative functions.

B. Transportation Planning

Federal law requires that every metropolitan area with a population over 50,000 have a designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) to qualify for receipt of federal highway and transit funds.\(^1\) MRCOG is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area (AMPA) which is a Transportation Management Area (TMA) as well. MRMPO employees provide planning, coordination, and administrative support to the Mid-Region Metropolitan Transportation Board (MTB), which is MRMPO’s policy-making body. Work tasks and responsibilities with respect to transportation planning for the MPO are detailed in this document, the Unified Planning Work Program. Refer to Appendix B for a map of the AMPA.

In addition, under state law MRCOG is designated as the Rural Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) for Torrance County, portions of Sandoval County and the southwest corner of Santa Fe County that includes the Town of Edgewood. Work tasks for planning activities in the RTPO are documented in a separate document, the Annual Work Program for the RTPO.

C. Governance, Boards, and Committees

MRCOG is governed by the MRCOG Board of Directors. The Board is composed of locally-elected officials. Member agencies may select senior staff members to serve as alternates.

The Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization (MRMPO) is governed by the Metropolitan Transportation Board (MTB) which is composed of elected officials and agency representatives

\(^1\) 23 USC 134(d).
from within the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area as well as representatives from the New Mexico Department of Transportation. Membership, officers and voting procedures are in accordance with the Bylaws adopted by the MTB. Standing and ad hoc committees provide the MTB with guidance on matters related to funding, congestion management, bicycle and pedestrian issues, intelligent transportation systems, freight, roadway access management, and other specific matters related to transportation planning.

D. Unified Planning Work Program Requirements

A Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) must be developed by each MPO in cooperation with the state and public transportation operators which identifies the work of the MPO over a one or two year period. The development of the UPWP is the joint responsibility of the MPO, State DOT, other state departments, public transportation operators and other planning and implementation agencies. The UPWP must identify work by major activity and task including those that address the planning factors in 23 CFR 450.306(a) which are listed in section G, below. Other requirements are that a discussion of planning priorities facing the metropolitan planning area must be included. This UPWP meets all federal requirements and covers a two year period.

The UPWP developed by an MPO must include:

- a description of the work to be accomplished;
- who shall perform the work for an activity/task;
- a schedule for completing the activity/task;
- resulting products of the activity /task;
- proposed funding by activity/task;
- a summary of the total amounts and sources of federal and matching funds;
- identification of any incomplete work elements/activities carried over from previous fiscal years; and
- a summary of the work program that shows federal share by type of fund, matching rate by type of fund, state and/or local matching share and other state of local funds.

- MPO’s in TMAs must include (as applicable) cost estimates for transportation planning, research, development, and technology transfer related activities funded with other federal or state and/or local funds, particularly for producing the FHWA-required data (i.e., data for preparing proposed legislation, evaluating the performance of the Nation’s transportation systems, etc.) for planning for other transportation modes.

E. The UPWP Development Process and Opportunities for Public Input

The MPO staff develops the work program and budget for the next upcoming period in accordance with the following schedule. (Exact dates may vary by a few days.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>May 1st Even Years</th>
<th>1st Draft of UPWP to NMDOT Transp. Planning &amp; Safety Div. (NMDOT TPSD), ABQ Ride and Rio Metro RTD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 1st Even Years</td>
<td>Proposed UPWP is posted online for Public Review and Comment. Begin 30 day public comment period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 31st Even Years</td>
<td>MPO &amp; NMDOT TPSD meeting on Draft UPWP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1st - June 15th</td>
<td>MPO staff revise proposed UPWP if necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-June Even Years</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transportation Board votes on Approving UPWP Opportunity for Public Comment at meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1st Even Years</td>
<td>MPO submits approved UPWP to NMDOT TPSD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 23 CFR 450.308(c)  
3 23 CFR 450.308(c)
The public may participate in the development of the UPWP in a few ways. The first is to attend MRMPO’s MTB meetings which are held on a monthly basis and are open to the public. To learn more about these meetings, please contact Ms. Barbara Thomas at (505) 724-3616 or email at bthomas@mrcog-nm.gov. The public can also review the draft document during the 30-day public comment period. During this time, an electronic copy of the UPWP will be posted on the MRCOG website at www.mrcog-nm.gov. Additionally, information in the MRMPO Public Participation Procedures can also be found at www.mrcog-nm.gov.

Amendments to the UPWP are required periodically to accommodate new tasks, award of funding grants and changes in work priorities. Amendments are scheduled, if needed, on a quarterly basis with the approved UPWP amendment submitted to NMDOT TPSD on the last day of each Federal Fiscal Year Quarter (December 31, March 31, June 30 & September 30). Opportunities for public comment on UPWP amendments are available at any board meeting at which the item will be discussed. Agendas for all Metropolitan Transportation Board meeting are posted online at www.mrcog-nm.gov.

F. Funding Sources for Transportation Planning Activities

Transportation planning efforts in the metropolitan area are financed primarily through federal funds. (FHWA Section 112 funds, FHWA State Planning and Research (SPR) grant funds, FTA Section 5303 funds.) Funds from local jurisdictions provide the required matching funds to receive the federal funds. Local funds also provide additional funds for transportation planning purposes. Occasionally, state funds or grants are used for general transportation planning. Special federal planning grants for specific programs are also utilized when the MPO is awarded these types of funds.

G. Planning Factors Under Federal Law

The newest transportation bill, Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) continues the planning factors identified by the previous transportation bill, the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, and Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). The planning factors as stated in MAP-21 are:

- Support the economic vitality of the metropolitan area, especially by enabling global competitiveness, productivity, and efficiency;
- Increase the safety of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- Increase the security of the transportation system for motorized and non-motorized users;
- Increase the accessibility and mobility of people and for freight;
- Protect and enhance the environment, promote energy conservation, improve the quality of life, and promote consistency between transportation improvements and State and local planned growth and economic development patterns;
- Enhance the integration and connectivity of the transportation system, across and between modes, for people and freight;
- Promote efficient system management and operation; and
- Emphasize the preservation of the existing transportation system.

H. Planning Priorities for the Metropolitan Planning Area

In this section the MPO describes the major planning priorities for the MPO. This section will be different for each MPO.
II. WORK PROGRAM TASKS

The MPO’s work program tasks are described in this section and are organized as shown below. Funding sources for all tasks are included in Appendix A.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 1 - Program Support and Administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1  Program Management and Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2  UPWP and Quarterly Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3  Title VI Plan and Monitoring (includes Environmental Justice)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4  Public Participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5  Website and Other Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6  Staff Training and Professional Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7  Board Member Training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 2 - Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1  TIP Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2  TIP Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3  Annual Project Listing and Obligation Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 3 - General Development and Data Collection/Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1  Traffic Counting and Reporting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2  Population and Land Use Data Collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3  Travel Demand Model Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4  Software Upgrades</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5  Highway Functional Classification Review and Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6  GIS Data Development, Mapping and Database Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7  Development Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.8  Orthophotography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.9  Planning Consultation &amp; Local Transportation Planning Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10 Land Use Modeling</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 4 - Transportation Planning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1  Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2  Safety Analysis and Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3  CMP - Congestion Management Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4  ITS - Intelligent Transportation Systems Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5  Land Use/Transportation Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6  Economic Impacts of Transportation Projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.7  SLRP Coordination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task 5 - Special Studies, Plans, Projects and Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1  Northwest Metro Area BRT Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2  UNM/CNM Transit Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3  Central Avenue BRT Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4  I-25 South Operations Study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 1 - Program Administration and Management  
(FTA Code 442100)

This consists of activities necessary for the administration, management, and operation of the MPO. This includes basic overhead, administrative costs, UPWP development, budget and financial management, annual and quarterly reports, general public participation, and public information.

Estimated Cost for Task 1 (includes all subtasks) = $_____

[The table below is an embedded Excel table; double click in it to edit.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Est. Staff Hrs</th>
<th>Avg. Rate</th>
<th>Staff Cost</th>
<th>Consul. Hrs</th>
<th>Con. Rate</th>
<th>Con. Cost</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Est. TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$121.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.1 Program Support and Administration

This task encompasses general administration and oversight of the MPO. Included in this task are: staff meetings, day-to-day MPO activities, preparing and posting meeting agendas, review and revisions (if needed) of Metropolitan Transportation Board Bylaws and other similar administrative activities. This includes monitoring MPO progress in meeting scheduled deadlines in various state and federal policies, procedures and regulations.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products:
Reimbursement Invoices are due the 25th day of the month following each FY quarter.

1.2 UPWP - Unified Planning Work Program and Quarterly & Annual Reporting

Monitor and revise, if necessary, the current UPWP. Develop the following UPWP for the next fiscal period. Prepare quarterly reports on the progress of main tasks and an annual report at the end of each Federal Fiscal Year.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary. For development of the next UPWP, ABQ Ride and Rio Metro RTD and NMDOT will be involved.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly Reports</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
<td>X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Perf. &amp; Expen. Rpt.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Draft UPWP (FY 2017-18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised UPWP to MTB</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amend. UPWP (if needed)</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
<td>X X X X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.3 Title VI Plan and Monitoring

Implement the MRCOG Title VI Plan and monitor environmental justice issues. Assure that all communications and public involvement efforts comply with the plan. Prepare the Annual Title VI Report (refer to page 4 or Title VI Plan). Review the Title VI Plan prior to the quadrennial Federal Certification Review and prepare revisions if necessary. Resolve all complaints in accordance with the Title VI Plan.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Title VI Report</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rev Title VI Plan/Quad Rev</td>
<td>This does not occur in the fiscal period of this UPWP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution of Complaints</td>
<td>This task occurs if and when a complaint is filed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

1.4 Public Participation

Implement the Public Participation Procedures for the Mid-Region MPO and monitor progress. Facilitate meetings of the Public Involvement Committee (PIC). Conduct surveys, online surveys, hold workshops and focus groups, utilize visualization techniques, and employ other methods to disseminate information and gather public input in the transportation planning process. Review the Public Participation Procedures (revise if necessary) prior to the development of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIC Meetings</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub Mtg Fin Draft 2040 MTP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pub Mtg FY 2016-2021 TIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Pub. Part. Proc.</td>
<td>This is done prior to start of MTP development and as needed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

1.5 Website and Other Communications

Produce the Travel Times E-newsletter, maintain and update the MPO pages on MRCOG’s website and use other methods to disseminate information.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match
### 1.6 Staff Training and Professional Development

Staff will attend meetings, workshops, and conferences designed to enhance their technical and professional skills and promote coordination between the MPO and other Responsibilities: MPO staff.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match, Local Non-Matching Funds

Representative Conferences, Training and Workshops
- Attendance is dependent upon review of conference course/session offerings, conference costs, travel costs, conference location, employee work schedules and work load, etc. and may be subject to change. Other workshops and conferences may be attended by staff depending on funding availability and course offerings.
  - ITS America
  - Assoc. of Metropolitan Planning Organizations (AMPO) Conference
  - American Planners Association (APA) Conference
  - NM APA
  - Smart Growth conference
  - National Highway Institute (NHI) and National Transit Institute (NTI) courses
  - Transportation Research Board (TRB) Conference
  - CUBE modeling training
  - a socioeconomic modeler's conference
  - a pedestrian-bicycle planning seminar
  - webinars hosted by APA, ITE and other agencies

### 1.7 Board Member Training

Board member training and workshops to educate policy board members and possibly other committee members as to their roles and responsibilities regarding the transportation planning process.

Responsibilities: MPO staff.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match, Local Non-Matching Funds

#### Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Travel Times E-Newsletter</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Website Maint &amp; Update</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPO Quarterly Mtgs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Conferences/Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

The schedule is dependent upon course offerings and staff work load.
| PRODUCT                      | 10 | 11 | 12 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 01 | 02 | 03 | 04 | 05 | 06 | 07 | 08 | 09 |
|------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Board and committee         |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| member training             |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Task 2 - Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)  
(FTA Task 442500)

This task covers the development, monitoring, and management of the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) which implements transportation projects through federal, state, and local funding programs. The TIP spans a period of six years with the first four years constituting the federal TIP and the 5th and 6th year serving as informational years. The TIP must be fiscally constrained therefore; the total amount of funds programmed does not exceed the total amount of funding available.

Estimated Cost for Task 2 (includes all subtasks) = $

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Est. Staff Hrs</th>
<th>Avg. Rate</th>
<th>Staff Cost</th>
<th>Consul. Hrs</th>
<th>Con. Rate</th>
<th>Con. Cost</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Est. TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$121.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.1 TIP Development

Develop and adopt a list of projects to be funded with federal transportation funds and regionally significant projects funded with state or local funds.

Responsibilities: All agencies through the TPTG (Transportation Program Technical Group), a subcommittee of the TCC (Transportation Coordinating Committee), which is responsible for the development of the TIP with MPO staff input and facilitation.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review TIP Policies &amp; Proc.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Update on Existing TIP Proj</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP Proj. Proposals Subm.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Draft FY 2016-2021 TIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP for Public Review</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTB Aprv. FY 2016-21 TIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

2.2 TIP Management

Monitor the progress of projects in the TIP and their progress toward the timely obligation of funds. Revise the TIP to accommodate increased or decreased funding, to delay or advance projects as progress monitoring dictates. Revisions fall into two categories: TIP Administrative Modifications which are minor revisions and TIP Amendments which require approval by the Metropolitan Transportation Board.

Responsibilities: MPO staff manages the TIP and processes TIP Administrative Modifications. TIP Amendments are processed upon recommendation and analysis of the TPTG (Transportation Program Technical Group), and the TCC (Transportation Coordinating Committee).
Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress Rpt fr Agencies</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP Admin. Modifications</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly TIP Amend.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIP for Public Review</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTB Aprv. FY 2016-21 TIP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.3 Annual Project Listing and Obligation Report

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.332 the MPO shall prepare an annual report (no later than 90 days following the end of the program year) of the status of projects in that program year's TIP and the status of the obligation of the funds programmed in that year.

Responsibilities: MPO staff, NMDOT and other agencies as needed.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Draft Annual Proj Listing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Annual Proj. Listing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

| 1st Q. Report |  |
| 2nd Q. Report |  |
| 3rd Q. Report |  |
| 4th Q. Report |  |
| End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed |  |
Task 3 - General Development and Data Collection/Analysis (FTA Tasks 442200 and 442500)

This consists of general planning activities, data collection, socioeconomic projections, mapping services, orthophotography, travel demand/traffic forecasting, development review, and local assistance.

Estimated Cost for Task 3 (includes all subtasks) = $_________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Est. Staff Hrs.</th>
<th>Avg. Rate</th>
<th>Staff Cost</th>
<th>Consul. Hrs.</th>
<th>Con. Rate</th>
<th>Con. Cost</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Est. TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$121.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1 Traffic Counting and Reporting (FTA Task 442400)

Collect and process traffic data for routine monitoring of the transportation network, report data to NMDOT and conduct special needs traffic counts as needed. Counts are collected on all major roads in the MRCOG region (Bernalillo, Sandoval, Valencia and Torrance counties and southern Santa Fe County) for a total of approximately 3000 count locations. Each location is counted once every three years (approx. 900-1000 counts/year) and all counts are reviewed to confirm they meet the Highway Performance Monitoring System standards of FHWA and the NMDOT.

Data collection is conducted system-wide as well as targeted locations and includes traffic counts, directional volume data, vehicle classification, bicycle counts, pedestrian counts, and intersection turning movements. Data is archived and logged into the traffic counts database and shared with local agencies for use in transportation planning activities. The Traffic Counts Program operates servers to receive traffic data from member agencies' ITS networks (including NMDOT-ITS). All reports and analyses are made available to member agencies and the general public. Funds are managed each fiscal year to maintain a reserve of funding that allows for the timely replacement of the traffic counting vehicle (approx. every 5-6 years) and counter machines (approx every 10-15 years).

Special Notes: add as needed

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary.

Source of Funds: FHWA, Local Funds for Match
3.2 Population and Land Use Data Collection (FTA Task 442200)

Collect, maintain and analyze multiple types of socioeconomic and demographic data. Provide forecasts for transportation planning purposes and for use by local and state agencies. Analyze and present data regarding growth and land use to member governments, planners, and the general public.

This includes integration with other planning tools such as the accessibility and travel demand models, TranSight®, UrbanSim® and UrbanCanvas®, and other programs.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary. The development, maintenance and application of TranSight® is in partnership with the Rio Metro Regional Transit District.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Products and Schedule by Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collect &amp; Analyze Data</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Impacts of Proj.</td>
<td>As needed on a project-by-project basis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Scenario Devel.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

3.3 Travel Demand Model Maintenance (FTA Task 442200)

The MPO currently uses CUBE as the travel demand modeling program. Model runs are conducted upon request by various agencies and for development of the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) and the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). Updates
are done periodically, to the model's socioeconomic and demographic data, the roadway network and transit network.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model Maint. &amp; Updates</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X</td>
<td>X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model Runs</td>
<td></td>
<td>As needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1st Q. Report

2nd Q. Report

3rd Q. Report

4th Q. Report

End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed

3.4 Software Upgrades (FTA Task 442200)

Describe any upgrades to travel demand model, new software purchases, etc.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match, Local Non-Matching Funds

Main Products and Schedule by Month

|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

1st Q. Report

2nd Q. Report
3.5 Highway Functional Classification Review and Update (FTA Task 442200)

Review the current Highway Functional Classification and revise if necessary. Major changes to the Highway Functional Classification occur approximately 2-3 years after each US Decennial Census in accordance with federal procedures. However, new roadways and changes in roadway utilization sometimes require revisions to the system; these are conducted on an as-needed basis.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary

Source of Funds: FHWA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional Class Revisions</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

3.6 GIS Data Development and Comprehensive Planning (FTA Task 442200)

Provide Geographic Information Systems (GIS) coverages and data in support of transportation planning within the metropolitan planning area. This includes GIS analytical and cartographic support for the MTP, TIP, ITS and CMP, system-wide, subarea and corridor technical studies, and maintaining systems maps.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match, Local Non-Matching Funds

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Functional Class Revisions</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.7 Development Review (FTA Task 442400)

The MPO will assist local agencies with reviews of development plans and traffic forecasts as requested. Plans will be reviewed for consistency with the MTP, TIP, CMP, and other pertinent planning documents and plans.

Forecasts requested by developers must be brought to the attention of the MPO through one of the agencies. Furthermore, the MPO will not perform a Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) or Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for developers. Developers may obtain information the MPO has already compiled or collected.

The MPO facilitates the Roadway Access Committee (RAC) which reviews requests for modifications to the Roadway Access Modification Policies for the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area and Inventory of Roadway Access Limitations. The RAC reviews requests from any jurisdiction with ownership of an access controlled roadway and issues a recommendation to the Transportation Coordinating Committee (TCC).

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development Reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC Reviews</td>
<td></td>
<td>As requested.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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3.8 Orthophotography (FTA Task 442200)

This is a biennial task led by the MPO to work with state, federal and local agencies and other interested parties to acquire and distribute regional digital orthophotography and digital elevation data. The MPO manages a contract for services to acquire orthophotography every two years. Orthophotos are used for mapping and geographic information technology applications, to update land use inventories, establish modeling network alignments, and evaluate the feasibility of transportation alternatives, as well as for public involvement activities.

Responsibilities: MPO staff serves as lead with principal responsibility for coordinating and planning for digital orthophotography missions in the region. Project participants vary for each cycle. Prior participants have included: City of Albuquerque, Albuquerque Metropolitan Arroyo and Flood Control Authority, Bernalillo County, Albuquerque-Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority, Kirtland Air Force Base, Village of Los Lunas, Middle Rio Grande Conservancy District, NMDOT, City of Rio Rancho, Sandia National Laboratory, Sandia Pueblo, Santa Ana Pueblo, Southern Sandoval County Arroyo and Flood Control Authority, the U.S. Geological Survey, and Valencia County.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match, Local Non-Matching Funds

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RFP Issued</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract award</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution of Orthos</td>
<td></td>
<td>X X X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: X=due; P=in progress; D=done

| 1st Q. Report         |                                        |                                        |
| 2nd Q. Report         |                                        |                                        |
| 3rd Q. Report         |                                        |                                        |
| 4th Q. Report         |                                        |                                        |
| End of Year Report –  |                                        |                                        |
| Supplemental, if needed|                                        |                                        |
3.9 Planning Consultation and Local Transportation Planning Assistance (FTA Task 442200)

The MPO will assist local agencies with the development of the transportation element of their comprehensive plans and other planning documents. The level of MPO involvement is dependent upon available resources.

MPO staff will assist local agencies with progressing capital improvement projects funded in the TIP through the project development process, certification process, and the process for the obligation of funds.

This subtask also includes routine, cooperative planning efforts with NMDOT, FHWA, FTA, other federal agencies, tribal governments, municipalities, transit agencies, natural resource agencies, and other similar agencies.

Responsibilities: MPO staff and other agencies as necessary.

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transp. Elem. for Plans</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Project Assistance</td>
<td>As requested and as MPO resources allow.</td>
<td>As requested and as initiated by the TIP coordinator.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1st Q. Report

2nd Q. Report

3rd Q. Report

4th Q. Report

End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed
Task 4 - Transportation Planning (FTA Task 442301)

This includes the development and monitoring of the long-range Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), travel forecasting, coordinating with the state’s long-range transportation plan and other studies. It also includes the Congestion Management Process (CMP), Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) planning, safety analyses, and other short to medium range planning activities.

Estimated Cost for Task 4 (includes all subtasks) = $_________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Est. Staff Hrs</th>
<th>Avg. Rate</th>
<th>Staff Cost</th>
<th>Consul. Hrs</th>
<th>Cons. Rate</th>
<th>Con. Cost</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Est. TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$121.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)

The Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) forms the basis for all transportation planning and projects within the metropolitan planning area. The MTP covers all modes of transportation that may serve the current and future needs of the region. The plan conforms to federal regulations as set forth in 23 CFR 450. The MTP is updated every four years and may be amended, if necessary, as required.

Add other information here.

Responsibilities: MPO staff serves as the lead. The development of the MTP is a cooperative effort by the MPO and its member agencies, NMDOT, and area transit agencies, with coordination and input from several other agencies such as: FHWA, FTA, "land use" planning agencies (i.e. municipal planning departments), City of Albuquerque Environmental Health (for air quality), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, tribal governments, local governments, and other agencies as necessary

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90% Draft 2040 MTP</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Draft for Public Review</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Draft Public Review</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Comment Period</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTB Air Qual Conformity</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTB Apprv 2040 MTP</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTP Amendments</td>
<td>Amendments are processed as necessary.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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| Report         | |
|----------------||
| 1st Q.         | |
| 2nd Q.         | |
| 3rd Q.         | |
| 4th Q.         | |
4.2 Safety Analysis and Planning

Develop, research, and analyze data to assist member agencies and the public with understanding crash information and transportation planning issues confronting the metropolitan region and identification of safety issues related to the transportation network. Explore the development of methodologies to estimate future crash data as well as economic impacts of crashes. This subtask includes maintaining consistency with the NMDOT Comprehensive Transportation Safety Plan (CTSP) and providing assistance to local member agency and health organization planning efforts and health impact assessments.

Responsibilities: MPO serves as lead in cooperation with NMDOT Transportation Planning and Safety Division and the UNM Division of Governmental Research

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Crash Report</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1st Q. Report

2nd Q. Report

3rd Q. Report

4th Q. Report

End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed

4.3 Congestion Management Process (CMP)

The MPO will collect data to monitor system-wide and link-based performance to investigate recurring and nonrecurring congestion. The CMP uses performance data to determine the cause and severity of congestion in the region. The CMP is used at various levels of planning and operational analyses such as the MTP, TIP, and development of individual projects. The CMP is integrated into the metropolitan planning process and provides comprehensive information on the performance of the transportation system so residents, elected officials, and agencies can make informed decisions based on congestion levels and location appropriate strategies. This is an ongoing core activity of the MPO.
**Responsibilities:** MPO serves as lead in coordination with member agencies, regional transit providers and NMDOT.

**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**1st Q. Report**

**2nd Q. Report**

**3rd Q. Report**

**4th Q. Report**

**End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed**

---

**4.4 Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)**

ITS uses integrated systems to improve transportation safety, mobility, and traveler knowledge through the use of innovative technologies. The MPO coordinates the programming and deployment of ITS infrastructure and is responsible for maintaining the Regional ITS Architecture and updating the ITS Implementation Plan.

The MPO will collect data to monitor system-wide and link-based performance to investigate recurring and nonrecurring congestion. The CMP uses performance data to determine the cause and severity of congestion in the region. The CMP is used at various levels of planning and operational analyses such as the MTP, TIP and development of individual projects. The CMP is integrated into the metropolitan planning process and provides comprehensive information on the performance of the transportation system so residents, elected officials, and agencies can make informed decisions based on congestion levels and location appropriate strategies. This is an ongoing core activity of the MPO.

**Responsibilities:** MPO serves as lead in coordination with member agencies, regional transit providers and NMDOT.

**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match, Local Non-Matching Funds.

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amend Reg. ITS Arch.</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>As necessary.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 4.5 Land Use/Transportation Integration

Enter study or subtask description.

**Responsibilities:** MPO staff ……

**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

**Main Products and Schedule by Month**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key:** X=due; P=in progress; D=done

### 4.6 XXX Subtask

Enter study or subtask description.

**Responsibilities:** MPO staff ……

**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

**Main Products and Schedule by Month**
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------|

**4.7 XXX Subtask**

Enter study or subtask description.

**Responsibilities:** MPO staff ……

**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Products and Schedule by Month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**4.8 YYY Subtask**

Enter study or subtask description.

**Responsibilities:** MPO staff ……
**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1st Q. Report

2nd Q. Report

3rd Q. Report

4th Q. Report

End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed

4.9 **ZZZ Subtask**

Enter study or subtask description.

**Responsibilities:** MPO staff ……

**Source of Funds:** FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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1st Q. Report

2nd Q. Report

3rd Q. Report

4th Q. Report

End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed
The task covers transportation planning activities that do not fall under the categories above.

Estimated Cost for Task 5 (includes all subtasks) = $________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Est. Staff Hrs.</th>
<th>Avg. Rate</th>
<th>Staff Cost</th>
<th>Consul. Hrs.</th>
<th>Con. Rate</th>
<th>Con. Cost</th>
<th>Other Costs</th>
<th>Est. TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FY 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
<td>$1.00</td>
<td>$121.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.1 XXX Subtask

Enter study or subtask description.

Responsibilities: MPO staff ……

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
<td>10 11 12 01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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5.2 XXX Subtask

Enter study or subtask description.

Responsibilities: MPO staff ……

Source of Funds: FHWA, FTA, Local Funds for Match

Main Products and Schedule by Month
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRODUCT</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>02</th>
<th>03</th>
<th>04</th>
<th>05</th>
<th>06</th>
<th>07</th>
<th>08</th>
<th>09</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>01</th>
<th>02</th>
<th>03</th>
<th>04</th>
<th>05</th>
<th>06</th>
<th>07</th>
<th>08</th>
<th>09</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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| 1st Q. Report |       |
| 2nd Q. Report |       |
| 3rd Q. Report |       |
| 4th Q. Report |       |
| End of Year Report – Supplemental, if needed |       |
Appendices
### Appendix A – Budget Summary - Financial Resources Available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity and/or Task Number</th>
<th>Fund Source</th>
<th>Federal Rate (%)</th>
<th>Matching Rate (%)</th>
<th>Federal Share ($)</th>
<th>State Match ($)</th>
<th>Local Match ($)</th>
<th>Other Fund(s) Amount &amp; Source</th>
<th>Control Number</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PL FUNDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| SPECIAL FUNDS               |             |                  |                  |                   |                |                |                                |                |       |
|                             |             |                  |                  |                   |                |                |                                |                |       |
|                             |             |                  |                  |                   |                |                |                                |                |       |
|                             |             |                  |                  |                   |                |                |                                |                |       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task Number</th>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Budgeted PL Funds</th>
<th>Budgeted Special Project Funds</th>
<th>Total Budgeted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix B - Metropolitan Planning Area Map
Appendix C

Consultant Services and Vendor Services Summary
## Appendix C - Consultant and Vendor Services Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Consultant/Vendor</th>
<th>Est. Total Cost</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix D – UPWP Adoption Resolution
Appendix E – Expenditure Reports

Note: Expenditure reports will be provided for quarters 1 through 4 and the end of year as they are developed.
Work Program Review Checklist

The following checklist can assist GTG Liaisons as they review draft WPs. MPOs and RTPOs may also use the list to draft more complete WPs. The list is illustrative, not inclusive.

The Content of the WP Should:

_____ Demonstrate the scope and schedule of major tasks.
_____ Respond to planning priorities, including the eight MAP-21 Planning Factors.
_____ Comply with state and federal planning/administration program requirements and policies.
_____ Contain the MPOs annual certification and assurances. The MPO planning process should address the major issues facing the region and should be conducted in accordance with all applicable laws.
_____ Respond to NMDOT concerns, regional transportation issues, regional transportation planning activities and transportation problems and needs facing the region.
_____ Reflect the progress made by the MPO in carrying out the previous year’s program and its performance capabilities. All anticipated continuing activities should be clearly identified.
_____ Contain a work element in the draft WP for each discretionary planning grant application i.e., FHWA Partnership Planning, FTA § 5304 Transit Planning Grants, and FHWA Blueprint Planning
_____ Include an information element, which lists the transportation planning activities being done by other transportation planning entities in the region.
_____ Show non-planning sources for all project work in the WP, e.g., transit marketing, ride matching, transportation engineering and Transportation Development Act (TDA) required activities, etc.
_____ Respond to Air Quality and Conformity issues (please see 40 CFR 93 for Conformity requirements).
_____ If a MPO has any indirect costs associated with the WP they must submit an Indirect Cost Plan (ICAP).

The Financial Information in the WP Should:

_____ Reflect the fund source, type and amount for each work element. Also, show the same source, type and amount in the Financial Summary.
_____ Include and identify the correct local match for each federal fund source and type.
_____ Show consistency between the fund amounts identified within the work element/work task discussion and the fund amounts in the Financial Summary.
_____ Identify any carryover from prior years by fund source, type, amount and fiscal year within work elements and the Financial Summary.

The Work Elements in the WP Should:

_____ Illustrate an organized and logical flow of work element tasks and activities from project inception to project completion.
______ Contains task statements which include enough detail that the work product is easily identifiable and eligibility can be easily determined. The work task also identifies who is responsible for performing the work.

______ Work elements/work tasks which will be completed over multiple years should have a schedule that details and identified significant milestones to be accomplished throughout the term of the planning grant.

______ Identify all planning contracts in both the task and budget statements.

______ All tasks and products listed are eligible uses of Federal Funds.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Enter Budget Line Item or Work Program Task/Subtask)</th>
<th>FFY14 WP Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Actuals 1st Quarter 10/1-12/31/13</th>
<th>Actuals 2nd Quarter 1/1-3/31/14</th>
<th>Actuals 3rd Quarter 4/1-6/30/14</th>
<th>Actuals 4th Quarter 7/1-9/30/14</th>
<th>Total Actuals to Date</th>
<th>Balances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Program Support and Description</td>
<td>$ 50,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$40,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Insert Name of MPO) Quarterly Expenditure Summary FFY14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control #</th>
<th>FFY14 Budget Amount</th>
<th>1st Quarter FFY 10/1-12/30/13</th>
<th>2nd Quarter FFY 1/1-3/31/13</th>
<th>3rd Quarter FFY 4/1-6/30/14</th>
<th>4th Quarter FFY 7/1-9/30/14</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Balance Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHWA Federal (85.44%)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>- $</td>
<td>- $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA PL T12 UPWP Expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local PL 112 Match Applied (14.56%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Required PL 112 UPWP Expenditures</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES (FHWA PL with Local Match)</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA Federal (80%)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTA 5303 Expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local 5303 Match Applied (20%)</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES (FTA 5303 with Local Match)</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ - $</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>$ -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenditures All Sources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Remaining</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
January 22, 2014

Mr. Dewey Cave, Executive Director
Mid-Region Council of Governments & Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization
809 Copper Ave. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87102

RE: Work Authorization to Release FFY 14 Planning Funds

Dear Mr. Cave:

This letter authorizes the Mid-Region Council of Governments to seek reimbursement from the New Mexico Department of Transportation for work performed according to the FFY2014 Unified Planning Work Program of the Mid-Region Metropolitan Planning Organization. The authorized amounts are shown below:

**Funding for October 01, 2013 through September 30, 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metropolitan Planning</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control No.</td>
<td>Federal (PL)</td>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P314070</td>
<td>$675,646</td>
<td>$115,138</td>
<td>$790,784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central New Mexico Scenario Planning Project: An Inter-Agency Transportation, Land Use, and Climate Change Initiative</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control No.</td>
<td>Federal (HPR)</td>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P300020</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>(100% Federal)</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Government Assistance</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control No.</td>
<td>Federal (SPR)</td>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P314072</td>
<td>$152,800</td>
<td>$38,200</td>
<td>$191,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traffic Count Program</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control No.</td>
<td>Federal (STPL)</td>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A300564</td>
<td>$259,631</td>
<td>$44,244</td>
<td>$303,875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Control No.                  | Federal (SPR)   | Local Match | Total               |
| P314071                      | $320,000         | $80,000   | $400,000            |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Congestion Management Program Travel Time</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control No.</td>
<td>Federal (STPL)</td>
<td>Local Match</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A300184</td>
<td>$175,692</td>
<td>$29,940</td>
<td>$205,632</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AMPW Wide Comprehensive Regional Travel Survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control No.</th>
<th>Federal (STPL)</th>
<th>Local Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A300780</td>
<td>$999,899.15</td>
<td>$170,395.82</td>
<td>$1,170,294.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TCSP CNM UNM Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control No.</th>
<th>Federal (STPL)</th>
<th>Local Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A301130</td>
<td>$91,862.96</td>
<td>$15,652.57</td>
<td>$107,517.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Work Authorization terminates on September 30, 2014. If you have any questions, please contact Jason Coffey, Urban & Regional Planner, Planning Liaison to MRMPO and MRRTPO at (505) 827-6840.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Bender, NMDOT Deputy Secretary

Cc: Tamara P. Haas, P.E., Planning & Asset Management Director
    Michael Sandoval, Planning & Safety Division Director
    Dolores Gallegos, Funding Control Division Director
    Richard Martinez, Procurement Division Director
    Dorothy Shepherd, Financial Section Supervisor
    Anne McLaughlin, Statewide Planning Bureau Chief
    Jessica Griffin, Government to Government Unit Supervisor
    Jolene Herrera, Planning STIP Coordinator
    Jason Coffey, Government to Government Liaison
    Thaddeus Lucero, MRCOG Transportation Director
    David Pennella, MRCOG Transportation Program Manager
### Entity (MPO):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Personnel interviewed (list names and positions):**

---

1. **Summarize results of agency audit, including any findings.**

2. **Planning Products** – are the following current, on file and posted to the website?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>On file</th>
<th>Posted to the website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UPWP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PPP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Title VI Plan with contact person identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MTP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Listing of Obligated Projects (MPOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Air Quality Conformity letter (for EPMPO and MRMPPO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Congestion Management Plans (for applicable MPOs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other plans (list)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Concerns/Issues:**

**Best Practices:**

---

3. **Boards/Committees** - are the following current, on file and posted to the website for all boards and committees?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>On File</th>
<th>Posted to the website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Members, including Chair and Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schedule of meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Agendas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting minutes (for the past 2 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved documents (i.e. signed resolutions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concerns/Issues:  
Best Practices: 

4. Financials - Reporting and Documentation

☐ Consistently submitted in a timely, complete, and accurate fashion?

☐ Reimbursement Packets include cover letters, Quarterly Reports, Budget Reports, Expenditure Summary, Invoices and supporting documentation? (Quarterly Budget Report vs. Expenditure Summary: The purpose of the Budget Report is to track expenditures by line-item as defined by task in the UPWP budget. The purpose of the Expenditure Summary is to provide a summary of federal funds expended plus local match paid, by quarter. Are these provided with the Reimbursement Packets and maintained on file?)

☐ Is the documentation on file, well-organized, and accessible?

Concerns/Issues:  
Best Practices: 

Timesheets and Timesheet Summary - All MPO staff who charge time to a federally funded task are required to maintain internal, accurate, and current time records using database and spreadsheets comparable to the MPO Time Tracking workbook provided in the Planning Procedures Manual.

☐ Is the amount of time spent on MPO duties and billed to FHWA or FTA funds clearly indicated?

☐ If applicable, is the distinction between FHWA/FTA and urban/rural cost allocation covered by a Cost Allocation Plan?

☐ Is supporting documentation available to track activities?

☐ Cost Allocation Plan (if NA, explain)

☐ Indirect Cost Agreement (if NA, explain)

Concerns/Issues:  
Best Practices: 

Contract Awards

☐ Not applicable

☐ Newspaper Bid (advertisement)

☐ Bidder’s list at bid opening: Company(s) and quotation sheet(s)

☐ Award Letter, “NOTICE OF AWARD”/NOTICE TO PROCEED Forms

☐ All subsequent notices: starts, suspends, resumes, and completions

☐ Copy of Contract with Contractor if contracted out

☐ All invoicing (copies) for contractors, vendors, suppliers

Concerns/Issues:  
Best Practices:
Inventory of capital assets acquired with federal funds valued at $5,000 and greater

☐ Not applicable

☐ Are there property records that include a description of the property, serial or other identification number, source of property, who holds title, acquisition date, cost of property, percentage of Federal participation, location, use and condition of property and ultimate disposition data including date of disposal and sale price?

☐ Is there a physical inventory of the property with results reconciled with property records (at least once every 2 years)?

☐ Is there a control system developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage or theft of property? If there was an occurrence did NMDOT investigate and what were the results of that investigation?

☐ Are there adequate maintenance procedures developed to keep property in good condition?

Note: Disposition of items of equipment at current, per-unit, fair market value in excess of $5,000 may be retained or sold and the awarding agency (FHWA) has the right to an amount calculated by multiplying the current market value or proceeds from sale by awarding agency’s share of the equipment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns/Issues:</th>
<th>Best Practices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5. Structure/Intergovernmental & Other Agreements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>On file</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the MOA with NMDOT current and on file?

☐ ☐ Is the JPA establishing the MPO current and on file?

☐ ☐ Other (list)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns/Issues:</th>
<th>Best Practices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

6. MPOs: Traffic Counts.

• What is the status of the MPO’s annual traffic count program?

• Are traffic flow maps available and posted on the MPO’s website?

• How is this information conveyed?
Concerns/Issues:
Best Practices:

7. Staff & Board/Committee Member Training and Professional Development
   □ Is there a training/professional development plan for MPO staff and how is it being implemented?
   □ Have all MPO staff attended the basic NHI courses?

Concerns/Issues:
Best Practices:

   □ Is there a training plan for Board/Committee Members?
   □ What is the outreach to non-participating members?

Concerns/Issues:
Best Practices:

8. Public Involvement & Outreach/Environmental Justice/Title VI
   □ How is public attendance at meetings encouraged and tracked?
   □ How is public input received, addressed, and documented?
   □ What is the outreach to EJ/minority communities?
   □ Do meetings comply with the NM Open Meetings Act?
   □ How are Environmental Justice and Title VI complaints documented, addressed and tracked?
   □ Is NMDOT GTG Liaison informed of all complaints and resolution in a timely manner?

Concerns/Issues:
Best Practices:

9. Tracking local-lead projects – how is the MPO Planner doing this?

Concerns/Issues:
Best Practices:

10. Any nonconformance issues over the past FFY (see PPM)? Is there a Corrective Action Plan underway?

11. Overall organization:
   • Are files organized, easy to find, and backed up?
• Does MPO have a shared drive everyone uses or are files stored on separate PCs? (Multiple employees need to have access to all files reviewed in this QAR.)
• How are files/documents archived?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns/Issues:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Best Practices:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Additional notes and comments:

13. GTG Liaison: Please provide a summary of the review, including issues/findings and follow up needed, along with a timeline for MPO to address any findings.
Appendix D

RTPO Forms and Boilerplates
Appendix D Contents

Appendix D contains the following documents to assist RTPO staff with the production of their work products and documentation requirements:

- Bylaws Example
- Public Participation Plan Boilerplate
- Title VI Plan Boilerplate
- Regional Work Program Boilerplate
- Work Program Review Checklist
- Cost Allocation Plan Example
- Project Feasibility Form
- Daily Log Template
- Quarterly Expenditure Summary
- Work Authorization Letter Example
- Quality Assurance Review Checklist
ARTICLE 1. Definitions

As used in these Bylaws, the following words and terms shall have the following meanings:

1. “State Planning and Development District No. 3” referred to as “SPDD3” shall mean all the territory encompassed within the four counties of Bernalillo, Sandoval, Torrance, and Valencia, in the State of New Mexico.

2. “Member” shall mean a Governmental Unit, as defined in this section, which is wholly or partially contained in the RTPO Planning Area as defined in this section.

3. “Associate Member” shall mean any public, quasi-public agency, political subdivision of the state, or other organization determined appropriate for non-voting membership in the MRCOG. Entities that may be eligible for Associate Member status include but are not limited to chambers of commerce, land grant communities, tax increment development districts (TIDDS), business and trade groups and associations, neighborhood associations, and economic development groups. The RTPO Committee will make a final determination regarding acceptance of requests for Associate Members.

4. “Representative” shall mean any person duly designated by a member governmental unit to represent that governmental unit or by an associate member agency to represent that agency.

5. “Advisory Member” shall mean any person or persons representing local, State, or Federal agencies or other organizations having a direct responsibility for transportation planning and programs within the RTPO Planning Area. Such persons shall be considered “non-voting” representatives and will act in an advisory capacity to the RTPO Committee.

6. “Governmental Unit” shall mean general or special units of government, including but not limited to municipalities, cities, town, villages, counties, school districts, flood control authorities, conservancy districts, institutions of higher education, Indian tribes, Indian pueblos, Indian reservations, public transportation providers, and any other general or special unit of government as determined by the RTPO Committee.

7. “Filled position” shall mean any position, including officers, that has an appointed representative to the RTPO Committee. A vacancy is not a filled position.

8. RTPO Planning Area. The non-metropolitan portion of SPDD3 and includes all or portions of Torrance and Sandoval Counties along with a portion of southern Santa Fe County that lies outside SPDD3. The RTPO Planning Area is located outside the Albuquerque Metropolitan Planning Area (AMPA) for the designated Metropolitan NMDOT PPM Second Amendment July 2, 2015
ARTICLE 1. Name

The name of this association shall be the Rural Transportation Planning Organization Committee for the Mid-Region Council of Governments (hereinafter referred to as the RTPO Committee) for non-metropolitan transportation planning.

ARTICLE 2. Composition

A. The RTPO Committee shall be composed of voting members and nonvoting associate and advisory members representing the pertinent organization with the RTPO Planning Area, as defined herein.

B. Voting members of the RTPO Committee shall be elected officials or their designated representative of RTPO member agencies within the RTPO Planning Area, as defined herein. Each member agency shall have one vote in the RTPO Committee.

C. Participation in the RTPO Committee shall not be contingent on membership in the Mid-Region Council of Governments.

D. Each member may appoint one primary member who shall represent their agency or community on the Committee. Up to two alternate representatives may also be appointed by each member to represent them in the absence of the primary representative in order to insure that one representative is seated at meetings of the Committee. The appointment of representatives shall be made in writing to the MRCOG Executive Director.

E. The representatives, including alternates, appointed to serve as members of the RTPO Committee shall have indefinite terms. Annual reaffirmation of representatives will be requested by the MRCOG Executive Director.

F. Non-attendance of a representative for more than one calendar year shall be cause for removal from the Active Roster of the RTPO Committee and reassignment to a list of eligible, but non-participating members of the RTPO Committee. All eligible, but non-participating members of the RTPO Committee shall not have a seat or a vote on the RTPO Committee until such time as they send a representative to a Committee meeting.

G. Any voting Member, or non-voting Associate or Advisory Member may revoke the appointment of a representative or alternate at any time, and appoint or reappoint a new representative or alternate, by sending the appropriate written notice to the MRCOG Executive Director.

ARTICLE 3. Purpose and Function

The purpose of the RTPO Committee shall include but not be limited to the following:

A. To provide a regional forum for cooperative decision making on transportation issues among the various county, municipal, tribal and special purpose units of government which have jurisdiction within the RTPO Planning Area;
B. To establish a regional liaison between the local governments of the RTPO Planning Area and the New Mexico Department of Transportation;

C. To provide policy guidance, needs assessment, project priorities, and technical assistance in the development of transportation plans and special programs in the RTPO Planning Area; and

D. To perform the function of the regional transportation planning policy committee required by the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP21), including

1. Developing and maintaining, in cooperation with the State, regional long-range multimodal transportation plans,

2. Developing a regional transportation improvement program for consideration by the State,

3. Fostering the coordination of local planning, land use, and economic development plans with State, regional, and local transportation plans and programs,

4. Providing technical assistance to local officials,

5. Participating in national, multistate, and state policy and planning development processes to ensure the regional and local input of nonmetropolitan areas,

6. Providing a forum for public participation on the statewide and regional transportation planning processes,

7. Considering and sharing plans and programs with neighboring regional transportation planning organizations, metropolitan planning organizations, and tribal organizations, and

8. Conducting other duties, as necessary, to support and enhance the statewide planning process

ARTICLE 4. Meetings

A. The RTPO Committee shall meet on a bi-monthly basis at such time and place as the RTPO Committee may determine by appropriate action.

B. Since the RTPO Committee is a diverse assembly consisting of member governments from a large geographic area, thirty-three percent (33%) of the voting representatives shall constitute a quorum for formal actions.

C. The RTPO Committee is a forum for cooperative decision making and every effort shall be made to achieve consensus on any issue or question presented to the RTPO Committee. In the event that a consensus cannot be achieved by the RTPO Committee, a majority vote of the members present at a meeting will decide in the affirmative or negative any issue or question presented to the RTPO Committee.
D. All meetings and workshops of the RTPO Committee shall be advertised and open to the public, in accordance with the Open Meetings Resolution of the MRCOG.

ARTICLE 5. Subcommittees

A. In order to achieve specific objectives in the regional transportation planning process, the RTPO Committee may create subcommittees as specialized task groups. Subcommittees may be formed to evaluate and report on a particular issue or to conduct transportation planning activities in a designated portion of the region.

B. Subcommittees created by the RTPO Committee shall be active for an indefinite period of time and may be rescinded at any time by action of the RTPO Committee.

C. Subcommittees shall establish rules of conduct for purposes of holding advertised meetings and maintaining active participation.

D. Subcommittees shall schedule their respective meeting dates at locations and times deemed appropriate by the members of the subcommittee.

ARTICLE 6. Officers

A. The officers of the RTPO Committee shall be chosen from among the voting representatives comprising the RTPO Committee and shall consist of a Chair and Vice Chair.

B. The Chair and Vice Chair shall be elected at the first scheduled meeting of each program year (July 1 to June 30) by a majority vote of the representatives present at the meeting. The Chair and Vice Chair shall take office immediately following the election.

C. The term of office for the Chair and Vice Chair shall be one year, and each officer may be elected for an indefinite number of terms.

D. The Chair shall preside at all meetings of the RTPO Committee. During the absence or disability of the Chair, or during when a vacancy exists in the office of Chair, the Vice Chair shall preside over meetings of the RTPO Committee. In the event that neither the Chair nor the Vice Chair is present to open a meeting of the RTPO Committee, a Chair Pro Temp shall be selected by a consensus of the members present to conduct the meeting.

E. If a vacancy occurs in the office of the Chair or Vice Chair, the unexpired term shall be filled in the same manner in which such officers were originally elected. Until the election of a new Chair, the Vice Chair shall serve as Chair. The unexcused absence of a Chair or Vice Chair for two consecutive meetings may be considered a vacancy.

ARTICLE 7. Staff

A. The MRCOG will provide the RTPO Committee and any of its subcommittees with the necessary staff and technical assistance to support the regional transportation planning process.
B. The MRCOG staff will be responsible for assembling information and preparing the documentation of plans, programs, correspondence, and other records necessary to conduct the regional transportation planning process.

ARTICLE 8. Approval and Amendments

A. These Bylaws shall be in effect upon approval by the Mid-Region RTPO Committee and concurrence by the New Mexico Department of Transportation.

B. These Bylaws may be amended by the Mid-Region RTPO Committee with an affirmative majority vote, subject to concurrence by the New Mexico Department of Transportation.

PASSED, ADOPTED, and APPROVED this ___ day of ____________, 2013, by the Mid-Region RTPO Committee of the Mid-Region Council of Governments of New Mexico.

_______________________________
Tommy Mora, Jr.
Chair, Mid-Region RTPO Committee

ATTEST:

_______________________________
Dewey V. Cave
MRCOG Executive Director
I. Introduction

The _______ Regional Transportation Planning Organization (__RTPO) is the entity responsible for transportation planning in the region comprised of ____, ____ and ____ counties in New Mexico per New Mexico Law. Regional planning organizations were originally founded by the New Mexico State Legislature in 1978 to orchestrate planning in nonmetropolitan areas. The purpose of this document is to outline the State and Federal requirements for a Public Participation Plan for use by RTPOs. As an organization receiving Federal financial assistance for transportation planning, design, construction and maintenance, it is a requirement of State and Federal law that our organization develop and implement a program to foster continuing, cooperative and comprehensive (3-C) public participation. This program may be general, or project specific. In addition to being a regulatory requirement, public participation maximizes the benefits of transportation planning processes and outcomes. Accordingly, our organization recognizes that the travelling public has valuable insight to offer, in addition to a right to contribute to the planning process.

II. State and Federal Requirements

RTPOs have numerous responsibilities including the development and contribution to Long Range Plans (LRPs), Regional Transportation Improvement Plan Recommendations (RTIPRs), provision of technical assistance to local officials, coordination of local planning across multiple disciplines, interagency/tribal coordination of local planning, and creating opportunities for public participation. The Federal government defines public participation as “an open process in which the rights of the community to be informed, to provide comments to the Government and to receive a response from the Government are met through a full opportunity to be involved and to express needs and goals”. Our public participation program is an opportunity to provide for this process and pertinent State and Federal requirements are summarized below.

a) Responsibilities early in the process (23 CFR 450.210-316)

i) General

-Establish early and continuous opportunities for the public participation process
-Provide adequate public notice of opportunities for public comment and at key decision points
-Provide relevant information in a timely fashion
-Provide access to policy and technical information
-Make information, including plans, publically accessible in electronic format

1 4 NMSA 57 and 58
2 23 CFR 200.5 (c)
- Provide adequate public notice of opportunities for public review of plans
- Hold meetings at convenient and accessible times and locations
- Include a process for considering needs of low income and minority families
- Utilize documented process for nonmetropolitan official participation

**ii) Guidelines for meetings per the New Mexico Open Meetings law (NMSA 10-15-1-5)**

- All meetings of public bodies or agencies are to be public (10-15-1 (a-b))
- Activities applicable to Open Meetings Law include any formation of policy, discussion of public business or any action that the body has authority to take
- Public bodies to determine in public, what notice for public meeting is appropriate for that body and document publically through FCC licensed broadcast stations and newspapers of general circulation (10-15-1 (d)) said public notice
- Any reconvened of a meeting must be scheduled prior to recess of that meeting, and date and time of meeting must be posted in writing near the door of the original meeting and only matters scheduled to be discussed at the initial meeting may be discussed at the reconvened meeting (10-15-2(e))
- Meeting notices to include agenda of items to be discussed and be made publically available at least 24 hours prior to the meeting (f)
- Meeting bodies are to keep minutes that document, at minimum, date, time, place, persons in attendance and absent, material considered, a record of decisions and votes according to member. Minutes are to be made public and to be prepared no later than 10 working days after the meeting, subsequently reviewed by quorum, then made official (g)

**iii) Methods**

- Utilize visualization techniques and plan illustrations such as maps, diagrams charts, display boards, and slide presentations to clearly illustrate projects, plans and programs developed for committee meetings and public events.
- Allow minimum of 45 days for comment prior to adopting, revising or updating plans
- Provide opportunity for public comment any time an update or revision to a plan is made
- Social and economic statistics for the region are to be collected, analyzed and processed at regular intervals and results are to be made available to the public” (NMSA 3-56-5 (c))
- Entities are to provide information to the public at large, in order to foster public awareness and understanding of the objectives of the regional plan and the functions of local and regional planning, and to stimulate public interest and participation in the orderly, integrated development of the region” (NMSA 3-56-3 (f))

**iv) Review**
-Demonstrate explicit consideration of public input
-Document reasons for changes to plans not adopted in public and accessible format
-Periodically review effectiveness of strategies for public participation
-When significant written and oral comments are received, prepare summary analysis of disposition of comments to include in final plan draft
-Periodically review public participation process

b) Guidelines for inclusion of affected parties (23 CFR 450.200-316)

-Citizens
-Affected public agencies (including but not limited to land management, natural resources, environmental protection, conservation and historic preservation)
-Representatives of public transportation employees
-Freight shippers
-Private providers of transportation
-Representatives of users of public transportation
-Representatives of users of pedestrian walkways and bicycle transportation facilities
-Representatives of the disabled
-Providers of freight transportation services
-Other interested parties
-Coordinate with Tribal governments and US Secretary of Interior regarding areas of the State under Tribal Jurisdiction
-Plans affecting metropolitan areas developed in coordination with MPOs
-Plans affecting nonmetropolitan areas developed in consultation with nonmetropolitan elected officials and relevant land management agencies with responsibility for transportation
-When appropriate, the use of “peer exchange” which Federal regulations define as the exchange of information and best practices between agencies, institutions and firms (public and private) with responsibility for transportation (23 CFR 420.203)
-Cooperation with statewide trade and economic development interests
-Consideration of interstate issues and coordination with appropriate agencies; use of interstate compacts when appropriate
-Coordination with State air quality agencies

III. Persons of Limited English Proficiency: considerations and requirements

The ____ RTPO is cognizant of the fact that for many persons living within our State and region, English is a second language and often, publicly accessible documents, processes and meetings may not be fully accessible to these populations.
Accommodation of these populations is considered an element of compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964’s prohibition on discrimination on the basis of national origin. To this end, and in compliance with Executive Order 13166, the _____ RTPO is committed to providing access to public materials and processes for persons of Limited English Proficiency (LEP). Minimum compliance standards followed are found in the LEP Guidance document in the Federal Register and include:

-That recipients of Federal assistance accommodate persons of LEP based on a four-factor analysis including:
  a) Ratio or proportion of LEP persons served or encountered
  b) Frequency LEP individuals come into contact with a program
  c) Nature and importance of a program to people’s lives
  d) Resources available to the recipient of services

-Services provided in accommodation of LEP individuals can include
  a) Oral translation services
  b) Written translation services

-Development of a LEP action plan that includes:
  a) Identification of individuals needing assistance
  b) Language assistance measures/ methods
  c) Staff trainings
  d) Provision of notice to LEP persons of services available
  e) Monitoring and update of LEP plan

IV. Environmental Justice

In accordance with Executive Order 12898 regarding Environmental Justice, it is the mission of the _____ RTPO to document and address concerns related to the spatial distribution of low-income and minority populations especially in relation to the positive and negative benefits associated with past, present and future transportation projects. The impact of projects can be wide ranging and significantly affect the ability of low-income minority families to access jobs, housing and services. In accordance with EO 12898, it is the mission of the _____ RTPO to foster public participation with regard to:

-the effect of public projects on human and environmental health in areas with minority populations
-ensure greater public participation in areas with low income and minority families
-improve research and data collection related to human and environmental health in areas with minority and low-income families

---

3 67 FR 41455-41472
-identify differential patterns of consumption of natural resources among minority and low income populations

- Inform the public of their right to submit recommendations to Federal agencies relating to the incorporation of environmental justice principles into programs and policies\textsuperscript{4}

\textsuperscript{4} 59 FR 7629
Draft *(Insert Name)* RTPO Title VI *(Boilerplate)*
(Please include a table of contents and provide your Organizational Chart as Appendix A. Appendix B is the Complaint Form.)
I. STATEMENTS OF POLICY

Introduction
The (insert your organization name) is the transportation planning agency for the cities of (list cities within RTPO) and the urbanized area of (list the county or counties) in New Mexico. Federal regulations require that an RTPO be designated to carry out a comprehensive, continuing and coordinated transportation planning process for urbanized areas with a population of 50,000 or more. The (list RTPO fiscal agent) is the fiscal and administrative agent for the (insert your organization name).

Title VI Nondiscrimination Statement of Policy

The (insert your organization name) is committed to compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 49 CFR, part 2, and all related regulations and directives. The (insert your organization name) assures that no person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity under any (insert your organization name) program, activity, or service.

Environmental Justice and Limited English Proficiency Policy Statement

The (insert your organization name) is also committed to assure every effort will be made to prevent the discrimination of low-income and minority populations, as a result of any impact of its programs or activities in accordance with Executive Order 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and in Low-Income Populations.

In addition, the (insert your organization name) also assures every effort will be made to provide meaningful access to persons that have Limited English Proficiency (LEP), in accordance with Executive Order 13166, Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency.

Definition of Federal financial assistance and recipients affected

Federal financial assistance is defined as any Federal dollars that are assigned to the (insert your organization name) to support any program and activity, by way of grant, loan or contract, other than a contract of insurance or guaranty. The (insert your organization name) typically receives planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) to carry out the transportation planning requirements as set forth by the federal law Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21).
II. Title VI Assurances

Specific Forms of Discrimination Prohibited

The (insert your organization name) efforts to prevent discrimination must address, but are not limited to:

- The denial of services, financial aid, or other benefits provided under a program.
- Distinctions in the quality, quantity, or manner in which the benefit is provided.
- Segregation or separation in any part of the program.
- Restriction in the enjoyment of any advantages, privileges, or other benefits provided to others.
- Different standards or requirements for participation.
- Methods of administration which directly or indirectly or through contractual relationships would defeat or impair the accomplishment of effective nondiscrimination.
- Discrimination in any activities related to a highway, infrastructure or facility built or repaired in whole or in part with Federal funds.
- Discrimination in any employment resulting from a program, the primary purpose of which is to provide employment.

Programs and services covered by Title VI

The (insert your organization name) Title VI Plan applies to all of its programs, activities and services, regardless of funding source. Some sections deal with specific requirements (e.g. FTA funded programs).

Authorities

1. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 U.S.C. § 2000d et seq., 78 stat. 252), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, national origin);
2. Federal-Aid Highway Act of 1973 (23 U.S.C. §324 et seq.), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex);
3. The Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended, (42 U.S.C. § 6101 et seq.), (prohibits discrimination on the basis of age);
7. 49 C.F.R. Part 21 (entitled *Nondiscrimination In Federally-Assisted Programs Of The Department of Transportation-Effectuation of Title VI Of The Civil Rights Act of 1964*);
8. 49 C.F.R. Part 27 (entitled *Nondiscrimination On The Basis Of Disability In Programs Or Activities Receiving Federal Financial Assistance*);
9. 49 C.F.R. Part 28 (entitled *Enforcement Of Nondiscrimination On the Basis Of Handicap In Program Or Activities Conducted By The Department Of Transportation*);
10. 49 C.F.R. Part 37 (entitled *Transportation Services For Individuals With Disabilities (ADA)*);
11. 23 C.F.R. Part 200 (FHWA’s Title VI/Nondiscrimination Regulation);
12. 28 C.F.R. Part 35 (entitled *Discrimination On The Basis Of Disability In State And Local Government Services*);

**FHWA Assurances for Title VI and Other Nondiscriminatory Statutes**

The *insert your organization name* (hereafter referred to as the "Recipient") in keeping with our policy of nondiscrimination, hereby agrees that as a condition to receiving Federal financial assistance from the Department of Transportation, it will comply with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d - 42 U.S.C. 2000d-4 (hereinafter referred to as the Act) and all requirements imposed by or pursuant to: Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, nondiscrimination in Federally-assisted programs of the Department of Transportation, Effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (hereinafter referred to as the Regulations) and other pertinent directives, to that end in accordance with the Act, Regulations, and other pertinent directives, no person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, sex, national origin, or age be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the Recipient receives Federal financial assistance from the Department of Transportation, including the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and HEREBY GIVES ASSURANCE THAT it will promptly take any measures necessary to effectuate this agreement. This Assurance is required by Subsection 21.7 (a)(1) of the Regulations.

More specifically and without limiting the above general assurance, the Recipient hereby gives the following specific assurances with respect to operating assistance projects:

- That the Recipient agrees that each "program" or "facility", as defined in Subsections 21.23(b) and 21.23 (e) of the Regulations, will be (with regard to a “program”) conducted, or will be (with regard to a “facility”) operated in compliance with all requirements imposed by, or pursuant to, the Regulations; and
• That the Recipient shall insert the following notification in all solicitations for bids for work or material subject to the Regulations and made in connection with the Federal Aid Highway Program, and in adapted form in all proposals for negotiated agreements;

• The (insert your organization name) in accordance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 252, 42 U.S.C. 2000d to 2000d-4 and 49, of the Code of Federal Regulations, Department of Transportation, Subtitle A, Office of the Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs of the Department of Transportation issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively insure that in regard to any contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement, disadvantaged business enterprises will be afforded full opportunity to submit bids in response to this invitation and will not be discriminated against on the grounds of race, color, sex, national origin, income status or age in consideration for an award;

• The Recipient shall provide for such methods of administration for the program as are found by the Secretary of Transportation or the officials to whom he delegates specific authority to give reasonable guarantee that it, other recipients, sub grantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest, and other participants of Federal financial assistance under such program will comply with all requirements imposed or pursuant to the Act, the Regulations and this Assurance;

• The Recipient agrees that the United States has a right to seek judicial enforcement with regard to any matter arising under the Act, the Regulations, and this Assurance; and

• This Assurance is given in consideration of and for the purpose of obtaining any and all Federal grants, loans, contracts, property, discounts or other Federal financial assistance extended after the date hereof to the Recipient by the Department of Transportation under Federal-Aid Highway Program and is binding on it, other recipients, sub-grantees, contractors, subcontractors, transferees, successors in interest and other participants in the Federal-Aid Highway Program. The person whose signature appears below is authorized to sign this Assurance on behalf of the Recipient.

Signed by Chief Administrative Officer or Designee Date

III. Title VI and Environment Justice – RTPO Planning Requirements

The (insert your organization name) is responsible for ensuring Title VI compliance for the following planning activities:

Data Collection
Census and other statistical data will be collected by the RTPO as a means of identifying low income and minority populations within the RTPO. The data will be
maintained for the purpose of planning projects and programs that serve various population groups. The data collection process will be reviewed regularly to ensure sufficiency in meeting Title VI requirements.

- **RTPO Actions**
  - Collect, maintain, and update databases of low income and minority concentrations within the RTPO
  - Utilize the data when developing plans and studies
  - Develop demographic profile maps to help identify neighborhoods with high concentrations of low income and minority populations
  - Use these maps in various planning documents

**Regional Work Program**
The *(insert your organization name)* Regional Work Program (RWP) is the annual list of projects and activities that are expected to be completed by staff and the two *(insert your organization name)* committees. In this document, the *(insert your organization name)* will identify projects, studies, and other activities that will provide more transportation options to disadvantaged populations.

- **RTPO Actions**
  - Identify planning activities that will encourage involvement by all populations
  - Analyze the benefits and impacts that planning studies might have on low income and minority populations
  - Create maps highlighting socio-economic groups and their geographical relationship to jobs, housing, and transportation options for all modes

**Transportation Improvement Program**
The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is the short term program of projects that are expected to be designed, engineered, and constructed within the next four years. Projects should be reviewed to assess the benefits and impacts they might have on various aspects of the population.

- **RTPO Actions**
  - Work with the entities to identify transportation projects that serve areas of the RTPO with low income and minority populations
  - Provide opportunity for all populations to provide input into project identification
  - Develop a performance target for a percent of projects that serve Title VI populations

**Metropolitan Transportation Plan**
The Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) is the long range, comprehensive plan that identifies the projects, programs, and policies needed in the next 20 years to meet the transportation needs of this area. Using various data collected by the RTPO, the MTP can estimate growth patterns of disadvantaged populations and address the benefits and burdens that future transportation projects might have.

- **RTPO Actions**
  - Develop demographic profile maps that project growth in disadvantaged populations over at least a 20 year planning horizon
  - Give all populations opportunity to provide input into project identification
  - Assess the effects that future land use decisions and transportation projects might have on the neighborhoods, the environment, and the economy
  - Ensure that the benefits and impacts of future transportation systems are equally distributed among all areas of the RTPO
  - Develop a performance target for a percent of projects that serve Title VI populations

**Transit Planning**

*(Describe the transit system and service areas covered by the transit system related to your organization's planning and oversight.)*

- **RTPO Actions**
  - Using demographic profile maps, ensure that transit routes and stops fully serve those neighborhoods with high concentrations of low income and minority populations.
  - Work with *(Insert name of transit agency associated with RTPO)* to identify necessary changes to routes
  - Ensure bus stop locations are fully accessible for all users, both at the site and in the vicinity

IV. **Title VI and Environmental Justice – the Public Participation Process**

**Public Participation Plan (PPP)**

The *(Insert your organization name)* Public Participation Plan (PPP) describes how the RTPO communicates and distributes information to the public as well as how the public can interact and provide comments to the RTPO. The needs of those traditionally underserved by the existing system will be sought and considered by *(insert your organization name).*
Through its public involvement efforts, the (insert your organization name) will strive to achieve the following Title VI and Environmental Justice (EJ) goals:

- To avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority populations and low-income populations.
- To ensure the full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decision-making process.
- To prevent the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of benefits by minority and low-income populations.

Title VI states that no person shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, denied benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. The RTPO will ensure that the input and feedback from all people will be considered in the development of RTPO planning documents and activities.

EJ concerns and goals should be considered throughout all public engagement efforts, from project planning through construction and operation. This includes public outreach conducted during transportation planning and during the environmental reviews required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The following actions relating to Environmental Justice and Title VI are meant to reduce the barriers for participation in the decision-making process by low income, minority or disabled individuals.

1. When possible, public meetings will be held in locations that are convenient to low and moderate income neighborhoods and accessible to disabled populations. Such locations include community centers, senior centers and schools. Where possible, RTPO staff will meet at the locations of businesses, neighborhood groups, stakeholders, and other agencies.
2. Upon request, all RTPO work products and documents will be made available in alternative formats, including Braille, large type and languages other than English.
3. The following statement will be included in all RTPO documents: The (insert your organization name) does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in the provision of services. This document can be made available in alternative formats by calling the RTPO Office at 599-1466 (voice) or 599-1168 (TTY).
4. The following statement will be included in all meeting announcements: (Include your organization’s disability/special accommodation statement used for all meeting notices).
5. Agencies and organizations that represent low income, minority and disabled populations will be identified and included in RTPO mailings. Staff will maintain an active listing of contacts for these organizations.
6. The RTPO will evaluate Environmental Justice actions and Title VI requirements on an annual basis to ensure effectiveness of public involvement. This document will be reviewed and updated in conjunction with the Public Participation Plan.

Communication and Notification to the Public

All members of the public are ensured protections against discrimination which are afforded to them by Title VI. To ensure open communication with the public, the (insert your organization name) will adhere to the following requirements:

- The (insert your organization name) will disseminate agenda and public meeting information to members of the public via accessible printed and electronic media, including postings on the (insert your organization name) website and in the (insert name of local newspaper used for meeting public notifications).
  Documents and agendas will be available at the RTPO office (Insert organization address) and at other locations identified in the Public Participation Plan (see Appendix D of the PPP).
- Public notices of RTPO meetings will be posted at the location of the meeting site.
- In appropriate documents, the (insert your organization name) will include a statement that the organization complies with Title VI by assuring that no person shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, age, or disability be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity under any (insert your organization name) program, activity, or service.

Section VI of this plan describes the procedures on how members of the public can request additional information regarding the (insert your organization name) Title VI obligation. This section also identifies the procedures to be followed by members of the public to file a discrimination complaint against the (insert your organization name).

V. Organization and Staff Responsibilities

Organization Overview

(Write a concise summary of your organization, including structure of organization, fiscal agent)

VI. Title VI Coordinator and Complaint Process
Title VI Coordinator Responsibilities

Describe the Title VI coordinators responsibilities and who the coordinator is, (i.e., Human Resources Director of fiscal agent or RTPO staff member).

RTPO staff and the Title VI Coordinator will be responsible for the following:

- Ensuring that the transportation planning process fully complies with the requirements of Title VI.
- Monitoring the transportation planning process and overall strategies and goals and ensuring compliance with Title VI requirements.
- Reviewing operational policies and procedures to ensure Title VI compliance.
- Monitoring the service equities of planning data collection and analysis for potential impacts on social, economic, and/or ethnic groups.
- Ensuring the planning organizational membership attempts to reflect the makeup of the population served. This would include periodically reporting the RTPO racial, ethnic, and gender composition of public involvement organizations or groups.
- Ensuring the opinions and views of all groups within their populations are solicited and considered in the planning of transportation projects.
- Monitoring compliance with Environmental Justice issues to identify low-income and minority populations that may be impacted by transportation planning process.
- Providing evidence that input from minority groups/persons has been considered in the transportation planning process. Evidence could include but is not limited to the participation level and composition of participants in public information settings and reporting any follow-up and conclusions to issues communicated throughout the planning process.
- Monitoring the gathering and utilization of demographic data used to identify and locate low-income and minority populations in order to investigate the possible benefits and detriments of transportation plans on these populations.
- Monitor compliance with Limited English Proficiency populations to improve access and comprehension of the transportation planning process for individuals comprising the LEP population.

Title VI Complaint Procedures

The (insert your organization name) is committed to ensuring that all citizens have equal access to all transportation services. It is further the intent of the (insert your organization name) that all citizens are aware of their rights to such access. Any person believing he or she has been excluded from, denied participation in, denied the benefits of, or otherwise has been subjected to discrimination under any transportation service, program or activity (whether Federally funded or not) due to that person’s race, color, national origin, gender, age, disability, economic status, or limited English proficiency has the right to file a complaint.
The complaint procedures cover the following:

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
- Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973
- Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1973
- Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987
- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990
- Executive Order 12898
- Executive Order 13166

### Reporting a Title VI Complaint

An individual, group of individuals or entity may file a formal Title VI complaint. If you believe that you have received discriminatory treatment by the (insert your organization name) on the basis of your race, color or national origin, you have the right to file a complaint with the (Insert your organization’s Title VI Coordinator’s title). The complaint must be filed no later than 180 calendar days of the alleged discriminatory incident.

(Insert your organization’s preferred method for receiving Title VI complaints. Please note all types of complaints must be reviewed.)

(Insert the name, title, contact information for the Title VI coordinator for your organization.)
(Name)
(Full Title)
(Address and/ or phone and email)

(Describe the alternate methods for filing a Title VI complaint, I.E., if your preferred method is by mail provide a phone number a process for verbal complaints.)

You also have the right to file a complaint with an external entity such as the New Mexico Department of Transportation (DOT), a federal or state agency, or a federal or state court. For complaints submitted to NMDOT, they must be submitted to the NMDOT Title VI Coordinator in writing, signed and dated, within 180 days of the alleged discriminatory act (or latest occurrence). The complaint should be submitted to the following address:

Attn: Title VI Coordinator
Office of Equal Opportunity Programs
1596 Pacheco St.
Suite 107
Santa Fe, NM 87505
The complaint you are submitting to the entity should include the name, address, phone number and signature of complainant. The formal complaint should describe the alleged discriminatory act that violates Title VI in detail.

Title VI complaints may also be filed directly with the United States Department of Transportation (USDOT), Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) or the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) within the 180 day period of the alleged discriminatory act (or latest occurrence).

Should a complaint be filed with the (Insert name of RTPO and where the Title VI Coordinator is housed, i.e., fiscal agent’s Human Resources Department) and an external entity simultaneously, the external complaint shall supersede the (insert your organization name) complaint and the (insert your organization name) complaint procedures will be suspended pending the external entity's findings.

**Filing a Title VI Complaint**

Within 10 working days of receipt of the formal complaint, the Human Resources Director will notify the complainant and begin an investigation (unless the complaint is filed with an external entity first or simultaneously). The investigation will address complaints against the (insert your organization name). The investigation will be conducted in conjunction with and under the advice of the (fiscal agent’s Human Resources Department).

The investigation may include discussion(s) of the complaint with all affected parties to determine the problem. The complainant may be represented by an attorney or other representative of his/her own choosing and may bring witnesses and present testimony and evidence in the course of the investigation. The investigation will be conducted and completed within 60 days of the receipt of the formal complaint.

Based upon all the information received, an investigation report will be written by the Human Resources Director for submittal to the City Manager. The complainant will receive a letter stating the final decision of the City Manager by the end of the 60-day time limit. The complainant shall be notified of his/her right to appeal the decision. Appeals may be made to NMDOT, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) or the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH).

**Title VI Complaint Form**

Completion of a Title VI Complaint form is required when filing a complaint. Please see Appendix B for a copy of the form. These forms are also available from the (insert locations and methods for retrieving Title VI Complaint Form).
Title VI Related Training

The Title VI Coordinator shall ensure that staff is trained and familiar with related policies and procedures. Related Title VI training will be provided by the Title VI Coordinator to senior management and others to discuss practical situations and how Title VI applies to the planning and public participation processes. Training may also be provided through FHWA-sponsored webinars and training resources. The NMDOT Office of Equal Opportunities can be contacted to provide on-site Title VI training.

VII. Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan

Overview of LEP Plan

Executive Order 13166, titled Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency, indicates that differing treatment based upon a person's inability to speak, read, write, or understand English is a type of national origin discrimination. Any agency receiving federal funds needs to develop a Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan.

The primary element of the LEP Plan is the Four Factor Analysis that considers the following factors:

- **Number or Proportion of LEP Individuals**: a summary of LEP persons in the service area and a description of efforts to provide meaningful opportunities for the LEP population to be involved in programs and services.
- **Frequency of Contact with the Program**: a record of how often LEP persons access or come into contact with programs and services.
- **Nature and Importance of the Program**: a description of how LEP individuals have access to benefits and services from programs and services.
- **Resources Available**: a summary of the resources that the organization can use for providing assistance to LEP populations.

*(Include your organizations Limited English Proficiency Plan)*
Appendix A

*(Insert your Organizational Chart as Appendix A)*
## Appendix B – Title VI Complaint Form

Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act requires that “No person in the United States shall on the ground of race, color or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.”

Note: The following information is necessary to assist us in processing your complaint. Should you require any assistance in completing this form, please let us know.

Complete and return this form to the *(Insert Name of Organization, Name of Contact, and address where Complaint Forms are filed.)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complainant’s Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State and Zip Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Number (home &amp; business)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Person discriminated against (if someone other than the complainant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City, State and Zip Code</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Which of the following best describes the reason you believe the discrimination took place?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Color</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Origin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: (please describe)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

What date did the alleged discrimination take place?

In your own words, describe the alleged discrimination. Explain what happened and whom you believe was responsible. Please use the back of this form if additional space is required.
Have you filed this complaint with any other federal, state, or local agency; or with any federal or state court?

| Yes | No |

If so, which agency (check all that apply)

| Federal agency | Federal Court |
| State Agency   | State Court   |
| Local Agency   |

Please provide information about a contact person at the agency/court where the complaint was filed.

| Name   |
| Address |
| City, State and Zip Code |
| Telephone Number |

Please sign below. You may attach any written materials or other information that you think is relevant to your complaint.

| Complainant’s Signature | Date |
Introduction and Purpose
(RTPO should briefly introduce and describe the purpose of the Regional Work Program)

The following are functions and task orders that the COG Name will complete in fulfillment of the contract for management of the RTPO Name Regional Transportation Planning Organization (RTPO) for Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) October 1, 20XX through September 30, 20XX.

**Function 1: Long-Range Planning**

Task 1.1 Coordinate and participate with NMDOT staff to develop federally and/or state-required transportation planning products such as the Statewide Long-Range Multi-Modal Transportation Plan (SLRP) and the NMDOT Public Involvement Process (PIP). Provide updates to RTPO members on the status of these activities, and involve the RTPO Committee as appropriate.

Task 1.2 Collaborate with NMDOT and other partners to identify and document current and future transportation needs, plans, and projects for inclusion in the RTPO Long-Range Regional Transportation Plan (RTP). Update the RTP at least once every 4 years in accordance with the SLRP update, using statewide population, economic development, travel demand data, projects and trends as outlined in the SLRP.

Task 1.3 Coordinate long-range regional transportation planning with other local, regional, and statewide planning initiatives, such as Infrastructure Capital Improvement Plans (ICIP), the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP), legislative capital outlay priorities, local comprehensive planning, the regional Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy, and other relevant planning processes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 1</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 10/1/14-12/31/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 1/1/15-3/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 4/1/15-6/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 7/1/15-9/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Function 2. Regional Work Program, Budget, and Public Participation Plan

Task 2.1 Utilizing input from RTPO members, develop the two-year FFY 20XX- FFY 20XX Regional Work Program (RWP) for submittal to the NMDOT by July 1.

Task 2.2 Develop an annual budget based on the tasks outlined in the RWP. Additionally, per the requirements of 2 CFR 200, indirect costs must be addressed in an annual Cost Allocation Plan. COG name shall submit the annual budget and Cost Allocation Plan to the NMDOT by July 1 for review and approval. If another federal agency is identified as the COG’s cognizant agency a copy of its annual approved Cost Allocation Plan should be submitted to that agency as well.

Task 2.3 Implement and monitor program expenditures in relation to the annual budget. Inform and obtain written approval from NMDOT prior to making adjustments to any line items when such adjustments increase or decrease the line item by at least 10% or for any single expenditure totaling $500.00 or more.

Task 2.4 Using the NMDOT SPB boilerplate, develop a Public Participation Plan (PPP) that addresses Title VI and Environmental Justice procedures. Update the PPP in accordance with the RTP and SLRP updates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 2</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 10/1/14-12/31/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 1/1/15-3/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 4/1/15-6/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 7/1/15-9/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Function 3. Rural Transportation Improvement Program (RTIPR)

Task 3.1 Work with the NMDOT District to establish and implement a process for RTPO members to prepare and submit Project Feasibility Forms (PFFs) and Project Identification Forms (PIFs). PIFs shall be submitted and presented to the RTPO Technical and Policy Committees for review and prioritization. Coordinate the timeline with NMDOT District staff to ensure adequate time for reviewing project feasibility. Ensure that RTPO members are fully informed of the process and that pertinent forms and information are readily available to them.
Task 3.2 Assist RTPO members with developing PFFs and PIFs.

Task 3.3 During the month of March, coordinate and co-facilitate RTIPR (“zipper”) meetings with NMDOT SPB, the appropriate District staff, and applicable RTPOs. Notify NMDOT SPB liaison and District staff of the dates, times, and locations for these “zipper” meetings. Submit the combined, prioritized list to NMDOT SPB, the District Engineers and the relevant RTPOs within ten days of the prioritization meeting.

Task 3.4 Establish a process for submittal and prioritization of Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) applications consistent with the TAP Guide provided by NMDOT. Submit the list of prioritized applications to the TAP coordinator in accordance with the TAP schedule. Include list of projects approved by TAP Coordinator in the RTPO RTIPR.

Task 3.5 Facilitate prioritization of Public Transit Program applications for the RTPO area in response to the state-wide prioritization schedule established by NMDOT Transit and Rail Section staff. Submit the results to the NMDOT Transit and Rail Section in accordance with that schedule. Include the results in the RTPO RTIPR.

Task 3.6 Facilitate RTPO review and prioritization of Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) project applications in response to the state-wide prioritization schedule established by the NMDOT Safety Program Engineer. Provide the results to the Safety Program Engineer and include them in the RTPO RTIPR.

Task 3.7 Track the progress of transportation projects on the STIP that fall within the RTPO region and facilitate regular meetings between the project sponsors, NMDOT staff and others to ensure the projects are moving forward. Keep RTPO members informed on project status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 3</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 10/1/14-12/31/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 1/1/15-3/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 4/1/15-6/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 7/1/15-9/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Function 4. RTPO Responsibilities**
Task 4.1 Organize and facilitate all meetings of the RTPO in accordance with the Public Participation Plan.

4.1.1 Document (using boilerplate forms provided by NMDOT) the RTPOs public participation process including but not limited to, procedures the RTPO uses to comply with the New Mexico Open Meetings Act [NMSA 1978, Sections 10-51-1, et. seq.] and 23 CFR 450.

4.1.2 Per the New Mexico Open Meetings Act and 23 CFR 450.210, provide public notice (including publication of ads in local newspaper(s)) for all official RTPO Committee meetings.

4.1.3 Provide RTPO Committee members, the appropriate NMDOT District staff, and GTG with meeting agendas and information packets no later than seven (7) calendar days in advance of RTPO Committee meetings.

Task 4.2 Manage the membership of the RTPO

4.2.1 On an annual basis provide all eligible member entities (municipalities, counties, tribal governments, and land grants in the RTPO region) with the opportunity to appoint or confirm a representative and alternates to the RTPO Committee.

4.2.2 Keep, and update as needed, a list of RTPO Committee members with contact information and provide a copy of the list to appropriate NMDOT District staff, GTG, member entities, and other regular participants.

Task 4.3 Develop Bylaws

4.3.1 Develop bylaws that address: Clarify and document membership roles and responsibilities including voting protocols. Update as outlined in the NMDOT Planning Procedures Manual.

Task 4.4 Conduct Outreach Activities

4.4.1 Engage in (and document using boilerplate forms provided by NMDOT) outreach activities and provide citizens and other transportation stakeholders with reasonable opportunities to participate in RTPO processes per 23 CFR 450.

4.4.2 Coordinate with RTPO members to develop a list of entities including newly elected officials and potential members for presentations on the RTPO process. Also provide presentations upon request. Document presentations and share any questions or concerns in quarterly reports and with appropriate NMDOT staff.

Task 4.5 Develop and maintain an RTPO website including posting current meeting
information and planning documents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 4</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 10/1/14-12/31/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 1/1/15-3/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 4/1/15-6/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 7/1/15-9/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Function 5. Technical Support**

**Task 5.1** Coordinate training and professional development opportunities for RTPO members, including developing training plans.

5.1.1 Assist NMDOT with the Local Technical Assistance Program (LTAP) by informing member entities of training opportunities and encouraging participation.

5.1.2 Assist members to identify technical training needs and work with NMDOT SPB, LTAP, or other entities to meet those needs.

**Task 5.2** Provide data and technical support to RTPO members for transportation planning, project identification, and project development.

**Task 5.3** Provide information to members about funding opportunities and assist members to identify projects that may be eligible for transportation funding from federal or other sources.

**Task 5.4** Conduct or participate in corridor studies, transportation-related subarea plans, and regional and local multi-modal and intermodal planning activities affecting RTPO member governments.

**Task 5.5** Act as liaison between the NMDOT and local and tribal governments to resolve project issues at the request of either NMDOT or a specific local or tribal government. Coordinate with the NMDOT Tribal Liaison as needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 5</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Function 6. Other Activities and Projects

Task 6.1 Coordinate and participate with NMDOT staff on special studies or projects specified in the NMDOT AWP. Provide periodic updates to RTPO members on the status of the studies/projects and involve the members in this effort as appropriate.

Task 6.2 Coordinate and participate with the Councils of Governments (COGs) and applicable New Mexico State agency staff on legislative studies related to state-wide or RTPO-specific transportation issues, as appropriate.

Task 6.3 Provide assistance to local governments interested in pursuing regional transportation system development and coordination activities.

Task 6.4 Monitor development of Federal and state laws affecting the transportation system and provide information about the contents and status to RTPO members.

Task 6.5 Participate in miscellaneous programs and special projects in the RTPO region.

Task 6.6 Coordinate the RTPO’s planning program with other RTPOs and any MPOs or other agencies impacted by activities contained in the RWP.

Task 6.7 Attend RTPO quarterly and special meetings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 6</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FFY 2015 Budget</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 10/1/14-12/31/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 1/1/15-3/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 4/1/15-6/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 7/1/15-9/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Function 7. Reporting**

**Task 7.1** Submit quarterly Reimbursement Packets (Cover letter, Quarterly Report and Invoice). The Quarterly Reports should describe in detail the work accomplished cumulatively on each task in this RWP. The reports are due to the NMDOTSPB by the 25th day of the month following the end of each quarter, and shall be included as an informational item on the following RTPO Committee agenda. The Reports should also reflect all costs outlined in the Invoice. See the NMDOT Planning Procedures Manual for more information on the Reimbursement Packets.

**Task 7.2** Submit an Annual Performance and Expenditure Report for FFY 20xx to the NMDOT by December 29. The RTPO Policy Board should review and approve this report prior to submittal of the report to the NMDOTSPB.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function 7</th>
<th>Staff hours</th>
<th>Staff Costs</th>
<th>Other Expenses</th>
<th>TOTALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FFY 2015 Budget</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 10/1/14-12/31/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 1/1/15-3/31/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 4/1/15-6/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses 7/1/15-9/30/15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balances</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Work Program Review Checklist

The following checklist can assist GTG Liaisons as they review draft WPs. MPOs and RTPOs may also use the list to draft more complete WPs. The list is illustrative, not inclusive.

The Content of the WP Should:

- Demonstrate the scope and schedule of major tasks.
- Respond to planning priorities, including the eight MAP-21 Planning Factors.
- Comply with state and federal planning/administration program requirements and policies.
- Contain the MPOs annual certification and assurances. The MPO planning process should address the major issues facing the region and should be conducted in accordance with all applicable laws.
- Respond to NMDOT concerns, regional transportation issues, regional transportation planning activities and transportation problems and needs facing the region.
- Reflect the progress made by the MPO in carrying out the previous year’s program and its performance capabilities. All anticipated continuing activities should be clearly identified.
- Contain a work element in the draft WP for each discretionary planning grant application i.e., FHWA Partnership Planning, FTA § 5304 Transit Planning Grants, and FHWA Blueprint Planning
- Include an information element, which lists the transportation planning activities being done by other transportation planning entities in the region.
- Show non-planning sources for all project work in the WP, e.g., transit marketing, ride matching, transportation engineering and Transportation Development Act (TDA) required activities, etc.
- Respond to Air Quality and Conformity issues (please see 40 CFR 93 for Conformity requirements).
- If a MPO has any indirect costs associated with the WP they must submit an Indirect Cost Plan (ICAP).

The Financial Information in the WP Should:

- Reflect the fund source, type and amount for each work element. Also, show the same source, type and amount in the Financial Summary.
- Include and identify the correct local match for each federal fund source and type.
- Show consistency between the fund amounts identified within the work element/work task discussion and the fund amounts in the Financial Summary.
- Identify any carryover from prior years by fund source, type, amount and fiscal year within work elements and the Financial Summary.

The Work Elements in the WP Should:

- Illustrate an organized and logical flow of work element tasks and activities from project inception to project completion.
- Contains task statements which include enough detail that the work product is easily identifiable and eligibility can be easily determined. The work task also identifies who is responsible for performing the work (staff, consultants, etc.).
Work elements/work tasks which will be completed over multiple years should have a schedule that details and identifies significant milestones to be accomplished throughout the term of the planning grant.

Identify all planning contracts in both the task and budget statements.

All tasks and products listed are eligible uses of Federal Funds.
South Central Council of Governments

COST ALLOCATION PLAN

Methodology of Budgeting Expenditures
Fiscal Year 2014-2015
GENERAL OVERVIEW/FEDERAL REGULATIONS

The South Central Council of Governments (SCCOG) is a voluntary association of county and municipal governments within the three counties of New Mexico Planning and Development District VII. The SCCOG is an organization of, by, and for local governments and was established to assist in planning for common needs, cooperating for mutual benefits, and coordinating for sound regional development.

The SCCOG has often been referred to as quasi-governmental, and as such is viewed in varying manners, particularly financially. In accordance with federal regulations, and with funds directly contracted or granted from federal agencies, the SCCOG is defined as a local government. According to OMBs Common Rule and the previously applicable OMB Circular A-102, Local Government means a Council of Governments (whether or not incorporated as a nonprofit corporation under state law). Further, the IRS has determined that the SCCOG is an instrumentality of a political subdivision created pursuant to the provisions of local and state legislation. The IRS has also stated that You are further authorized to exercise the powers and perform the duties set out in the Acts ... and ... Inasmuch as you are an integral part of a political subdivision of the State of New Mexico, you come within the purview of Section 115(a) and therefore, have no taxable income.

Viewed by the State level, the SCCOG was organized under the Regional Planning Act and the Planning District Act. SCCOG is not considered a state agency, but is viewed as an extension of local governments, a recognized District of the State of New Mexico (under the Planning District Act and the Regional Planning Act), and is further recognized as a nonprofit corporation.

Therefore, because of the varying terminology applied to the SCCOG by its various funding sources, an approved indirect cost rate by the federal government is not appropriate. At the State level, usually costs that are directly identifiable by varying established line items must be accounted for, and therefore pooling joint costs would lose their identity. As a result, a cost allocation plan/system reflects a more equitable and identifiable method for those costs that are shared by the varying programs implemented by the South Central Council of Governments.

In accordance with OMB Circular A-87, Cost Principles for State and Local Governments, the SCCOG’s allocation plan(s) will be retained at the local level for audit by a designated Federal agency except in those cases where that agency requests that a cost allocation plan be submitted to it for negotiation or approval. Our Cognizant Federal Agency, the U.S. Department of Commerce, required that a Cost Allocation Plan be submitted.
METHODOLOGY OF THE COST ALLOCATIONS APPLIED

The South Central Council of Governments (SCCOG) utilizes the allocation Method whereby all costs are treated as direct costs. Costs are separated into three basic categories: (1) general administration and general expenses; (2) fund raising; and (3) other direct functions (including projects performed under Federal awards). Joint costs, usually the first category listed above including general administration and general expenses, are prorated individually as a direct cost to each category/line-item and to each award or other activity using a base most appropriate to the particular cost being prorated.

The Direct Allocation Method allows each joint cost to be prorated using a base that accurately measures the benefits provided to each award or other activity. The bases are established in accordance with reasonable criteria and are supported by current and/or historical data. Generally, four bases are utilized in allocating joint costs as follows:

1. **USAGE ALLOCATION BASE**: This method of allocation is based on usage by each specific program. Use of supporting data include number of copies used, and vehicle mileage logs for gas and oil costs, etc.

2. **PROGRAMS ALLOCATION BASE**: This method of allocation is based on the number of active programs for a designated period, 1 (one) pay period each quarter. The basis of supporting data is through the Annual Work Program, analysis of staff time through the Executive Director’s direct or indirect supervision and delegation of duties to those programs and time sheets, and other supporting documentation in ascertaining the activities of the office. The 1st pay period out of the 1st payroll of each quarter, employees will document on time sheets their exact work time for each day for that pay period. The percentage charged by allocation to each program will be based on this 2 (two) week period for each quarter. Time related to meetings, projects, or training not directly program related will be allocated to the Base Budget program.

3. **FTE ALLOCATION BASE**: This method of allocation is based on the size of the program(s) and staff. The basis of supporting data is a projection of FTEs (full-time equivalent) and an employee specific analysis performed during the preparation of the budgets. This is updated monthly, or less often, on a quarterly or semi-monthly basis, if work activities remain consistent.

4. **SHARE ALLOCATION BASE**: This method of allocation is based on distributing the cost to more than one program, but does not benefit all of the programs on an equitable basis utilizing one of the allocation bases above. The basis of determining the allocation is by analyzing the benefits to the programs impacted and distributing the costs based on the justified benefit. An example of this is the cost of paper. DFA, EDA and various programs, workforce and transportation programs pay the supply cost(s). The DFA, EDA program uses this for written communication to stay in contact and prioritize, and workforce programs create board packets and outreach activities.
LINE ITEM BUDGETING, JUSTIFICATION OF DIRECT AND ALLOCATED COSTS:

- **PERSONNEL:** Budgeted utilizing current salaries, annual work programs, and Executive Director’s direct or indirect supervision and delegation of duties to those programs. The SCCOG Executive Committee reviews the projected employee distribution, cost of living increases and merit pay pools by program. Actual costs are based on completed time sheets for each employee that reflects the activities that they have directly worked on. The primary salaries that are allocated is the Executive Director and the Financial Administrator, which is distributed by the Programs Allocation Base, since they work on all major programs. Other staff positions that have part of their salaries allocated including allocations to WIA program funding streams include all of the WIA staff except the Assistant Administrator. The Assistant Administrator is not charged to the program funding streams because her primary functions are of a fiscal nature. According to TEGL 00-01 issued by the United States Department of Labor, any staff person performing fiscal duties may not be charged to program budgets. These staff persons identify direct hours when working on program specific efforts and designate general administrative and program time which is distributed by the Programs Allocation Base, and the Share Programs Base.

- **FRINGE BENEFITS:** Budget based on personnel distribution by program. Estimates include FICA (6.2%); Medicare (1.45%); retirement (7.4%)-after three month service completion; health insurance costs at current rate and a 42% overall fringe benefit estimate increase to allow for premium increases; a rate of .50 assessed on State Unemployment up to $18,600; and workman’s compensation insurance costs of $.54. Actual costs are based on the personnel distribution of salaries and the percentages applied to each program and individual staff member.

- **TRAVEL:** Budgeted based on historical costs and planned work activities. Actual costs are applied according to completed travel vouchers and the explanation of the travel report and are usually a direct cost. Allocated travel is usually for SCCOG Board meetings and traveling for more than one purpose. The distribution is made on the Share Allocation Base, which analyzes the reason and purpose for the staff persons’ travel, the program impacted, and the justified benefit. Travel per diem and mileage is figured in accordance with the NM Mileage and Per Diem Act and SCCOG Board approval.

- **VEHICLE MAINTENANCE, REPAIR & FUEL:** This line item is budgeted based on historical analysis of costs and a review of the age and condition of the vehicles. Actual costs are applied to the programs based on the mileage usage of the vehicle, which is reviewed at the end of each quarter through a mileage log, and fuel log, thereby using the Usage Allocation Base.

- **INSURANCE:** Budget based on historical costs and the planned activities for the new
fiscal year, with revisions throughout the year as required by State law and additions of
equipment, and other factors. For bonding and property coverage, the FTE Allocation
Base is utilized since the larger programs usually have more property and staff bonding to
cover. Liability insurance is handled as a direct cost. And the liability insurance of the
SCCOG building is charged using a square footage charge to current programs. Vehicle
insurance is a direct cost, since this is considered a protection of the asset.

- **EQUIPMENT AND LEASE MAINTENANCE:** This line item covers the costs of the
  rental for the copier, postage and other equipment maintained for the office. There is an
  allocated code, which is for copying materials such as audit papers, financial, board
  materials and other general office/administrative paperwork and will be distributed using
  the Programs Allocation Base. The cost of maintaining specific equipment, such as
  cleaning or repairing computers and calculators is a direct cost based on the staff person’s
  salary/work program area, with the exception of general administrative staff equipment,
  which is distributed according to the Program Allocations Base.

- **AUDIT:** Based on 1 ½ % of all major programs, taking into consideration the
  complexities of the program regulations. Smaller programs lacking adequate resources
  will be covered by the Base Budget program.

- **SUPPLIES:** An allocated and direct cost, this line item is budgeted with a historical
  review of past costs and specific program requirements. During the year, specific
  program expenditures are handled as direct costs as each staff requests their needs. Small
  inventory items such as pencils, paperclips, file folders, are distributed based on the FTE
  Allocation Base. Printing suppliers (paper, toner, etc.) costs will be allocated using the
  Usage Allocation Base. General copies will be counted under an allocated code on the
  copier and this will be distributed using the Programs Allocation Base.

- **PUBLICATIONS, REGISTRATIONS, ADVERTISING, OTHER:** Usually a direct cost,
  this line item budget is based on each program’s history and projected activities. For
  subscriptions of newspapers and other joint publications and registration, this distribution
  is on the Share Allocation Base analyzing the costs based on the justified benefit. Most
  costs to this line item, however, are directly identifiable to a program specific activity.

- **TELEPHONE:** A direct cost for long distance calls and allocated for the line service,
  this is budgeted combining a historical use, compared with allocation percentages, using
  an estimated $21,000 budgeted based on average local phone costs, cell phones, and long
distance costs. With the monthly billing, each call is subtotaled by the telephone number
and is a direct cost depending on what program uses each telephone number. Staff
placing calls to the office, use a toll free phone number and these are distributed on the
Share Allocation Base.

- **POSTAGE:** Budgeted estimates are based on historical activities and compared with
  planned work activities. General postage for checks, board packets, audit materials and
other general office postage are allocated at the end of the month on the Program Allocation Base.

- **RENT AND UTILITIES:** This historical budgeting base for rent is figured on square footage per program and utilities are figured on an annualized cost for the office located at 600 HWY 195 Suite B, C, and D. The method of distribution is based on the FTE Allocation Base, since most staff areas are relatively comparable.

- **LEGAL:** Necessary legal costs will be authorized by the SCCOG Executive Director or his designee and charged to the program or Base Budget. The Board of Directors has authorized a legal line item for Base Budget.

**OTHER:** Usually a direct cost for specific needs. Under the Base Budget/Special Projects Program, this line item covers items not regularly attributable or allowable to other programs, as well as costs associated with Board Meetings.

- **CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS:** Throughout the fiscal year, capital improvements may be presented for the Boards authorization. This is usually a direct cost and is charged directly to the program that it is purchased for.

- **SUBCONTRACTS:** This is budgeted based on the funding agencies and SCCOG Board approvals for the various programs requiring subcontracting services. A direct cost, this line item is program specific.

- **SUBGRANTEE CONTRACTS:** This is budgeted based on the funding agencies and SAWDB Board approval. A direct cost, this line item is program specific. All subgrantees will be required to maintain a uniform and unified Cost Allocation Plan (CAP), which will be submitted along with each budget. The CAP should describe their method of allocating all costs. These costs must be allocable based upon program benefits and not budget based, in conformance with OMB-A21, A122, A-87 and A-133 as appropriate. The SAWDB will begin working with all subgrantees during Program Year 2007 to ensure that they are working with a CAP. In the event that they do not have a CAP, the SAWDB AE will work with each subgrantee to develop one suitable for implementation.

# # #
GENERAL INFORMATION

Preparation Date_______________________ Project Title: __________________________

Requesting Entity: _____________________ Governing Body Approval: YES ___NO ___PENDING___

Contact Person: ________________________ Phone: _____________________________

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Project Type (Circle/boldface/underline all that apply):
ROADWAY TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVE BRIDGE SAFETY OTHER

Route Number and/or Street Name: _______________________________________________

Project Termini: ___________ Beginning Mile point ____ Ending Mile point ___

Total length of proposed project: ______________________________________________

Project Phases to be included in request (Circle/boldface/underline all that apply):
PRELIMINARY ENGINEERING CONSTRUCTION CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT

NATIONAL PERFORMANCE GOALS

Goals to be addressed (Circle/boldface/underline all that apply): •System Reliability •Safety •Freight Movement & Economic Vitality •System Connectivity •Infrastructure Condition •Congestion Reduction •Environmental Sustainability •Reduced Project Delivery Delays

Justification of how this project meets or addresses the goals circled above (Use additional pages if necessary):

Begin typing here. Box will expand as needed.

PROJECT COSTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Column A</th>
<th>Column B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If project is not phased, complete column A only.</td>
<td>Total Phases No. (1, 2, 3, I, II, III, etc.):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If project is phased, list the amount of funding being currently requested in Column A and complete Column B.</td>
<td>The amount below represents the cost of the entire project and will be greater than Column A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Cost: $</td>
<td>Total Project Cost: $</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentage Estimates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Local Match</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Federal Share</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

100%
Topics to discuss during PFF meetings:

- Is the Tribal/Local Public Agency (T/LPA) familiar with the NMDOT T/LPA Handbook? Has a representative of the entity attended one of the T/LPA Handbook trainings? The T/LPA must follow the Handbook.
- Is this project included in any other planning documents? (Comprehensive Plan, ICIP, etc)
- Is the project within NMDOT ROW? If so, does the district support the project?
  - Are agreements necessary for maintenance and operations? (Lighting agreements, landscaping, etc.)
- The T/LPA needs to understand the reimbursement process and be prepared to pay all costs up front. The T/LPA must follow district instructions for submitting invoices for reimbursement.
- Discuss the requirements for federal funds including reimbursement process, 90 day closeout after project completion, certified testing during construction, Buy America requirements for steel, etc.
  - These items are reimbursable, but they need to be included in the cost estimate (construction engineering)
- The T/LPA must follow the NMDOT specs unless NMDOT grants permission prior to design for the T/LPA to use other specs.
- Maintenance and Operations costs-does the T/LPA have a plan for these?
- Does the T/LPA have a good track record for responsible use/tracking of federal funds? Have they met closeout deadlines? Have they successfully completed other federally funded projects in a timely manner?
- Has the T/LPA had any issues with design/construction in the past?
- Does the T/LPA have major audit findings that would prevent them from being a responsible fiscal agent?
| Weekday | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI | SAT | SUN | MON | TUE | WED | THR | FRI |
|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Date   | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 9   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16  | 17  |
| Annual Leave |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Sick Leave |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Holiday Leave |    |    |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Weekly Total Hours</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EPCOG Base Budget</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ute Water Commission</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDA</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPCOG Enterprise (properties)</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Rosa Contract</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|               |               |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Total Hours   | 0.00          | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  | 0.00  |

Employee Signature: ___________________________ Date: 8/15/2013

Approved By: ___________________________ Date: __________________
(Insert Name of RTPO) Quarterly Expenditure Summary FFY15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control #</th>
<th>FFY14 Budget Amount</th>
<th>1st Quarter FFY 10/1-12/30/13</th>
<th>2nd Quarter FFY 3/31/134</th>
<th>3rd Quarter FFY 6/30/14</th>
<th>4th Quarter FFY 9/30/14</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Balance Remaining</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FHWA Federal (80%)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHWA SPR WP Expenditures</td>
<td></td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Match Applied (20%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Required SPR WP Expenditures</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL EXPENDITURES (FHWA SPR with Local Match)</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NMDOT PPM First Amendment August 8, 2014
## Quarterly Budget Report FFY14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Enter Budget Line Item or Work ProgramTask/Subtask)</th>
<th>FFY14 WP Budgeted Amount</th>
<th>Actuals 1st Quarter 10/1-12/31/13</th>
<th>Actuals 2nd Quarter 1/1-3/31/14</th>
<th>Actuals 3rd Quarter 4/1-6/30/14</th>
<th>Actuals 4th Quarter 7/1-9/30/14</th>
<th>Total Actuals to Date</th>
<th>Balances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Program Support and Description</td>
<td>$ 50,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
<td>$ 10,000.00</td>
<td>$ 10,000.00</td>
<td>$ 10,000.00</td>
<td>$ 40,000.00</td>
<td>$ 10,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
December 23, 2013

Mr. Jeff Kiely  
Executive Director  
Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments  
409 S. Second Street  
Gallup, NM 87301

RE: Work Authorization for FFY 2014 Planning Funds

Dear Mr. Kiely:

This letter authorizes the Northwest New Mexico Council of Governments to seek reimbursement from the New Mexico Department of Transportation (NMDOT) for work performed according to the FFY 2014 Regional Work Program of the Northwest Regional Transportation Planning Organization (NWRTPO). The authorized amount is shown below:

Funding for October 1, 2013 through September 30, 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control No.</th>
<th>Federal Share</th>
<th>Local Match</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P614110</td>
<td>$85,000</td>
<td>$21,250</td>
<td>$106,250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This Work Authorization terminates on September 30, 2014. If you have any questions, please contact Brian Degani, NMDOT Government to Government Liaison, at (505) 827-3244.

Sincerely,

Kathryn E. Bender, NMDOT Deputy Secretary  

Date

Cc: Tamara P. Haas, P.E., NMDOT Planning & Asset Management Director  
    Michael Sandoval, NMDOT Planning & Safety Division Director  
    Dolores Gallegos, NMDOT Funding Control Division Director  
    Richard Martinez, NMDOT Procurement Division Director  
    Dorothy Shepherd, NMDOT Planning & Safety Division Financial Section Supervisor  
    Anne McLaughlin, NMDOT Statewide Planning Bureau Chief  
    Jessica Griffin, NMDOT Government to Government Unit Supervisor  
    Brian Degani, NMDOT Government to Government Liaison  
    Robert Kuipers, NWRTPO Planner

Susana Martinez  
Governor

Tom Church  
Cabinet Secretary, Designate

Commissioners

Pete K. Rahn  
Chairman  
District 3

Ronald Schneids  
Vice Chairman  
District 4

Dr. Kenneth White  
Secretary  
District 1

Robert R. Wallach  
Commissioner  
District 2

Butch Mathews  
Commissioner  
District 5

Jackson Gibson  
Commissioner  
District 6
NMDOT Planning and Safety Division  
Statewide Planning Bureau – Government to Government Unit  
Annual Quality Assurance Review of RTPOs  
Checklist and Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entity (RTPO):</th>
<th>Reviewer:</th>
<th>Review date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Personnel interviewed (list names and positions):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Summarize results of agency audit, including any findings.

2. Planning Products – are the following current, on file and posted to the website?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>On file</th>
<th>Posted to the website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Work Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Participation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional TIP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Title VI Plan with contact person identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Regional Transportation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Annual Performance and Expenditure Report (APER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other plans (list)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concerns/Issues:  
Best Practices:

3. Boards/Committees - are the following current, on file and posted to the website?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>On File</th>
<th>Posted to the website</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bylaws</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Members, including Chair and Vice Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schedule of meetings and agendas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting minutes (for the past 2 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved documents (i.e. signed resolutions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Concerns/Issues:  
Best Practices:

4. Financials - Reporting and Documentation

□ Consistently submitted in a timely, complete, and accurate fashion?
□ Reimbursement Packets include cover letters, Quarterly Reports, Budget Reports, Expenditure Summary, Invoices and supporting documentation? (MPOs: Quarterly Budget Report and Expenditure Summary: The purpose of the Budget Report is to track expenditures by line item as defined by task in the UPWP budget. The purpose of the Expenditure Summary is to provide a summary of federal funds expended plus local match paid, by quarter. Are these provided with the Reimbursement Packets and maintained on file?

□ Is the documentation on file, well-organized, and accessible?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns/Issues:</th>
<th>Best Practices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Timesheets and Timesheet Summary - All RTPO staff who charge time to a federally funded task are required to maintain internal, accurate, and current time records using database and spreadsheets comparable to the RTPO Time Tracking workbook provided in the Planning Procedures Manual.

□ Is the amount of time spent on RTPO duties and billed to FHWA or FTA funds clearly indicated?

□ If applicable, is the distinction between FHWA/FTA and urban/rural cost allocation covered by a Cost Allocation Plan?

□ Does staff keep daily logs documenting meetings attended and presentations provided?

□ In the event that work hours involve multi-tasking among several federally-funded tasks, does the RTPO have pre-approval from NMDOT and/or a cost allocation plan to address the specifics of each situation?

□ Indirect Cost Agreement (if NA, explain)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns/Issues:</th>
<th>Best Practices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Contract Awards

□ No Contract Awards during the time period covered by this QAR

□ Newspaper Bid (advertisement), copy of ad

□ Bidder’s list at bid opening-Company(s) and quotation sheet(s)

□ Award Letter, “NOTICE OF AWARD”/NOTICE TO PROCEED Forms

□ All subsequent notices: starts, suspends, resumes, and completions

□ Copy of Contract with Contractor if contracted out

□ All invoicing (copies) for contractors, vendors, suppliers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concerns/Issues:</th>
<th>Best Practices:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Inventory of capital assets acquired with federal funds valued at $5,000 and greater

□ Not applicable
□ Are there property records that include a description of the property, serial or other identification number, source of property, who holds title, acquisition date, cost of property, percentage of Federal participation, location, use and condition of property and ultimate disposition data including date of disposal and sale price?

□ Is there a physical inventory of the property with results reconciled with property records (at least once every 2 years)?

□ Is there a control system developed to ensure adequate safeguards to prevent loss, damage or theft of property? If there was an occurrence did NMDOT investigate and what were the results of that investigation?

□ Are there adequate maintenance procedures developed to keep property in good condition?

Note: Disposition of items of equipment at current, per-unit, fair market value in excess of $5,000 may be retained or sold and the awarding agency (FHWA) has the right to an amount calculated by multiplying the current market value or proceeds from sale by awarding agency’s share of the equipment.

5. Structure/Intergovernmental & Other Agreements

Current On file

□ □ Is the MOA with NMDOT current and on file?

□ □ Other (list)

Concerns/Issues: __________________________
Best Practices: __________________________

6. Staff & Board/Committee Member Training and Professional Development

□ Is there a training/professional development plan for RTPO staff and how is it being implemented?

□ Have all RTPO staff attended the basic, required NHI courses?

Concerns/Issues: __________________________
Best Practices: __________________________

□ Is the RTPO following the RWP training plan for Board/Committee Members? Are additional resources needed to implement the training plan?
7. Public Involvement & Outreach/Environmental Justice/Title VI
   - How is public attendance at meetings encouraged and tracked?
   - What is the outreach to non-participating members?
   - How is public input received, addressed, and documented?
   - Do meetings comply with the NM Open Meetings Act?
   - How are Environmental Justice and Title VI complaints documented, addressed and tracked?
   - Is NMDOT GTG Liaison informed of all complaints and resolution in a timely manner?

8. Tracking local-lead projects – how is the RTPO Planning Program Manager doing this?

9. Any nonconformance issues over the past FFY (see PPM)? Is there a Corrective Action Plan underway?

10. Overall organization:
    - Are files organized and easy to find?
    - Does RTPO have a shared drive everyone uses or are files stored on separate PCs?
    - How are files/documents archived?

11. GTG Liaison: Please provide a summary of the review, including issues/findings and follow up needed, along with a timeline for RTPO to address any findings.
Appendix E

MPO-RTPO Forms in Common
Appendix E Contents

Appendix E contains the following documents to assist MPO and RTPO staff with the production of their work products and documentation requirements:

- Self-Certification Example
- Reimbursement Packet Checklist
- Functional Classification/Boundary Smoothing Guidelines
MPO SELF-CERTIFICATION

Amendment to the FY2012-2015 TIP Approved on March 14th, 2013 by the Santa Fe MPO Transportation Policy Board

The Santa Fe Metropolitan Planning Organization hereby certifies that the following amendments were conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of 23 CFR 450.218 and 23 CFR 450.324 and the federal transit requirements of Section 5307(c) (1-7). The TIP Amendments were made available to the public via a notice in the local newspaper, through email distribution lists and on the MPO website. A 30-day public comment period was held from February 9th, 2013 through March 11th, 2013.

The projects and revisions that were part of the amendment are as follows:

CN:5100790 – US84/285 & NM502 Bridge Rehabilitation
- New Project to conduct Bridge Maintenance on US84/285 Bridges 9181, 9311, 9310 within the MPO Area and NM502 Bridge 8661 outside the MPO Area.

CN:5100791 – I-25 & US285 Bridge Rehabilitation
- New Project to conduct Bridge Maintenance on I-25 Bridges 7175, 7176, 7178, 5530, 8637, 8638, & US285 Bridge 8782 within the MPO Area and I-25 Bridges 7949 & 7950 outside the MPO Area.

CN:S100181 – Santa Fe Southern Railroad Line At-Grade Crossing Upgrades
- New Project to Upgrade at-grade crossings to MUTCD Standards on the Santa Fe Southern Railroad Line between the intersection of Cerrillos Rd/St Francis Drive and Lamy Station

CN:S100040 – Santa Fe Rail Trail, Spur Trail (MP 11.5) to Avenida Vista Grande (MP 6.5)
- FFY2012 Funding allocated to this project was not obligated due to project not being ready. FFY2013 funds have been identified therefore project has been reprogrammed in FFY2013.

CN:W500030 – City of Santa Fe Safe Routes to School
- New project to provide Pedestrian enhancements including sidewalk, crossing and traffic calming improvements of selected streets around K-8 grade Schools in the City of Santa Fe

CN:S100041 – Santa Fe Rail Trail Retaining Wall Construction
- New Project to Construct a Retaining Wall and Slope Stabilization along the east side of the Santa Fe Rail Trail in the vicinity of the I-25 Overpass

_________________________________________  ___________
Councilor Patti Bushee, SFMPO Chair  Date
SFMPO Transportation Policy Board

P.O. Box 909, Santa Fe, NM 87504-0909
### NMDOT Government to Government Reimbursement Checklist - MPO/RTPO Invoices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Invoice Received:</th>
<th>Rcvd by (print name):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of Invoice:</td>
<td>Entity submitting:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invoice #:</td>
<td>Vendor #:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invoice amount:</td>
<td>Project Control #:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Fiscal Year:</th>
<th>Work Authorization#:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Billing Quarter (circle one):</th>
<th>1st Quarter (10/1 - 12/31)</th>
<th>2nd Quarter (1/1 - 3/31)</th>
<th>3rd Quarter (4/1 - 6/30)</th>
<th>4th Quarter (7/1 - 9/30)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please check only:</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>Directions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Does the Reimbursement Packet include: (1) Quarterly Report (not required for SB), (2) Invoice, and (3) supporting documentation?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the sponsoring agency of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Invoice--Does it include the correct Fiscal Year, Control #, MOA # and Vendor #? Is it signed by the appropriate representative(s)? Is it current (within 30 days)?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the sponsoring agency of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Quarterly Report--Does it cover the same time period as the invoice? Are all detailed costs in the Invoice properly documented in the Report, reasonable and consistent with the Work Program?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the sponsoring agency of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Work Authorization--Is there a current Work Authorization for the requested funds?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package cannot be processed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Documentation--Is proper documentation (invoices and proof of payment) provided for purchased services, software, or equipment costing over $500? Is proper inventory tracking documentation provided for acquisition of capital assets greater than $5,000?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the sponsoring agency of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Match--Check the math. Is it accurate with the correct match amount shown for the funding source referenced (SPR 80/20, STP &amp; PL 85.44/14.56)? Does the time frame of the match accrual align with the time frame of the cash expenditures and requested reimbursement?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the MPO/RTPO of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Cost Allocation Plan/Indirect Costs--If the Invoice includes reimbursement for indirect costs, do the costs comply with the approved Cost Allocation Plan and Indirect Cost Agreement?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the sponsoring agency of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Line items--Is there adequate funding in the line item (Work Program task) being charged?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the sponsoring agency of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Deliverables - Are copies of plans and other Work Program deliverables for the applicable quarter provided?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” please proceed to next step. If “No”, the reimbursement request package is incomplete. Notify the MPO/RTPO of the additional information needed. Attach email correspondence to this form and make notes below.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Is this a final Invoice?</td>
<td>If “Yes,” verify that the MPO/RTPO received payment for all Invoices submitted during the project period and submitted all required reports. Proceed to closeout. Submit Reimbursement Packet to designated Division Finance Staff for closeout.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Is this project released for closeout?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If all of the above is properly addressed, sign below. Please use the space below to document any of the above (and attach applicable emails).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Verified by (GTG Liaison sign here):</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verified by (Finance Staff sign here):</td>
<td>Date:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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US CENSUS URBAN BOUNDARY SMOOTHING PROCESS – RELATIONSHIP TO STATEWIDE FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION REVIEW

- **MPOs are Lead Agencies** for boundary smoothing, subject to NMDOT and FHWA approval
- **FHWA Definitions:** USDOT/FHWA guidance regarding Urban Area Boundary Smoothing and Suballocation of Apportioned Funds (see Attachments) differ in how urban areas are defined, and there are differences in the way FHWA and the Census Bureau define and describe urban and rural areas. According to FHWA’s, 23 U.S.C. 101(a), areas of population greater than 5,000 and above qualify as urban in contrast to the Census Bureau’s threshold of 2,500. FHWA refers to the smallest urban area as a Small Urban Area, while the Census Bureau refers to Urban Clusters. The key FHWA Urban/Rural definitions for the purposes of Boundary Smoothing and Suballocation of Apportioned Funds are:
  - **Urban Area:** 5,000+ population
  - **Small Urban Area:** 5,000-49,999 population
  - **Urbanized Area:** 50,000+ population
  - **Rural Area:** by default signifies less than 5,000 population
- **NMDOT Guidance on Boundary Smoothing:** There are no Census-defined Urban Clusters/FHWA-defined Small Urban Areas (population 5,000 – 49,999) located within MPO jurisdictional boundaries in New Mexico. New Mexico’s Urban Clusters/Small Urban Areas are located within RPO jurisdictional boundaries. NMDOT-Planning will conduct further research to determine whether assisting the RPOs in smoothing urban area boundaries within their jurisdictions would facilitate expenditure of TAP funds substantially enough to merit undertaking the process. See Section 6.4, “Highway Functional Classification Concepts, Criteria and Procedures – 2012 Edition” (December 2012 draft), “The adjusted urban area boundary will encompass the entire urban area (of population 5,000 or greater) as designated by the Census Bureau.”
- **Other Related Census Bureau/FHWA Definitions**
  - **Urban Area:** Census-designated area consisting of a central core and adjacent settled territory that together contain at least 2,500 residents; urban areas include both Urbanized Areas (UZA) with populations of 650,000 or more and Urban Clusters (UC) with populations of 2,500 to 49,999. Every UZA must be represented by an MPO (23 USC 134(b) and 49 USC 5303(c)). A UZA with a population over 200,000 is designated as a Transportation Management Area (TMA)
  - **Urbanized Area (UZA):** Census-designated urban area with 50,000 residents or more; Note that USDOT-FHWA use of UZA and UA are synonymous
  - **Urban Cluster (UC):** Census-designated urban area with at least 2,500 to no more than 49,999 residents (could apply to urban area boundary smoothing according to FHWA guidance, but does not apply to the suballocation of apportioned funds, therefore NMDOT does not recommend using this threshold to smooth urban area boundaries.)
  - **Rural Area:** all other areas - population less than 2,500 (could apply to urban area boundary smoothing according to FHWA guidance, but does not apply to either the suballocation of apportioned funds or the functional classification of the roadway network; therefore NMDOT does not recommend using this threshold to smooth urban area boundaries. Rather, NMDOT recommends using the threshold of under 5,000 population to distinguish between urban/rural areas.)
- **Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA):** boundary in which the metropolitan transportation planning process must be carried out. Must encompass the ZAs and contiguous geographic areas likely to become urbanized within the next 20 years. In some cases, the MPA encompasses the entire Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) or Combined Statistical Area (CSA) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget (23 CFR 450.104)

- **Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)/Combined Statistical Area (CSA):** Geographical area defined by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for use in tabulating statistical data about metropolitan areas. MSAs consist of the core counties surrounding a UZA plus adjacent counties with commuting patterns to/from core counties. A CSA combines an MSA and one or more adjacent additional statistical areas defined by OMB.

- **Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO):** the designated local decision-making body responsible for carrying out the metropolitan transportation planning process

- **Transportation Management Area (TMA):** A UZA with a population over 200,000 designated by the USDOT

- **What is Boundary Smoothing?**
  - Adjust 2010 Census-Defined Urbanized Area (UZA)- 50,000 or more population and Small Urban Area (FHWA -Defined) as 5,000 – 49,999 population
  - Adjusted UZA is a Census-defined UZA boundary that has been adjusted by a State to include additional territory; typically created to smooth irregular UZA boundaries.
  - Adjusted UZA MUST BE SUBMITTED TO FHWA FOR APPROVAL

- **There is no Federal requirement to adjust the Census urban area boundaries.** States may adopt the Census boundaries as is, or they may adjust them for transportation planning purposes. “The only official requirement is that an adjusted boundary includes the original urban area boundary defined by the Census Bureau in its entirety. In other words, any adjustment must expand, not contract, the Census Bureau urban area boundary.” (Section 6.4, “Highway Functional Classification Concepts, Criteria and Procedures – 2012 Edition” USDOT/FHWA December 2012 draft)

- **FHWA Guidance – The adjusted UAZ:**
  - Must encompass the entire Urbanized Area or Urban Cluster designated by the Census Bureau
  - Should be one, single contiguous area
  - Should encompass areas outside of municipal boundaries that have urban characteristics with residential, commercial, industrial or national defense land uses consistent with or related to development patterns within the boundary
  - Should encompass all large traffic generators within a reasonable distance from urban area – such as fringe area public parks, large places of assembly, large industrial plants etc) To include transportation terminals and their access roads – such as airports, ports of entry
Urban area boundary files should be edited in GIS. For evaluation purposes, GIS layers should also be gathered from the same year as the decennial census or similar vintage. Consider also:

- Land use, including areas of recent growth
- Roadway network
- Railroads
- Transit routes (“should not unduly distort the shape or composition of original Census-defined urban area boundary”)
- Other significant traffic generators
- Hydrography
- Municipal boundaries/incorporated areas
- Digital ortho-photography

The adjusted urban area boundary should be defined so its physical location is easy to discern in the field; if the boundary deviates from political jurisdictional boundaries, it should follow physical features (e.g., rivers, irrigation canals, transmission lines, railroads, streets or highways.) Boundary irregularities should be minimized to avoid confusion or ambiguity.

- Why Smooth Boundaries?
  - Does NOT affect funding for region
  - Does NOT affect official population count
  - DOES AFFECT:
    - Where STP/TAP funds are expended
    - Highway Functional Classification
    - Highway Statistical Reporting (HPMS)

- Key FHWA and FTA Programs and Planning Partners Impacted
  - MPOs
  - TMAs
  - Application of Conformity Requirements
  - STP/TAP Funding Availability

- BOUNDARY SMOOTHING STEPS IN RELATIONSHIP TO NMDOT’s STATEWIDE FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION REVIEW

  **NOTE:** States are responsible for maintaining up-to-date functional classification at all times and FHWA recommends that States conduct statewide reviews every 10 years to coincide with the decennial census and adjusted urban area boundary update cycle. *(p10, “Highway Functional Classification Concepts, Criteria and Procedures – 2012 Edition” USDOT/FHWA December 2012 draft)*

  1. MPOs Submit Proposed Adjusted Boundaries
  2. NMDOT Reviews
  3. FHWA Approves
  4. NMDOT Statewide Functional Classification Review
  5. NMDOT Submits Statewide Functional Classification Update to FHWA
6. FHWA Reviews/Comments/Adjustments/Final Approval
7. NMDOT Enters Into TIMS/GIS for HPMS Submittal

- **TIMELINE** If an Adjusted UZA is not accomplished by a date set by FHWA (typically June, 4 years after Census), FHWA will consider the original Census UZA boundaries as the official boundaries in place for the HPMS data submission.